



Regularly Scheduled Series (RSS) Program Evaluation

KCPC Forensic Case Conference

July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018

Thank you for your time in providing this valuable feedback which will be used to enhance future RSS programs.

1. Please respond regarding how much you agree or disagree.

| | Disagree | | Agree | |
|--|----------|-----|---------------|---------------|
| Participating in this RSS program changed my KNOWLEDGE. [4-3.75] | (0) | (0) | (1) 25.00% | (3) 75.00% |
| Participating in this RSS program changed my COMPETENCE. [4-3.50] | (0) | (0) | (2) 50.00% | (2) 50.00% |
| Participating in this RSS program changed my PERFORMANCE. [4-3.50] | (0) | (0) | (2) 50.00% | (2) 50.00% |

If you agree, please list one or more areas of your improved knowledge, competence or performance.

(4)

the topics are well thought-out and very well prepared. Wide range of often complex topics regarding competency, criminal responsibility, and testimony in court.

Better understanding intellectual disability in context of competency to stand trial.

Continuing to improve on management of difficult forensic cases, interpretation of psychological test data, and explanation to the courts.

Seeing case presentation improves all of these areas, as it helps improve your conceptualization for difficult cases.

2. Do you think the topics in this RSS program were presented without commercial bias or

a conflict of interest?

(4)

Yes (4-100.00%)

If you answered 'NO' to the above question, and if you believe there were commercially biased speakers/presentations, please list any you can recall.

3. Please rate:

| | Poor | Fair | Good | Excellent |
|---|------|------|------|-----------|
| The overall quality of this RSS program. [4-4.00] | (0) | (0) | (0) | (4)100% |

4. Based on your educational needs and medical practice gaps, please suggest topics and/or speakers for future RSS programming in this medical discipline.

(3)

Evaluating sanity evidence.

More of the same.

Examples of cases or research on opinions of not competent and not restorable for individuals with intellectual disability.

5. Please identify a change that you will implement into practice as a result of attending this educational activity (new protocols, different medications, etc.)

(4)

Perhaps being more alert to the complexities of each individual case.

Better evaluation of adaptive functioning.

Improved explanation of psychological test data results to the courts.

Improved interview questions.

6. Please list any additional comments you may have about this educational activity.

(4)

Again, I think there have been a range of topics that have been well thought-out, well prepared and very interesting, involving specific cases, or specific issues in the findings regarding competency or criminal responsibility, and testimony on court.

none.

Excellent series!

These case conferences greatly add to knowledge base and help to build relationships among professionals at my place of employment.

As one of the participants in this RSS program, we want to encourage you to implement those ideas that were appropriate to your healthcare practice environment.

This evaluation data is confidential, and no individual will be identified by the office of Continuing Medical Education and Professional Development.

This data will only be used for quality assessment and improvement of future CME courses. Thank you very much.