

2021 Stroke Symposium

10/8/2021

This activity was created to address the professional practice gaps listed below:

- Utilizing current best practices for the evaluation and management of vascular cognitive impairment.
- Recognizing the appropriate strategies for stroke prevention.
- Identifying current treatment options for acute stroke intervention.
- Using best practice methods for the evaluation and management of acute hemorrhagic stroke.

1. Please respond regarding how much you agree or disagree that the gaps listed above were addressed.

	Disagree		Agree	
Participating in this educational activity changed your KNOWLEDGE in the professional practice gaps listed above. [44-3.82]	(0)	(0)	(8) 18.18%	(36) 81.82%
Participating in this educational activity changed your COMPETENCE in the professional practice gaps listed above. [44-3.70]	(0)	(0)	(13) 29.55%	(31) 70.45%
Do you feel participating in this educational activity will change your PERFORMANCE in the professional practice gaps listed above? [43-3.72]	(0)	(0)	(12) 27.91%	(31) 72.09%

2. Please elaborate on your previous answers. (23)

This helps me understand more of the procedures that may be involved in my clinical trials

Nice overall reviews of key topics in hemorrhagic and ischemic stroke diagnosis and management.

The information provided was very informative.

Good overview of up and coming literature, particularly when it came to mechanical thrombectomy. I learned more about posterior circulation strokes which was helpful to me.

Real case studies and stats presented allows for support in changing processes/treatment

N/A

Presentations included the most up to date information and studies to base practice on, along with recommendations for best practice from experts in the field. Very valuable information.

Educate patients on ways to prevent cognitive impairment by making lifestyle changes and complying with treatment earlier in life.

Good overview of cryptogenic stroke, posterior circulation strokes and ICH acute management of large vessel occlusions

Excellent production

Important topics and great speakers.

great to hear new perspectives from experts in the field.

The presentations increased my knowledge of cognitive impairment and dementia, secondary ICH, mechanical thrombectomy, and how different areas of the brain are assessed and treated for stroke.

Perfect

All topics were covered

Specifically, gained knowledge regarding vascular cognitive impairment.

posterior circulation imaging review and cognitive screening earlier

I recently started in Neurology and stroke and this session was a little above my level but I did learn quite a bit about the research and types of strokes discussed.

More research based presentations, so no change in current practice

great offering

I feel more confident in the use of Jak inhibitors and will consider using them in my practice.

3. Please evaluate the effectiveness of the following speakers in improving your knowledge, competence and/or performance. (Poor = 1, Excellent = 4)

	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
Phillip Gorelick, M.D. [44-3.73]	(0)	(0)	(12) 27.27%	(32) 72.73%
Saad Hasan, M.D. [41-3.73]	(0)	(0)	(11) 26.83%	(30) 73.17%
Marwa Elnazeir, M.D. [43-3.51]	(0)	(3) 6.98%	(15) 34.88%	(25) 58.14%
Josh Abecassis, M.D. [43-3.77]	(0)	(0)	(10) 23.26%	(33) 76.74%
Louis Caplan, M.D. [37-3.70]	(0)	(0)	(11) 29.73%	(26) 70.27%

4. Please elaborate on your previous answers. (1)

n/a

5. Please identify a change that you will implement into practice as a result of attending this educational activity (new protocols, different medications, etc.) (5)

Potential protocol change

protocols, meds

Protocols

more information to patients

Use of Jak inhibitors and use of Derm Tech stickers to identify Melanoma.

6. How certain are you that you will implement this change?

(8)

Certain ⁽⁴⁻
50.00%)

Very Certain ⁽²⁻
25.00%)

N/A ⁽¹⁻
12.50%)

Maybe ⁽¹⁻
12.50%)

7. What topics do you want to hear more about, and what issues(s) in your practice will they address? (5)

Stroke in the young

MS, ALS, epilepsy

Stroke and Covid 19

none

Connective Tissue Disease and Drug reactions, laser technology

8. Were the patient recommendations based on acceptable practices in medicine?

(9)

Yes ⁽⁹⁻
100.00%)

9. If you answered No on the question above, please explain which recommendation(s) were not based on acceptable practices in medicine? (1)

n/a

10. Do you think the presentation was without commercial bias?

(9)

Yes ⁽⁹⁻
100.00%)

11. If you answered No on the above question, please list the topics that were biased? (1)

n/a

12. Please provide any additional comments you may have about this educational activity. (5)

Great event

Thank you!

This was an excellent educational activity

none

More topics regarding stroke Rehabilitation

As one of the participants of this educational activity, we want to encourage you to implement those ideas that were appropriate to your healthcare environment.

This evaluation is confidential and no individual will be identified by this office (Continuing Medical Education and Professional Development). It will only be used for quality improvement.

We look forward to seeing you at future University of Louisville events. Thank you very much.