***(Greyed out boxes contain instructions/definitions and should be deleted prior to submission)***

Personal Statement – (Name Goes Here)

For consideration of satisfactory Periodic Career Review in (department name) with demonstration of excellence in Teaching. My average work assignment (date range) is \_\_% teaching, \_\_% service, and \_\_% research.

Summary of major accomplishments during the period of review (2-3 paragraphs limit)

**Excellence in Teaching (\_\_%)**

*Documented substantial teaching assignment, including teaching associated with community-engaged teaching is best demonstrated by a major responsibility for (i.e., leadership role) a teaching program. Description of the faculty member’s role for a teaching program must include concise descriptions of the frequency and duration of the responsibility, outcomes, and evaluations of the outcomes. Examples include, but not limited to: teaching awards, engaging in structured mentoring or advising activities, developing new instructional or curricular materials, evidence of learning and critical thinking skills, participation in interdisciplinary teaching efforts, and being an author on a book chapter may all be considered. Descriptions of the quantity and quality of these educator activities should demonstrate excellence.*



**Proficiency in Service (\_\_%)**

***All service activities including clinical service, non-clinical/community service and service to research can be combined to demonstrate proficiency in service***

*Documented service assignment (clinical, non-clinical/community and/or service to research) and satisfactory peer and supervisory reviews of the service. Reviews by the recipients of the service must be obtained and document proficiency.*

*Significant non-departmental administrative assignments that serve a broader function in the School of Medicine or university (e.g., department chair, assistant, associate, or vice deans, etc.) should be included in the department promotion, tenure or periodic career review. Non-departmental administrative activities should be reviewed independently of the department review by the candidate’s appropriate supervisor(s) and sent to the department for inclusion in its review*

*Examples or recipients of the service include but not limited to referring physicians, patients, community organizations, local, regional or national organizations, mentees, research colleagues.*



**Proficiency in Research (\_\_%)**

*Documented research assignment including community-engaged research is best evidenced by regular dissemination of research findings (on average, at least annual publication for those with a > 20% work assignment), the majority of which should be through traditional peer-reviewed publications. For those with a work assignment in research of < 20%, at least one peer-reviewed publication (or other evidence of dissemination of knowledge) during the period of review (****0% work assignment indicates that research productivity is not required****)*



**Scholarly Activities** **(required of all Periodic Career Reviews; may duplicate items above)**

*Scholarly activity must be demonstrated regularly (i.e., on average annually) for a satisfactory periodic career review for tenured faculty and for the promotion of term faculty to the rank of associate professor or professor. Scholarly activity is defined as those activities in which faculty take a scholarly approach to education, service, or research activities. These occur when faculty systematically design, implement, access, or redesign educational, service, or research*activities *(See PAT Criteria Summary document page 12 for examples)*