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INTRODUCTION

- Sensory systems are optimally sensitive to changes in the input. This sensitivity plays a foundational role in perception of stimuli in the environment including speech (Kluender et al., 2003).
- Information-bearing acoustic changes (IBACs) in the speech signal are important for understanding noise-vocoded speech.
- IBACs were measured using cochlear-rafted encoder for cochlear implants (CI).
- IBACs were of comparable importance for speech perception whether measured in noise-vocoded or full-spectrum speech (Stilp et al., 2013).
- IBACs were a better predictor of interrupted sentence intelligibility than proportion of sentence duration replaced by noise (Stilp, 2014).
- Perceptual importance of IBACs maintained across wide ranges of spectral and temporal resolutions (Stilp & Goupell, under review).
- Noise vocoding is analogous to cochlear implant processing strategies that present acoustic information in all channels at all times (e.g., Continuous Interleaved Sampling [CIS]). However, vocoding significantly degrades from other processing strategies that present only the n-highest-amplitude channels out of m at any given time (n-of-m processing, e.g., Advanced Combination Encoder [ACE]).

METHODS

Participants
- 20 native English speakers with normal hearing

Stimuli
- 50 sentences from the TIMIT database (same stimuli as Stilp et al., 2013).
- Sentences were noise-vocoded with 22 channels spanning 188-2594 Hz, according to Greenwood’s formula (see Goupell & Litovsky, 2014 for channel center and cut-off frequencies)
- Channels were extracted using 4th order Butterworth filters, then half-wave rectified and low-pass filtered by 2nd order Butterworth filters at 150 Hz to obtain amplitude envelopes.
- Vocoder sentences were divided into 1-ms segments. In each segment, only the 8-highest-amplitude channels were retained, simulating n-of-m (8 channels out of 22) processing at 1000 pulses/second stimulation rate.

CSF
- Spectral slices were either 16 ms (following Stilp et al., and others) or 1 ms.
- Euclidean distances were calculated between all successive spectral slices (RMS-amplitude-profiles across all 22 spectral channels).
- CSECI was the sum of Euclidean distances between 8- and 16-ms spectral slices.
- Four 80-ms intervals with either the highest or lowest CSECI were replaced with speech-shaped noise.

Procedure
- On each trial, one sentence was presented diotically at 70 dB SPL over circumaural headphones; no listener heard any sentence twice.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

- A repeated-measures ANOVA revealed a significant main effect of level of CSECI replaced by noise (F(2, 54) = 53.6, p < .001, η² = 0.74). Relative to the control condition, performance decreased by 13 RAU when low CSECI intervals were replaced by noise, and decreased by an additional 18 RAU when high CSECI intervals were replaced. This pattern occurred for CSECI measured on both 1-ms (paired-samples t-test on high vs. low) low: t(19) = 5.63, p < .001) and 16-ms timescales (t(19) = 6.15, p < .001). Window duration of the noise interaction were not statistically significant (both F < .01).
- Perceptually significant changes in the speech signal are maintained in a simulation of n-of-m processing. Similar to previous studies, replacing high CSECI intervals impaired sentence intelligibility more than replacing low CSECI intervals. While promising, this is a relatively primitive simulation of ACE-style processing. Relationships between IBACs and CI parameters / questionnaires such as compression, stimulation rate, and spread of excitation require further investigation.
- Results were consistent across 1-ms and 16-ms slice durations, generalizing the timescale of IBACs to very rapid spectral changes. In both cases, however, 80-ms sentence intervals were replaced by noise. Different combinations of slice duration and interval duration may modulate the importance of IBACs for speech understanding.
- Patterns of phoneme replacement are largely consistent with those in full-spectrum speech on the basis of CSE (Stilp & Kluender, 2010).
- Stops were rated as lower CSECI. The broadband nature of CSECI identified lesser absolute changes given stops' lower amplitudes, despite local spectral changes in formant transitions.
- Affricates and fricatives rated as higher-CSECI reflecting spectral variability in friction noise over short timescales, especially 1 ms.
- Low and diphthongal vowels rated as higher-CSECI, reflecting considerable formant kinematics. High vowels are much less kinematic, and rated as lower-CSECI.
- Further analyses are needed to ascertain why front and mid vowels rated as lower-CSECI, and back vowels as higher-CSECI, especially in this context. Reasons for these vowels showed no clear pattern in Stilp and Kluender (2010).
- Results and patterns of phoneme replacement reflect IBACs measured on a spectrally broad scale (i.e., across all vocoder channels). Revising CSECI to assess perceptually significant intervals in speech on a narrowband (within-channel) basis will be illuminating.
- IBACs are important for understanding full-spectrum speech (Stilp & Kluender, 2010, Stilp, 2014). CIS-style vocoded speech (Stilp et al., 2013, Stilp, 2014, Stilp & Goupell, under review), and ACE-style vocoded speech. This further suggests that IBACs are likely available and important for speech perception by CI users. Results may lend new insights to CI processing strategies and improved speech perception.
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