

# Updated FSSE-NSSE Comparison Report



### **FSSE-NSSE Updated**

#### Introduction

The Faculty Survey of Student Engagement (FSSE) complements NSSE and measures faculty members' expectations of undergraduate student engagement both in and out of the classroom. The data from both the NSSE and FSSE are critical to determining where students' and faculty members' perceptions on engagement align and differ. This report is intended to be a catalyst for productive discussions related to teaching, learning, and the quality of our undergraduates' educational experience.



### Methodology

The FSSE sample required identifying

faculty who taught at least one undergraduate course during the fall semester prior to the administration of the survey. Institutions had the option to choose whether some of the questions on FSSE were either "course-based" or "typical student" questions. UofL submitted the names and email address of the approximately 800 faculty to be surveyed in spring 2011. Graduate teaching assistants were excluded from the sample. All other aspects of the survey administration were handled by FSSE. Faculty responses to the survey remain anonymous to the university. UofL chose the course-based survey option to help align the responses from first-year students to lower division faculty perceptions and senior responses to those of upper level faculty. The university had a 36% response rate, which placed UofL at the national average for FSSE participating institutions (from 2007-2011) in our Carnegie classification.

### The Report

FSSE provided UofL with an institutional report identifying items from both the FSSE and NSSE aligning faculty and students responses. From this, the following report has been produced to guide discussions in four themed areas:

- Perceptions of Classroom Practices,
- Levels of Cognitive Activities in Coursework,
- Perceptions of Institutional Support and Engagement, and
- Perceptions of High Impact Areas of Engagement

The tables align responses between corresponding faculty (lower and upper division) and students (first-year students and seniors). The left side of each table outlines the faculty questions and their wording and the right side provides the corresponding student questions and wording. An additional column has been added to provided comparison responses from 2009 and 2012 NSSE results.

Combined response percentages are provided for each level of faculty and students. A center comparison column has been provided to illustrate differences in percentage points between the two group responses, 2011 FSSE and 2012 NSSE. The differences between percentage points are derived from the faculty perspective. Thus a negative difference between responses indicates the faculty had a lower perceptual response than their student counterparts. Conversely, a positive difference would indicate the faculty had a higher perceptual response percentage than their corresponding student group. The 2009 results are displayed to the right of the 2012 responses, with the difference between 2009 NSSE and 2011 FSSE in parenthesis.



### 2011 FSSE Respondent Characteristics<sup>1</sup>

	FSSE Respondents	FSSE Population File <sup>3</sup>		FSSE Respondents	FSSE Population File <sup>3</sup>			
Course-Based <sup>2</sup>	(n=287)	(n=804)	Unit	(n=287)	(n=804)			
Lower Division	34%	52%	College of Arts & Sciences	<b>57</b> %	<b>59</b> %			
Upper Division	56%	48%	College of Business	<b>7</b> %	9%			
Other⁴	10%	0%	School of Dentistry	2%	1%			
			College of Education	13%	12%			
Gender			School of Music	<b>6</b> %	8%			
Female	53%	44%	School of Nursing	4%	3%			
Male	<b>47</b> %	56%	Speed School of Engineering	8%	6%			
Full-time/Part-time			Kent School of Social Work	3%	2%			
Full-time	77%	65%						
Part-time	23%	35%	Race/Ethnicity <sup>5</sup>					
Rank			Am. Indian/Native	<b>0</b> %	*			
Professor	22%	20%	Asian/Asian Am./Pacific Isl.	4%	*			
Associate Professor	21%	17%	Black/African American	<b>9</b> %	*			
Assistant Professor	25%	22%	White (non-Hispanic)	<b>74</b> %	*			
Instructor	19%	8%	Mexican/Mexican American	0%	*			
Lecturer	11%	33%	Puerto Rican	1%	*			
Graduate Assistant	0%	0%	Other Hispanic or Latino	1%	*			
Other	2%	0%	Multiracial	1%	*			
Other	270	070	Other	1%	*			
Tenure Status			I prefer not to respond	<b>9</b> %	*			
Tenured	41%	36%	56% of faculty reported teaching	or were sche	eduled to			
On tenure track, but not tenured	19%	16%	teach between 4 to 6 courses, undergraduate or gradual during the 2010-2011 academic year. <b>51%</b> of our facult respondents reported teaching 15 or more years, while of					
Not on tenure track	37%	49%	9% reported being 34 years of age or younger. 68% of UofL faculty indicated spending 4 hours or less a week reflecting on ways to improve their teaching.					
No tenure system <sup>4</sup>	2%	0%						



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> FSSE respondent characteristics, except for unit information, were self reported and provided to the UofL in aggregated form to protect faculty anonymity.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Faculty self-reported race/ethnicity data on the survey. No race/ethnicity reporting provided for the population file due to the changes in institutional (IPEDS) race/ethnicity reporting requirements beginning in the fall of 2010.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> UofL participated in the course-based FSSE assessment asking faculty to self selected either a lower (100-200 level courses) or upper (300-400 level courses) division course.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> FSSE population file was reduced down to 795 faculty who were extended invitations. Institutional data were used to create the population file

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Responses such as "Other" and "No tenure system" were provided as options on the FSSE and thus, are being reported out.

