



## Metastatic Breast Cancer Update 2022

### 6/10/2022

This activity was created to address the professional practice gaps listed below:

- Identifying treatment challenges and opportunities for patients with Metastatic breast cancer.
- Identifying the myriad of challenges for young women diagnosed with breast cancer.
- Identifying metastatic breast cancer personalized clinical trials to each patient's type of cancer and diagnosis.

#### 1. Please respond regarding how much you agree or disagree that the gaps listed above were addressed.

	Disagree		Agree	
Participating in this educational activity changed your KNOWLEDGE in the professional practice gaps listed above. [8-3.88]	(0)	(0)	(1) 12.50%	(7) 87.50%
Participating in this educational activity changed your COMPETENCE in the professional practice gaps listed above. [8-3.63]	(0)	(0)	(3) 37.50%	(5) 62.50%
Do you feel participating in this educational activity will change your PERFORMANCE in the professional practice gaps listed above? [8-3.75]	(0)	(0)	(2) 25.00%	(6) 75.00%

#### 2. Please elaborate on your previous answers. (3)

Symposium was very informative and with lot of updates.

I will be able to address and guide a patient whom may need information on help regarding their Breast Cancer questions.

survivors provided first-hand testimony about their personal challenges and what is needed to help other women who are diagnosed.

#### 3. Please evaluate the effectiveness of the following speakers in improving your knowledge, competence and/or performance. (Poor = 1, Excellent = 4)

	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
Beth Riley, MD [8-3.88]	(0)	(0)	(1) 12.50%	(7) 87.50%
Whitney Pitman, APRN [8-3.75]	(0)	(0)	(2) 25.00%	(6) 75.00%
Sucheta Telang, MBBS [8-3.75]	(0)	(0)	(2) 25.00%	(6) 75.00%

Rebecca Redman, MD [8-3.88]	(0)	(0)	(1) 12.50%	(7) 87.50%
Caroline Johnson [8-3.50]	(0)	(1) 12.50%	(2) 25.00%	(5) 62.50%
Stephanie Walker [8-3.25]	(1) 12.50%	(0)	(3) 37.50%	(4) 50.00%

#### 4. Please elaborate on your previous answers. (4)

Great speakers.

Stephanie Walker was not knowledgeable about the topic and therefore unable to answer questions

Each speaker kept my attention. Very informative information was covered.

The speakers provided information and insight into innovative treatment and techniques that I was previously unaware of.

#### 5. Please identify a change that you will implement into practice as a result of attending this educational activity (new protocols, different medications, etc.) (4)

N/A

classifying HR + pathology

new low grade breast cancer with her 2 1+, 2+ and using enhertu as therapy for these

Listening more to the patient to find out what all can be done to help them on this journey.

#### 6. How certain are you that you will implement this change? (6)

(6)

N/A (1-  
16.67%)

Very Certain (4-  
66.67%)

Certain (1-  
16.67%)

#### 7. What topics do you want to hear more about, and what issues(s) in your practice will they address? (5)

N/A

always new treatments

Kidney Transplants!

I would like to know what the university and other universities are doing to make healthcare more equitable for all racial and cultural groups, including making clinical trials more equitable to minorities and women.

#### 8. Were the patient recommendations based on acceptable practices in medicine? (8)

(8)

Yes (8-  
100.00%)

**9. If you answered No on the question above, please explain which recommendation(s) were not based on acceptable practices in medicine? (1)**

n/a

**10. Do you think the presentation was without commercial bias?**

**(8)**

Yes <sup>(8-</sup>  
100.00%)

**11. If you answered No on the above question, please list the topics that were biased? (1)**

n/a

**12. Please provide any additional comments you may have about this educational activity. (5)**

N/A

The symposium was effectively ruined by Dr. John Chenault's unrelated comments regarding gun control, school shootings and political elections. In addition, prior to Dr. Chenault's inappropriate comments he shared incorrect information and data regarding clinical trials. It's unfortunate that he was chosen to participate.

Thank you for this information

I believe it was a good educational opportunity for the public and the University of Louisville staff.

I was highly disappointed in the discussion by Dr. John Chenault. He changed the atmosphere of the meeting with his biased political views that had no place in a metastatic breast symposium. I was caught off guard and was offended by his remarks. I can only imagine what his biased views due to the young adult population that encounters him on campus. I was embarrassed for the University and the Brown that he was a speaker at the symposium. He was well aware of his audience of breast cancer patients, staff, and vendors and yet still chose to deliver his political views, shame on him, it was very inappropriate.

As one of the participants of this educational activity, we want to encourage you to implement those ideas that were appropriate to your healthcare environment.

This evaluation is confidential and no individual will be identified by this office (Continuing Medical Education and Professional Development). It will only be used for quality improvement.

Names or contact information of learners will not be shared with any ineligible company or its agents without the explicit consent of the individual learner.

We look forward to seeing you at future University of Louisville events. Thank you very much.