

Reporting Year 2024-25

ANNUAL RUBRIC AND FEEDBACK REPORT: Outcomes Assessment Report (OAR)

Administrative and Academic/Student Support Services

Unit or Office Name:

.: GENERAL FEEDBACK AND OBSERVATIONS :.					



.: MISSION STATEMENT :.								
CATEGORY	"EXTRA CREDIT" EXEMPLAR	MEETS EXPECTATIONS	IMPROVING	DEVELOPING	INCOMPLETE			
ALIGNMENT TO UNIVERSITY'S MISSION	☐ It is clear where the area aligns with the university's strategic plan, Cardinal Principles, and/or other institutional values or initiatives.	☐ Explicitly aligns with the university's mission statement.	☐ Aligns with most—but not all—tenets of the university's mission statement.	☐ Needs refinement to better align with the University's mission statement.	☐ Not aligned with the University's mission statement.			
AREA PURPOSE	☐ Unique purpose of the area is communicated in a way that is understandable across myriad audiences.	☐ Describes the unique purpose of the office/department/area/unit.	☐ Additional information provided since previous cycle -OR- unique purpose not fully distinguished.	☐ Too general to distinguish the unique purpose of the office/department from the unit/division.	☐ Does not provide the purpose of the area.			
POPULATIONS	☐ Identified population(s) touch upon those the program looks forward to serving.	☐ Identifies specific population(s) served by personnel.	☐ Identifies population(s) served.	☐ Population(s) served by the program not clearly communicated.	☐ Does not identify population(s) served by the area.			
STYLE, CRAFT, CLARITY	☐ Writing craft (syntax, grammar, tone, etc.) are strong and language is accessible to outside reader.	☐ Clear and concise writing.	☐ Writing improved since previous cycle, but additional refinement is needed.	☐ Lacks clear and concise writing.	☐ Incomplete information is provided.			
DEPARTMENT OR UNIT SPECIFICITY	☐ Statement explicitly names and writes into the area's focus and not just the overarching unit/division.	☐ Statement includes details specific to the office/department/area/unit, as well as whatever overarching area this one 'reports up to'.	☐ Statement not discernable from other areas affiliated with department, and statement is mostly crafted at the unit/division level.	☐ Unit/division-level only statement provided.	☐ No statement is provided.			



.: GOALS :.							
CATEGORY	"EXTRA CREDIT" EXEMPLAR	MEETS EXPECTATIONS	IMPROVING	DEVELOPING	INCOMPLETE		
ALL GOALS ARE UNIQUELY ADDRESSED	☐ It is clear where all 2-3 goals are aligned with the university's mission, strategic plan, or other related initiatives.	☐ Identifies 3 (but no more than 5) required unique goals.	☐ 1 or more unique goals identified, but overlap with another (e.g., one goal for one assessment objective is nearly duplicated for another).	☐ Missing the following: ☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3	□ No goals identified.		
STYLE, CRAFT, CLARITY	☐ Goal's structure is focused and does not include language and terminology better-suited for an operational or student learning outcome.	☐ Explicitly describes what the unit will achieve for each goal.	☐ Some improvements made to language; however, refinement is needed to distinguish all goals from one another.	☐ Some (but not all) goals need refinement to better describe what will be achieved for each goal: ☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3	☐ Does not describe what the unit will achieve for any goal.		
ALIGNMENT TO MISSION STATEMENT	☐ Goals are clearly and concisely written and are not lost within a larger narrative statement within the reporting module.	☐ Each goal is clearly aligned to the unit's mission statement.	☐ Some improvements have been made to goals since the previous cycle; however, additional refinement is still needed in order to align with mission statement: ☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3	☐ Goal(s) need clarifying to better align with the unit's mission statement: ☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3	☐ Goals are not aligned to unit's mission statement.		



