

Integrating Treat-to-Target Strategies into the Management of Musculoskeletal Diseases

4/8/2016

This activity was created to address the professional practice gaps listed below:

- Employing MRI and/or US when diagnosing and treating rheumatoid arthritis.
- Identifying the European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) recommendations for the use of imaging in rheumatoid arthritis.
- Recognizing the role of MRI and US in diagnosing and treating spondyloarthropathies.
- Identifying the limitations that currently exist with regards to musculoskeletal imaging.

1. Please respond regarding how much you agree or disagree that the gaps listed above were addressed.

	Disagree		Agree	
Participating in this educational activity changed your KNOWLEDGE in the professional practice gaps listed above. [12-3.67]	(1) 8.33%	(0)	(1) 8.33%	(10) 83.33%
Participating in this educational activity changed your COMPETENCE in the professional practice gaps listed above. [12-3.58]	(0)	(1) 8.33%	(3) 25.00%	(8) 66.67%
Do you feel participating in this educational activity will change your PERFORMANCE in the professional practice gaps listed above? [12-3.50]	(1) 8.33%	(0)	(3) 25.00%	(8) 66.67%

2. Please elaborate on your previous answers. (6)

Brought to light the options of MRI and US along with reasons to order tests to monitor for disease progression. Also made me aware of new diagnostic options that are in the pipeline.

Excellent program & speakers

I learned a lot about new imaging techniques, such as DECT, optical imaging etc

I am already familiar with the subject.

Showed the clinical evidence and studies behind the standard of care our clinic already follows.

using imaging to treat RA

3. Please evaluate the effectiveness of the following speakers in improving your knowledge, competence and/or performance. (Poor = 1, Excellent = 4)

	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
Mihaela Taylor, MD [12-3.42]	(0)	(2)	(3)	(7)

		16.67%	25.00%	58.33%
Mikkel Ostergaard, MD [12-3.67]	(0)	(1) 8.33%	(2) 16.67%	(9) 75.00%
Ewa Olech, MD [11-3.45]	(1) 9.09%	(1) 9.09%	(1) 9.09%	(8) 72.73%
Ralf Thiele, MD [11-3.64]	(0)	(1) 9.09%	(2) 18.18%	(8) 72.73%
Orrin M Troum, MD, FACR [11-3.64]	(0)	(1) 9.09%	(2) 18.18%	(8) 72.73%
Olga Pimienta, MD [12-3.58]	(0)	(1) 8.33%	(3) 25.00%	(8) 66.67%
Steven Needell, MD [12-3.67]	(0)	(1) 8.33%	(2) 16.67%	(9) 75.00%
Norman Gaylis, MD [12-3.67]	(0)	(1) 8.33%	(2) 16.67%	(9) 75.00%
Alvin Wells, MD, PhD [11-3.55]	(0)	(1) 9.09%	(3) 27.27%	(7) 63.64%
Maria Antonietta D'Agostino [11-3.64]	(0)	(1) 9.09%	(2) 18.18%	(8) 72.73%

4. Please elaborate on your previous answers. (5)

All we very knowledgable and encouraged discussion. They answered questions very matter of factly.

Excellent, knowledgeable speakers

Excellent speakers

All faculty were clear communicators with interesting case studies and information.

all were excellent

5. Please identify a change that you will implement into practice as a result of attending this educational activity (new protocols, different medications, etc.) (10)

cont MRI extremity use

As mentioned above, I'll look to order MRI more frequently to assess for disease progression, which will determine if therapy needs to be increased (or decreased) for the matter.

insight into updated imaging & treatment algorithms

I'll start using DECT more often for my gout patients

better understanding of new imaging available

Will see if can get more MRI

Try to use MRI more often to assess patient in clinical remission....IF allowed by insurance companies.

Better able to educate patients on the importance of US/MRI imaging to support diagnosis and treatment recommendations.

using Xiralite

We'll look into new diagnostic tools

6. How certain are you that you will implement this change?

(10)

Certain ⁽⁵⁻
50.00%)

Very Certain ⁽²⁻
20.00%)

Maybe ⁽¹⁻
10.00%)

Not Certain ⁽²⁻
20.00%)

7. What topics do you want to hear more about, and what issues(s) in your practice will they address? (6)

How to incorporate MRI and US into private practice practically speaking.

New imaging techniques

How to get them approved

Insurance coverage of MRI.

uveitis

Diagnostic applications of MRI and US in difficult situations such as SIJ

8. Were the patient recommendations based on acceptable practices in medicine?

(10)

Yes ⁽⁹⁻
90.00%)

No ⁽¹⁻
10.00%)

9. If you answered No on the question above, please explain which recommendation(s) were not based on acceptable practices in medicine? (1)

still not part of guidelines

10. Do you think the presentation was without commercial bias?

(10)

Yes ⁽⁹⁻
90.00%)

No ⁽¹⁻
10.00%)

12. Please provide any additional comments you may have about this educational activity. (4)

Great conference, looking forward to next year.

Great conference!

I think there should be a "show room" with the machines available (U/S, MRI) and a workshop to make things more interesting.

As one of the participants of this educational activity, we want to encourage you to implement those ideas that were appropriate to your healthcare environment.

This evaluation is confidential and no individual will be identified by this office (Continuing Medical Education and Professional Development). It will only be used for quality improvement.

We look forward to seeing you at future University of Louisville events. Thank you very much.