

## Second Annual Louisville Regional Stroke Symposium 2017

9/15/2017

This activity was created to address the professional practice gaps listed below:

- Recognizing the significant gender, age and ethnic disparities that exist in the care of acute stroke patients.
- Appropriately selecting patients who might benefit from endovascular therapy in acute stroke.
- Recognizing the significant deficiencies that exist in transfer time for patients with acute stroke.
- Identifying available practices such as thrombectomy for acute ischemic stroke.

### 1. Please respond regarding how much you agree or disagree that the gaps listed above were addressed.

	Strongly Disagree		Strongly Agree	
Participating in this educational activity changed your KNOWLEDGE in the professional practice gaps listed above. [23-3.70]	(1) 4.35%	(0)	(4) 17.39%	(18) 78.26%
Participating in this educational activity changed your COMPETENCE in the professional practice gaps listed above. [23-3.48]	(1) 4.35%	(0)	(9) 39.13%	(13) 56.52%
Do you feel participating in this educational activity will change your PERFORMANCE in the professional practice gaps listed above? [23-3.57]	(1) 4.35%	(0)	(7) 30.43%	(15) 65.22%

### 2. Please elaborate on your previous answers. (10)

I think overall the program did a good job discussing the topics and increased my knowledge of management of stroke patients.

Great information

This symposium was fantastic

Excellent

Excellent speakers.

Please keep up with the good job! Great CME in stroke/

My knowledge clearly improved after hearing endovascular management approaches for LVO stroke cases.

n/a

na

**3. Please evaluate the effectiveness of the following speakers in improving your knowledge, competence and/or performance. (Poor = 1, Excellent = 4)**

	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
Ozan Akca, MD, FCCM [23-3.70]	(0)	(0)	(7) 30.43%	(16) 69.57%
Tracy Ander, MD [23-3.52]	(0)	(3) 13.04%	(5) 21.74%	(15) 65.22%
Pooja Khatri, MD [23-3.96]	(0)	(0)	(1) 4.35%	(22) 95.65%
Kerri Rimmel, MD, PhD [22-3.77]	(0)	(0)	(5) 22.73%	(17) 77.27%
Eva A. Mistry, MD [22-3.91]	(0)	(0)	(2) 9.09%	(20) 90.91%
Betsy Wise, APRN [23-3.70]	(0)	(1) 4.35%	(5) 21.74%	(17) 73.91%

**4. Please elaborate on your previous answers. (12)**

I felt like all the speakers did a good job overall. Dr. Khatri and Dr. Mistry I felt were more organized and well-versed in their talks. I enjoyed Dr. Ander's and Dr. Akca's talks but felt like they could have been a bit more advanced or in-depth. I also felt Dr. Ander's talk could have been better organized.

Dr Rimmel introduced speakers but did not present content

Wonderful speakers

All of the speakers were superb

Excellent

Outstanding speakers and up to date.

Dr. Khatri's talk was absolutely excellent. Also the PRO/CON debate was excellent as well.

I don't remember Eva Mistry as a speaker.

n/a

na

great presenters

**5. Please identify a change that you will implement into practice as a result of attending this educational activity (new protocols, different medications, etc.) (17)**

Not applicable; no pediatric relevant information

I'll think more about my patients with headache and neck pain and consider evaluating them for posterior circulation issues or arterial dissections.

More thorough evaluation of dizziness

more vigilant with stroke pts

more education to understand tx plan

Stroke pts management

New protocol

Apply what I learned especially the new concepts.

Considerations between conventional approach and additional benefits coming from interventions for LVO patients.

TPA criteria, looking into research that supports expanding time for TPA and MT  
none

more thought of tpa vs no tpa based on the endovascular discussion

n/a

Better evaluate who is eligible For EVT

new protocols

Review of new evidence discussed at symposium that is soon to be published

consider transfer for intervention more often with large strokes up to 24 hours.

#### **6. How certain are you that you will implement this change?**

(20)

N/A <sup>(2-</sup>  
10.00%)

Certain <sup>(9-</sup>  
45.00%)

Very Certain <sup>(8-</sup>  
40.00%)

Not Certain <sup>(1-</sup>  
5.00%)

#### **7. What topics do you want to hear more about, and what issues(s) in your practice will they address? (10)**

Pediatric stroke

pediatric treatment

NA

Clinical Bioethics in Stroke Care.

Acute management of patient during and perioperative period of neurovascular intervention

n/a

none

nursing related topics

Review of vessel studies

thrombectomy within 24 hours

#### **8. Were the patient recommendations based on acceptable practices in medicine?**

(20)

Yes <sup>(20-</sup>  
100.00%)

#### **9. If you answered No on the question above, please explain which recommendation(s) were not based on acceptable practices in medicine? (2)**

Not applicable.

na

**10. Do you think the presentation was without commercial bias?**

**(22)**

Yes (21-  
95.45%)

No (1-  
4.55%)

**11. If you answered No on the above question, please list the topics that were biased? (3)**

Not applicable.

n/a

na

**12. Please provide any additional comments you may have about this educational activity. (7)**

This was a fantastic symposium

Please send me topics of future CME conferences.

Great meeting.

The venue was extremely cold.

none

n/a

na

As one of the participants of this educational activity, we want to encourage you to implement those ideas that were appropriate to your healthcare environment.

This evaluation is confidential and no individual will be identified by this office (Continuing Medical Education and Professional Development). It will only be used for quality improvement.

We look forward to seeing you at future University of Louisville events. Thank you very much.

---