	.: OPERATIONAL or STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES :.								
CATEGORY REVISE	"EXTRA CREDIT" EXEMPLAR	MEETS EXPECTATIONS	IMPROVING	DEVELOPING	INCOMPLETE				
ALL OUTCOMES UNIQUELY ADDRESSED	☐ All outcomes are uniquely stated and do not overlap with one another.	☐ Identifies at least 1 outcome for each of the 2-3 corresponding goals.	☐ Identifies at least 1 outcome for some (but not all) goals: ☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3	☐ Missing outcomes for competencies related to: ☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3	☐ No outcomes are identified.				
STYLE, CRAFT, CLARITY	☐ Outcomes' structure and style have been significantly revised on a granular-level by integrating precise language.	☐ All outcomes describe the results that will be accomplished by the area for each outcome.	☐ Needs refinement to better describe what will be accomplished for each outcome: ☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3	□ Some (but not all) statements need refinement to better describe the operational or student learning outcome. □ 1 □ 2 □ 3	☐ Does not describe what will be accomplished by the unit for each outcome.				
MEASURABILITY OF OUTCOMES	☐ It is evident how the outcome supports the overarching unit/division.	☐ All outcomes are measurable.	☐ Some (but not all) outcomes are measurable: ☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3	□ Some (but not all) outcomes need refinement to better identify or align with measurable assessment methods. □ 1 □ 2 □ 3	☐ Outcomes are not measurable.				
ALIGNMENT TO GOAL	☐ Extraneous language and detail have been excised or moved to Additional Notes component.	☐ Each outcome is clearly aligned to its associated specific goal.	☐ Outcome(s) need clarification to better align with specific goals: ☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3	☐ Outcome(s) speak to a goal but not one that it ought to be in alignment with: ☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3	☐ Outcomes are not aligned with specific goals.				



.: ASSESSMENT METHODS :.								
CATEGORY	"EXTRA CREDIT"	MEETS	IMPROVING	DEVELOPING	INCOMPLETE			
	EXEMPLAR	EXPECTATIONS						
METHODS OF	☐ Direct assessment	☐ Identifies at least 1	☐ Identifies assessment	☐ Missing direct	☐ No assessment			
ASSESSMENT	methods provide strong	direct assessment measure	method(s) for some (but not	assessment measure(s) for	methods are identified.			
	detail of perimeters or	for each outcome.	all) outcomes:	outcomes related to:				
	expectations associated		□1□2□3	□1□2□3				
	with measure.							
DESCRIPTION OF	☐ Direct assessment	☐ All methods provide	☐ Needs refinement to	\square Some (but not all) direct	☐ Does not describe the			
EVALUATION	methods provide strong	specific description of the	better describe the	assessment measures need	identified assessment or			
TOOLS/CRITERIA	detail of evaluation	identified assessment and	identified assessment	refinement to better	how it will measure the			
	tools/criteria.	how it will measure the	method(s) and how it will	describe how outcomes are	results for each outcome.			
		results for each outcome.	measure the results for each	measured or achieved:				
			outcome:	□1□2□3				
ALIGNMENT TO	☐ Direct assessment	☐ All assessment methods	☐ Assessment method(s)	☐ Some (but not all) rubrics	☐ Assessment methods			
OPERATIONAL OR	method measures impact	are clearly aligned to a	need clarifying to better	or supplemental materials	are not aligned with			
STUDENT	vs participation or	specific outcome, and all	align with specific outcomes:	identified in report are not	specific outcomes.			
LEARNING	headcount.	referenced documentation	□1□2□3	included:				
OUTCOMES		in all measures is provided.		□1□2□3				



.: TARGETS :.								
CATEGORY	"EXTRA CREDIT" EXEMPLAR	MEETS EXPECTATIONS	IMPROVING	DEVELOPING	INCOMPLETE			
EACH MEASURE HAS A TARGET	☐ Targets are expressed in a clear and concise manner, and are easy to read.	☐ Identifies at least 1 target for each direct assessment measure.	☐ Improvements have been made, but additional refinement or clarification is needed: ☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3	☐ Missing targets for direct assessment measures related to: ☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3	□ No targets are identified.			
EXPRESSION	☐ All targets have been adjusted appropriately so as to reinforce the integrity of the measure's effectiveness and/or rigor.	☐ All targets indicate the applicable expression (e.g. %, #, rating) and appropriate threshold for achievement of each learning outcome assessed.	☐ Improvements have been made, but some information is still missing (e.g., performance threshold has been improved, but the sampling methodology is still unclear): ☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3	□ Some (but not all) targets need refinement to clearly indicate the applicable expression (e.g. %, #, rating) and appropriate threshold for achievement of each learning outcome assessed. □ 1 □ 2 □ 3	□ No targets indicate the applicable expression (e.g. %, #, rating) or appropriate threshold for achievement of learning outcomes assessed.			
ALIGNMENT TO MEASURE	☐ Language in targets' performance threshold is explicitly aligned with evaluation tools associated with assessment activities.	☐ All targets are clearly aligned to a specific measure.	☐ Improvements have been made, but additional refinement is needed or not all direct measures have an associated established target: ☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3	□ Some (but not all) targets need refinement to better align to a specific measure. □ 1 □ 2 □ 3	☐ No targets align with specific measures.			
	☐ Criteria is N/A to program.	☐ Criteria is N/A to program.	☐ Criteria is N/A to program.	☐ Criteria is N/A to program.	☐ Criteria is N/A to program.			



