



UNIVERSITY OF
LOUISVILLE

CONTINUING MEDICAL
EDUCATION & PROFESSIONAL
DEVELOPMENT

Advances in Neurology 2017: Updates in Epilepsy and Headache

5/20/2017

This activity was created to address the professional practice gaps listed below:

- Using the currently available newer antiepileptic medications in an appropriate fashion.
- Identifying the evaluation and management of the various forms of epilepsy in adolescence.
- Identifying the psychological aspects of headache and epilepsy in adolescents.
- Properly diagnosing and managing pseudotumor cerebri in adolescents and adults.
- Identify the comorbidity and shared clinical characteristics between migraine and epilepsy.

1. Please respond regarding how much you agree or disagree that the gaps listed above were addressed.

	Disagree		Agree	
Participating in this educational activity changed your KNOWLEDGE in the professional practice gaps listed above. [18-3.67]	(0)	(0)	(6) 33.33%	(12) 66.67%
Participating in this educational activity changed your COMPETENCE in the professional practice gaps listed above. [17-3.59]	(0)	(0)	(7) 41.18%	(10) 58.82%
Do you feel participating in this educational activity will change your PERFORMANCE in the professional practice gaps listed above? [17-3.53]	(0)	(1) 5.88%	(6) 35.29%	(10) 58.82%

2. Please elaborate on your previous answers. (4)

no further comment

I am still new to the field of medicine but this was a very informative conference that covered both basics and advances in neurology.

The topics covered are specific to my patient population.

Better understanding research regarding epilepsy and pseudotumor cerebri

3. Please evaluate the effectiveness of the following speakers in improving your knowledge, competence and/or performance. (Poor = 1, Excellent = 4)

	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
Deborah Friedman, MD [18-3.83]	(0)	(0)	(3) 16.67%	(15) 83.33%
Dean Naritoku, MD [18-3.72]	(0)	(0)	(5)	(13)

			27.78%	72.22%
M. Steve Evans, MD [17-3.65]	(0)	(0)	(6) 35.29%	(11) 64.71%
Michael K. Sowell, MD [17-3.53]	(0)	(0)	(8) 47.06%	(9) 52.94%
Karen Skjei, MD [18-3.78]	(0)	(0)	(4) 22.22%	(14) 77.78%
Brooke Threlkeld, PsyD [17-3.00]	(1) 5.88%	(4) 23.53%	(6) 35.29%	(6) 35.29%

4. Please elaborate on your previous answers. (8)

Entire program was great

none

Content was fine, but delivery was lacking (reading slides verbatim, not looking at the audience, speaking too softly, no energy)

Although Dr Threlkeld is very knowledgeable in her area of practice and was able to answer questions quickly and thoroughly, she is very dry in her presentation of the material.

Presenters were very knowledgeable about their given topic, answered all questions and were willing to have open discussions on topics.

Great speakers.

Very thorough, interesting presentations

all speakers were knowledgeable in their field and provided info that complimented each others topics

5. Please identify a change that you will implement into practice as a result of attending this educational activity (new protocols, different medications, etc.) (12)

Pay more attention to autoimmune epilepsies

Approach

I will try the managements discussed

Assessment of individuals with migraines and IHH

Have a higher awareness and suspicion for autoimmune encephalopathy

N/A

Better diagnostician

Will be more proactive with implementing psychology in adolescents with migraines and epilepsy

managing pseudotumor cerebri more effectively

I will send more patients for psychotherapy.

New evidence based practice

work up of autoimmune epilepsy will be higher on my list of diagnosis in differential when sudden onset/behavior changes assoc etc

6. How certain are you that you will implement this change?

(15)

Certain ⁽⁵⁻
33.33%)

Very Certain ⁽⁷⁻
46.67%)

Maybe ⁽¹⁻
6.67%)

N/A ⁽²⁻
13.33%)

7. What topics do you want to hear more about, and what issues(s) in your practice will they address? (5)

Approach

Pseudo tumor

Updates on Neurological Therapy

stroke in young adults, neurological conditions related to cancer

more on autoimmune encephalitis, more on autism and genetics

8. Were the patient recommendations based on acceptable practices in medicine?

(15)

Yes ⁽¹⁵⁻
100.00%)

10. Do you think the presentation was without commercial bias?

(16)

Yes ⁽¹⁶⁻
100.00%)

11. If you answered No on the above question, please list the topics that were biased? (1)

N/A

12. Please provide any additional comments you may have about this educational activity. (4)

None

The Neurology residents were missed!

The location was a great introduction to Louisville for out of towners and it gave them something to do after the conference.

I enjoyed attending the Neurology update and I look forward to attending next year.

As one of the participants of this educational activity, we want to encourage you to implement those ideas that were appropriate to your healthcare environment.

This evaluation is confidential and no individual will be identified by this office (Continuing Medical Education and Professional Development). It will only be used for quality improvement.

We look forward to seeing you at future University of Louisville events. Thank you very much.