## **Perceptions of High Impact Areas of Engagement**

### **Faculty**

were asked:

were asked:

"How important is it to you that undergraduates at your institution do the following?"

**FSSE** Response Values and Labels **1**=Not important, **2**=Somewhat important,

Percentages provided below reflect combined

responses of "Very Important" and "Important"

3=Important, 4=Very Important

"Which of the following have you done or do you plan to do before you graduated from your institution?"

**Students** 

**NSSE** Response Values and Labels 1=Have not decided, 2=Do not plan to do, 3=Plan to do, 4=Done

Percentages provided below reflect combined responses of "Done" and "Plan to do"

2	011 FSSE	FSSE 2011-NSSE 2012				12 NSSE	2009 NSSE
7	5% LI	·	-8	Practicum, internship, field	FY	83%	83% (-8)
8	0% U	experience, co-op experience, or clinical assignment	0	experience, co-op experience, or clinical assignment	SR	80%	74% (+6)
5	8% LI	Community service or volunteer	-25	Community service or volunteer	FY	83%	70% (-12)
5	8% U	work	-24	work	SR	82%	75% (-17)
4	5% LI	Participate in a learning community or some other formal program where groups of students take two or more classes together	+3	Participate in a learning community or some other formal program where groups of	FY	42%	34% (+11)
5	0% U		+15	students take two or more classes together	SR	35%	33% (+17)
5	3% LI	Work on a research project with a faculty member outside of course	+15	Work on a research project with a faculty member outside of course	FY	38%	31% (+22)
5	4% U		+21	or program requirements	SR	33%	33% (+21)
4	6% LI	The state of the s	+6	Ctudy abroad	FY	40%	35% (+11)
4	1% U	Study abroad	+17	Study abroad	SR	24%	21% (+20)
8	3% LI	, ,	+41	Culminating senior experience	FY	42%	42% (+41)
8	8% U	(capstone course, thesis, project, comprehensive exam, etc.)	+21	(capstone course, thesis, project, comprehensive exam, etc.)	SR	67%	63% (+25)

Percentage point differences are generated from the faculty perspective. Negative differences indicate values lower than the corresponding student group; Positive differences indicate faculty values higher than their corresponding student group.



### **Abbreviations**

**LD** = Lower division faculty (taught 100-200 level courses)

**UD** = Upper division faculty (taught 300-400 level courses)

**FY** = First-year students (0 to 29 credit hours)



# Perceptions of Classroom Practices

**Faculty** 

were asked:

**Students** 

were asked:

"How often do students in your selected course section engage in the following?"

FSSE and NSSE Response Values and Labels
1=Never, 2=Sometimes, 3=Often, 4=Very Often

"In your experience at your institution during the current school year, about how often have you done each of the following?"

Percentages provided below reflect combined responses of "Very Often" and "Often"

2011 FSSE	FSSE :	2012 NSSE		2009 NSSE		
51% LI	Have class discussions or writing assignments that include diverse perspectives (different races,	-12	Included diverse perspectives (different races, religions, genders,	FY	63%	63% (-12)
51% UI	halfatana anadana malistani baltasa	-13	political beliefs, etc.) in class discussions or writing assignments	SR	63%	62% (-11)
42% LI	Work with other students on	-4	Worked with other students on projects during class	FY	46%	42% (0)
51% UI	projects during class	+5		SR	46%	49% (+2)
9% LI	Participate in a community-based project (e.g., service learning) as	-5	Participated in a community-based project (e.g., service learning) as	FY	14%	7% (+2)
15% UI	1	-2	part of a regular course	SR	17%	15% (0)
53% LI	Use an electronic medium (listserv, chat group, Internet, instant	-2	Used an electronic medium (listserv, chat group, Internet,	FY	55%	56% (-3)
47% UI	messaging, etc.) to discuss or complete an assignment	-20	instant messaging, etc.) to discuss or complete an assignment	SR	67%	62% (-15)
90% LI	Receive prompt written or oral feedback from you on their	+26	Received prompt written or oral feedback from faculty on your	FY	64%	59% (+31)
94% UI		+27	academic performance	SR	67%	67% (+27)
45% LI	Have serious conversations in your course with students of a	-11	Had serious conversations with	FY	56%	53% (-8)
37% UI	different race or ethnicity than their own	-23	students of a different race or ethnicity than your own		60%	57% (-20)
40% LI	Have serious conversations in your course with students who are very different from them in terms	-20	Had serious conversations with students who are very different from you in terms of their	FY	60%	59% (-19)
39% UI		-23	religious beliefs, political opinions, or personal values	SR	62%	57% (-18)

**Percentage point differences** are generated from the faculty perspective. Negative differences indicate values lower than the corresponding student group;

Positive differences indicate faculty values higher than their corresponding student group.