.: FINDINGS :.									
CATEGORY		"EXTRA CREI EXEMPLAR		MEETS EXPECTATIO - 3	NS	IMPROVING - 2	DEVELOPING - 1	INCOMPLETE - 0	
IDENTIFICATION OF FINDINGS		☐ A discussion or re to each finding is pro	•	☐ Identifies at least 1 find for each direct assessment measure.		☐ Findings are provided, but there are discrepancies between the data provided and the numbers identified in the associated target: ☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3	☐ Missing findings for direct assessment measures related to: ☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3	☐ No findings are identified.	
INDICATION OF TARG MET/UNMET/PARTIA MET STATUS	-	Findings are discu comparatively to the cycle's findings. (E.g., author notes where previously unmet tal now met, or where tal are consistently met	previous , the rgets are argets	☐ All findings clearly indic whether the target was me		☐ Findings are indicated as met, but the numbers or percentages are inconsistent: ☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3	☐ Some (but not all) findings need refinement to better indicate whether the target was met: ☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3	☐ No findings indicate whether the targets were met.	
		☐ Criteria is N/A to program.)	☐ Criteria is N/A to program.		□ Criteria is N/A to program.	□ Criteria is N/A to program.	□ Criteria is N/A to program.	



.: ACTION PLAN/STEP :.							
CATEGORY	"EXTRA CREDIT" EXEMPLAR	MEETS EXPECTATIONS	IMPROVING	DEVELOPING	INCOMPLETE		
ALL FINDINGS (WHETHER MET/UNMET/PARTIALLY MET) ARE IDENTIFIED	☐ Analysis of Findings is provided for each Target.	☐ Identifies each unmet performance target or indicates that all current targets were met.	☐ Some, but not all, targets are indicated as met or not met: ☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3	☐ Does not identify the following unmet performance targets. ☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3	Does not identify unmet performance targets or indicate that all current targets were met.		
ALL FINDINGS (WHETHER MET/UNMET/PARTIALLY MET) ARE ADDRESSED WITH A PLAN THAT INDICATES HOW ASSESSMENT RESULTS ARE UTILIZED TO FACILITATE CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT	□ Narrative goes a step further and addresses barriers to implementation; offers a timeline of planning and implementation; identifies those who need to become involved in order to implement plan (e.g., additional faculty, support staff).	Describes changes to improve functions, services and processes based on unmet targets or, if all targets were met, describes how the expected level of performance will be increased to facilitate continuous program improvement.	☐ Only unmet targets are addressed.	□ Does not describe changes to improve functions, services and processes based on the following unmet targets. □ 1 □ 2 □ 3	Does not describe changes to improve functions, services or processes based on the unmet targets, or, if all targets were met, does not describe how the expected level of performance will be increased to facilitate continuous program improvement.		
PREVIOUS CYCLE'S ACTION PLAN/STEPS PROVIDED	☐ Action Plan from the previous cycle is clearly presented so that it is clear to the reader which outcome each part addresses.	☐ Action Plan from the previous year is included.	☐ Some—but not all— information or Action Plan/Steps are included from the previous cycle.	☐ Information included in 'Previous Action Plan' component, but is not Action Plan from previous cycle (copy and paste as written).	Action Plan from the previous year is not included.		
CLOSING LOOP, or, ENGAGEMENT WITH PREVIOUS CYCLE'S ACTION PLAN/STEPS	☐ The action plan from the previous cycle is referenced in the new action plan (e.g., items that were not addressed or you were able to implement are being carried over into the new plan).	☐ All action plans/steps from the previous reporting cycle are addressed in detail based on how they were implemented.	☐ All action plans/steps from previous cycle note whether or not they were implemented (but include no detail).	☐ Some, but not all, components of the previous action plan are addressed based on how these facets were implemented: ☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3	☐ No response provided based on how previous year's action plan was implemented.		