

8th Annual Advances in Neurology 2016

5/21/2016

This activity was created to address the professional practice gaps listed below:

- Using the currently available medical and surgical therapies for the treatment of Parkinson's disease.
- Recognizing the various neuroophthalmologic disorders associated with multiple sclerosis or movement disorders.
- Identifying the various disease-modifying therapies available for the patient with multiple sclerosis and how to choose among them.

1. Please respond regarding how much you agree or disagree that the gaps listed above were addressed.

	Disagree		Agree	
Participating in this educational activity changed your KNOWLEDGE in the professional practice gaps listed above. [16-3.69]	(0)	(1) 6.25%	(3) 18.75%	(12) 75.00%
Participating in this educational activity changed your COMPETENCE in the professional practice gaps listed above. [16-3.69]	(0)	(1) 6.25%	(3) 18.75%	(12) 75.00%
Do you feel participating in this educational activity will change your PERFORMANCE in the professional practice gaps listed above? [15-3.67]	(0)	(1) 6.67%	(3) 20.00%	(11) 73.33%

2. Please elaborate on your previous answers. (7)

More familiar with MS medications and SE
has improved my knowledge on MS and Parkinson's
Good overviews
enhanced knowledge will be useful in clinical decision making when seeing Multiple Sclerosis patients
Excellent speakers and presented state of the art in their field of endeavor.
More reading and interest in Parkinson's disease
Up to date and a lot of information and applicable to practice.

3. Please evaluate the effectiveness of the following speakers in improving your knowledge, competence and/or performance. (Poor = 1, Excellent = 4)

	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
Aaron Miller, MD [15-3.87]	(0)	(0)	(2) 13.33%	(13) 86.67%

David Robertson, MD [15-3.73]	(0)	(1) 6.67%	(2) 13.33%	(12) 80.00%
Thong Pham, MD [15-3.73]	(0)	(0)	(4) 26.67%	(11) 73.33%
Kathrin LaFaver, MD [14-3.71]	(0)	(0)	(4) 28.57%	(10) 71.43%
David Charles, MD [14-3.79]	(0)	(0)	(3) 21.43%	(11) 78.57%

4. Please elaborate on your previous answers. (9)

Well educated and communicates info clearly

All were very knowledgeable and clinically experienced. I appreciate the shared expertise.

The conference presenters, overall, were experts in their fields.

Good presenters

did not hear talks by Drs. Miller, Robertson and Pham

competent providers

I have some friends/relatives with these neurologic disorders and their presentation were state of the art.

This was a very interesting, contemporary, and helpful meeting

No comment.

5. Please identify a change that you will implement into practice as a result of attending this educational activity (new protocols, different medications, etc.) (12)

Med options and availability

Will spend more time reviewing medications for these diseases

More awareness of trials for PD

adjust meds differently

Consideration of which medicine is best of MS patients

None

Protocol for the initial workup of patient with MS.

new protocols in ordering MRI's more frequently for Multiple Sclerosis patients

different medications

I will be more conscious of the applicable advances in these fields of neurology.

Will refer patients for DBS earlier

I want to follow the protocol as suggested by the speakers.

6. How certain are you that you will implement this change?

(14)

Certain ⁽⁸⁻
57.14%)

N/A ⁽¹⁻
7.14%)

Maybe ⁽¹⁻
7.14%)

Very Certain (4-
28.57%)

7. What topics do you want to hear more about, and what issues(s) in your practice will they address? (14)

None

ALS, facial pain education will improve competence

headaches, additional MS information

other disease states

This year's topics were more relevant than last year.

Anything and everything related to pediatric neurology

pediatrics

N/A

Multiple Sclerosis, problems patient's face with MS,

headache

Autism in adult and children. Some of the other degenerative neurologic diseases.

Headache, Epilepsy, and Stroke

More on movement disorders, like seizures, convulsions, epilepsy

8. Were the patient recommendations based on acceptable practices in medicine?

(16)

Yes (16-
100.00%)

9. If you answered No on the question above, please explain which recommendation(s) were not based on acceptable practices in medicine? (1)

N/A

10. Do you think the presentation was without commercial bias?

(16)

Yes (16-
100.00%)

11. If you answered No on the above question, please list the topics that were biased? (1)

N/A

12. Please provide any additional comments you may have about this educational activity. (7)

Parkinson's disease less helpful due to my care only being in the pediatric population

none

Would like to get handouts for all the presentations

am interested in hearing more from these providers, i.e. David Robertson, MD regarding Multiple Sclerosis

My interest on Parkinsonism is related to Cassius Clay's illness for all those years when he was alive. Concussions must have caused his illness and the implications of football

injuries.

Very good conference. Would love to have more of these each year

Keep up the good work!

As one of the participants of this educational activity, we want to encourage you to implement those ideas that were appropriate to your healthcare environment.

This evaluation is confidential and no individual will be identified by this office (Continuing Medical Education and Professional Development). It will only be used for quality improvement.

We look forward to seeing you at future University of Louisville events. Thank you very much.
