



Regularly Scheduled Series (RSS) Program Evaluation  
 Department of Medicine Grand Rounds  
 July 1, 2018 through June 30, 2019

Thank you for your time in providing this valuable feedback which will be used to enhance future RSS programs.

1. Please respond regarding how much you agree or disagree.

|                                                                     | Disagree |            | Agree      |             |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|------------|------------|-------------|
| Participating in this RSS program changed my KNOWLEDGE. [22-3.68]   | (0)      | (1) 4.55%  | (5) 22.73% | (16) 72.73% |
| Participating in this RSS program changed my COMPETENCE. [21-3.62]  | (0)      | (2) 9.52%  | (4) 19.05% | (15) 71.43% |
| Participating in this RSS program changed my PERFORMANCE. [22-3.45] | (0)      | (3) 13.64% | (6) 27.27% | (13) 59.09% |

If you agree, please list one or more areas of your improved knowledge, competence or performance.

(11)

Increased knowledge to help diagnose challenging cases.

Better understanding of use of ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY to assess patients in shock

Keeping up with technology and new drugs. I can clearly manage my patients better

Understand pathophysiology of autoimmune thyroiditis; improved diagnosis and management of insulin resistance

Physicians support single-payer national health care reform.

Fecal transplant is a new treatment modality

Increased my knowledge in areas outside of my specialty

More rounded

managing patient with difficult to diagnose conditions and treatment

I learned about other areas that are in in my primary area of expertise needed to perform my job.

Lots of good information from subspecialists to which I would not have otherwise had exposure (Infectious Disease and PreP, etc.)

Learned new guidelines

2. Do you think the topics in this RSS program were presented without commercial bias or a conflict of interest?

(22)

Yes (21-  
95.45%)

No (1-  
4.55%)

If you answered 'NO' to the above question, and if you believe there were commercially biased speakers/presentations, please list any you can recall.

3. Please rate:

|                                                    | Poor | Fair      | Good        | Excellent   |
|----------------------------------------------------|------|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| The overall quality of this RSS program. [22-3.41] | (0)  | (1) 4.55% | (11) 50.00% | (10) 45.45% |

4. Based on your educational needs and medical practice gaps, please suggest topics and/or speakers for future RSS programming in this medical discipline.

(10)

Current trends and updates in all of internal medicine

Please invite Dr. Dunaif back to further discuss PCOS and GYN consequences of insulin resistance

National health policy

solid organ transplant

pediatric dermatology

More Infectious Diseases or Immunology related talks.

Continued lectures from subspecialists presenting information that is generalizable for all clinicians.

Dementia treatment and evaluation

More Clinical/ Practical talks

I appreciate when speakers make their topic relevant to all subspecialties and general medicine.

5. Please identify a change that you will implement into practice as a result of attending this educational activity (new protocols, different medications, etc.)

(9)

Use more bedside echo in critical care

Use of the Hep A vaccine for patients who have been previously vaccinated

Improved diagnostic utilization and therapeutics.

change knowledge of how those people are immunosuppressed

recognizing skin conditions that are rare

None at this time

Increased usage of PrEP

Too long ago to remember specifics

N/A

6. Please list any additional comments you may have about this educational activity.

(8)

Consider more clinically oriented topics for clinicians as opposed to bench research presentations.

None

Well done - thanks!

I appreciate the educational opportunity to learn about other specialties during the Grand Rounds series.

Please continue to avoid research heavy lectures-- these are not very useful for the majority of faculty present.

Focus on topics suitable for general medicine audience. Too much focus on specialties

Most are EXCELLENT

None. Thanks.

As one of the participants in this RSS program, we want to encourage you to implement those ideas that were appropriate to your healthcare practice environment.

This evaluation data is confidential, and no individual will be identified by the office of Continuing Medical Education and Professional Development.

This data will only be used for quality assessment and improvement of future CME courses. Thank you very much.