### **Abbreviations**

**LD** = Lower division faculty (taught 100-200 level courses)

**UD** = Upper division faculty (taught 300-400 level courses)

**FY** = First-year students (0 to 29 credit hours)



# Levels of Cognitive Activities in Coursework

### **Faculty**

were asked:

**Students** 

were asked:

"In your selected course section, how much emphasis do you place on engaging students in each of these mental activities?" "During the current school year, how much has your coursework emphasized the following mental activities?"

FSSE and NSSE Response Values and Labels 1=Very Little, 2=Some, 3=Quite a Bit, 4=Very Much Percentages provided below reflect combined responses of "Very Much" and "Quite a Bit"

2011 FSSE	FSSE 2011-NSSE 2012			2012 NSSE		2009 NSSE
41% LD	Memorizing facts, ideas, or methods from your course and readings so students can repeat them pretty much in the same form	-36	Memorizing facts, ideas, or methods from your course and readings so students can repeat them pretty much in the same form	FY	77%	75% (-34)
14% UD		-50		SR	64%	65% (-51)
91% LD	Analyzing the basic elements of an idea, experience, or theory,	+3	Analyzing the basic elements of an idea, experience, or theory, such as examining a particular	FY	88%	85% (+6)
94% UD	such as examining a particular case or situation in depth, and considering it's components	+5	case or situation in depth, and considering it's components	SR	89%	87% (+7)
83% LD	Synthesizing and organizing ideas, information, or experiences into new, more complex interpretations and relationships	+7	Synthesizing and organizing ideas, information, or experiences	FY	76%	69% (+14)
94% UD		+16	into new, more complex interpretations and relationships	SR	78%	75% (+19)
70% LD	Making judgments about the value of information, arguments, or methods such as examining how others gathered and interpreted data and assessing the soundness of their conclusion	-5	Making judgments about the value of information, arguments, or methods such as examining	FY	75%	70% (0)
75% UD		-2	how others gathered and interpreted data and assessing the soundness of their conclusion	SR	77%	72% (+3)
78% LD	Applying theories or concepts to practical problems or in new situations	-3	Applying theories or concepts to practical problems or in new situations	FY	81%	78% (0)
86% UD		+4		SR	82%	81% (-5)

Percentage point differences are generated from the faculty perspective. Negative differences indicate values lower than the corresponding student group;

Positive differences indicate faculty values higher than their corresponding student group.



### Abbreviations

**LD** = Lower division faculty (taught 100-200 level courses)

**UD** = Upper division faculty (taught 300-400 level courses)

**FY** = First-year students (0 to 29 credit hours)



## Perceptions of Institutional Support and Engagement

**Faculty** 

were asked:

**Students** 

were asked:

"To what extent does your institution emphasize the following?"

"To what extent does your institution emphasize the following?"

FSSE and NSSE Response Values and Labels
1=Very Little, 2=Some, 3=Quite a Bit, 4=Very Much

Percentages provided below reflect combined responses of "Very Much" and "Quite a Bit"

2011 FSSE	FSSE 2011-NSSE 2012					2009 NSSE
57% LD	Requiring students to spend significant amounts of time	-30	Spending significant amounts of time studying and on academic	FY	87%	83% (-26)
59% UD	studying and on academic work	-23	work	SR	82%	80% (-21)
75% LD	Providing students the support they need to help them succeed academically	-11	Providing the support you need to help you succeed academically	FY	86%	68% (+7)
75% UD		+2		SR	73%	69% (+6)
56% LD	Encouraging contact among students from different economic, social and racial or ethnic backgrounds	-11	Encouraging contact among students from different economic,	FY	67%	69% (-13)
54% UD		-1	social and racial or ethnic backgrounds	SR	55%	53% (+1)
32% LD	Helping students cope with their non-academic responsibilities (work, family, etc.)	-10	Helping you cope with your non- academic responsibilities (work,	FY	42%	40% (-8)
39% UD		+16	family, etc.)	SR	23%	21% (+18)
39% LD	Providing students the support they need to thrive socially	-16	Providing the support you need to	FY	55%	52% (-13)
31% UD		-7	thrive socially	SR	38%	33% (-2)
59% LD	Encouraging students to attend campus events and activities	-11	Attending campus events and	FY	70%	67% (-8)
53% UD	(special speakers, cultural performances, athletic events, etc.)	-5	activities (special speakers, cultural performances, athletic events, etc.)		58%	47% (+6)
83% LD	Encouraging students to use computers in their academic work	-1	Using computers in academic work	FY	82%	86% (-3)
90% UD		+5		SR	85%	86% (+4)

**Percentage point differences** are generated from the faculty perspective. Negative differences indicate values lower than the corresponding student group;

Positive differences indicate faculty values higher than their corresponding student group.



### **Abbreviations**

**LD** = Lower division faculty (taught 100-200 level courses)

**UD** = Upper division faculty (taught 300-400 level courses)

**FY** = First-year students (0 to 29 credit hours)



# An EVERY CARD COUNTS Initiative

Office of Academic Planning and Accountability
305 Miller Information and Technology Center (MITC)
University of Louisville
Louisville, KY 40292
Ph. 502.852.6169
Website. www.louisville.edu/institutionalresearch

UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE.

April 2013 Version 1.2a