

# 2018 Kentucky Urological Association Annual Scientific Section Meeting

### 11/10/2018

This activity was created to address the professional practice gaps listed below:

• Adopting into practice therapeutic interventions known to decrease the risk of urethral stricture recurrence.

• Identifying high intensity focused ultrasound as an option for treating prostate cancer recurrence following radiotherapy.

• Adapting into practice perioperative pathways known to reduce the length-of-stay and morbidity of radical cystectomy.

### **1.** Please respond regarding how much you agree or disagree that the gaps listed above were addressed.

- -

	Disagree		Agree		
Participating in this educational activity changed your KNOWLEDGE in the professional practice gaps listed above. [15-3.93]	(0)	(0)	(1) 6.67%	(14) 93.33%	
Participating in this educational activity changed your COMPETENCE in the professional practice gaps listed above. [15-3.87]	(0)	(0)	(2) 13.33%	(13) 86.67%	
Do you feel participating in this educational activity will change your PERFORMANCE in the professional practice gaps listed above? [15-3.73]	(0)	(0)	(4) 26.67%	(11) 73.33%	

#### 2. Please elaborate on your previous answers. (11)

Updates in bladder CA and Prostate CA were great

good up to date info all topics

Yes to above, no more detail

some thoughtful new concepts

good meeting

New developments in prostate cancer treatments

n/a

Good information for a tough population

Contemporary overview of urethroplasty techniques and BPH management.

### 3. Please evaluate the effectiveness of the following speakers in improving your knowledge, competence and/or performance. (Poor = 1, Excellent = 4)

Poor Fair Good Excellent

John B. Eifler, MD [14-3.79]	(0)	(0)	(3) 21.43%	(11) 78.57%
Amul A. Bhalodi, MD [14-3.79]	(0)	(0)	(3) 21.43%	(11) 78.57%
Scott B. Sellinger, MD, FACS [15-3.53]	(0)	(1) 6.67%	(5) 33.33%	(9) 60.00%
Kellen Bo Yung Choi, DO [15-3.33]	(0)	(2) 13.33%	(6) 40.00%	(7) 46.67%
John H. Jurige, MD [15-3.47]	(0)	(2) 13.33%	(4) 26.67%	(9) 60.00%
Matthew J. Resnick, MD [15-3.87]	(0)	(0)	(2) 13.33%	(13) 86.67%
Sam S. Chang, MD, MBA [15-3.93]	(0)	(0)	(1) 6.67%	(14) 93.33%

#### 4. Please elaborate on your previous answers. (10)

More time could be spent on updates and less on basic review in recon and BPH lectures

Good speakers

all were knowledgeable and had experience

excellent program

outstanding lectures by Resnick and Chang

n/a

Unable to give all information due to time restraint

High quality presentations from most speakers

# 5. Please identify a change that you will implement into practice as a result of attending this educational activity (new protocols, different medications, etc.) (12)

i will start usingintravesical chemo for non-muscle invasive bladder cancer

see #4

multiple, including workups for prostate cancer recurrence and management of bladder cancer

will give urolift a chance

Offer different treatments for enlarged prostate and some different treatments for bladder and prostate cancer

new tests

decrease use of MMC

HIFU

Consider intravesical gemcitabine for bladdercancer

Will refer patients earlier for urethroplasty

#### 6. How certain are you that you will implement this change?

(14)

Very Certain  $\binom{(2-1)}{14.29\%}$ Certain  $\binom{(9-1)}{64.29\%}$  Maybe (3-21.43%)

### **7.** What topics do you want to hear more about, and what issues(s) in your practice will they address? (7)

bladder cancer

no particular topic, just latest information in whatever topics chosen

stones

N/a

Management of advanced urologic malignancies

#### 8. Were the patient recommendations based on acceptable practices in medicine?

(14)

Yes (14-100.00%)

9. If you answered No on the question above, please explain which recommendation(s) were not based on acceptable practices in medicine? (1) na

#### 10. Do you think the presentation was without commercial bias?

(13)

Yes (13-100.00%)

## **11.** If you answered No on the above question, please list the topics that were biased? (1)

na

### **12.** Please provide any additional comments you may have about this educational activity. (3)

none

Enoyed the meeting very much

A well done seminar. Enjoyed the physicians from Vanderbilt

As one of the participants of this educational activity, we want to encourage you to implement those ideas that were appropriate to your healthcare environment.

This evaluation is confidential and no individual will be identified by this office (Continuing Medical Education and Professional Development). It will only be used for quality improvement.

We look forward to seeing you at future University of Louisville events. Thank you very much.