

1st Annual Musculoskeletal Pathology Course: Review and Update

10/27-28/2017

This activity was created to address the professional practice gaps listed below:

- Pursuing orthopaedic oncology as a specialty career.
- Receiving exposure to imaging, pathology, and treatment options for musculoskeletal tumors while in residency.
- Attending musculoskeletal tumor training modules.
- Diagnosing bone and soft tissue sarcomas early enough.
- Recognizing the different characteristics of benign and malignant musculoskeletal tumors.
- Utilizing current grading techniques as an important prognostic factor in bone and soft tissue tumors.

1. Please respond regarding how much you agree or disagree that the gaps listed above were addressed.

	Disagree		Agree	
Participating in this educational activity changed your KNOWLEDGE in the professional practice gaps listed above. [5-3.80]	(0)	(0)	(1) 20.00%	(4) 80.00%
Participating in this educational activity changed your COMPETENCE in the professional practice gaps listed above. [5-3.60]	(0)	(1) 20.00%	(0)	(4) 80.00%
Do you feel participating in this educational activity will change your PERFORMANCE in the professional practice gaps listed above? [4-3.75]	(0)	(0)	(1) 25.00%	(3) 75.00%

2. Please elaborate on your previous answers. (1)

This was a very good course. Not just a good overview of orthopaedic oncology but also provided updates in pathologic diagnosis of bone and soft tissue tumors.

3. Please evaluate the effectiveness of the following speakers in improving your knowledge, competence and/or performance. (Poor = 1, Excellent = 4)

	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
Rodolfo A. Zamora, MD [5-4.00]	(0)	(0)	(0)	(5)100%
Samer Z. Al-Quran, MD [5-4.00]	(0)	(0)	(0)	(5)100%
Robert Ricciotti, MD [5-4.00]	(0)	(0)	(0)	(5)100%
Ernest U. Conrad III, MD [5-4.00]	(0)	(0)	(0)	(5)100%

Kerry McGowan, MD [5-4.00]	(0)	(0)	(0)	(5)100%
Rajaneeshankar Palani, MD [5-4.00]	(0)	(0)	(0)	(5)100%

4. Please elaborate on your previous answers. (1)

The speakers were very knowledgeable in their respective fields and they were all effective in teaching the material.

5. Please identify a change that you will implement into practice as a result of attending this educational activity (new protocols, different medications, etc.) (1)

As a pathologist, it is always important to understand what our colleagues do and how our diagnosis impacts patient management. I thought I came away from this meeting with a better understanding of orthopaedic oncology.

6. How certain are you that you will implement this change?

(5)

Very Certain ⁽²⁻
40.00%)

N/A ⁽¹⁻
20.00%)

Maybe ⁽¹⁻
20.00%)

Certain ⁽¹⁻
20.00%)

7. What topics do you want to hear more about, and what issues(s) in your practice will they address? (1)

I thought the topics this year were appropriate for this course.

8. Were the patient recommendations based on acceptable practices in medicine?

(5)

Yes ⁽⁵⁻
100.00%)

10. Do you think the presentation was without commercial bias?

(5)

Yes ⁽⁴⁻
80.00%)

No ⁽¹⁻
20.00%)

12. Please provide any additional comments you may have about this educational activity. (1)

I personally enjoyed the case questions and slides. I can imagine that the course could be improved by starting with 4 intro lectures (1 intro for surgery, pathology, radiology and oncology) and then keeping those people for the rest of the time and present cases and allow each person from each speciality the chance to provide their input into each case.

As one of the participants of this educational activity, we want to encourage you to implement those ideas that were appropriate to your healthcare environment.

This evaluation is confidential and no individual will be identified by this office (Continuing Medical Education and Professional Development). It will only be used for quality improvement.

We look forward to seeing you at future University of Louisville events. Thank you very much.
