



Thinking About Our Thinking:

How the REACH LRC is working to measure and promote critical thinking

Julie W. Hohmann, M.Ed.
Coordinator
REACH Learning Resource Center
University of Louisville

View handout at:

<https://louisville.edu/ideastoaction/resources/presentations/30th-international-conference-on-critical-thinking-concurrent-sessions>



UNIVERSITY OF
LOUISVILLE





Let's Get It Started...

- What are five challenges that you face in your area of work?
- What are some strengths in your area of work?

The Big Topic: Critical Thinking





Group Activity: “Circle of Voices”

- Group of 5 people
- Go around the circle
- Respond to the previous speaker’s comments
- Once all group members have spoken, move into open discussion (no rules)

Question

**HOW DO YOU ENCOURAGE
CRITICAL THINKING IN YOUR
PROGRAM OR CLASS?**

Critical Thinking Project

- Created Rubric for Master Tutors to use:
 - Focus on a central question or topic during the session
 - Measure student critical thinking on a scale from 1 – 4
 - Focused on these elements & standards:
 - **Information**
 - **Question at issue**
 - **Intellectual Perseverance & Autonomy**



REACH's Mission

- REACH provides academic support services and retention programs which encourage students to be **independent** and **successful** learners.
- These academic support services and retention programs offer participating students the opportunity to better **prepare** and adapt to college life and to **improve** their academic skills and performance in college courses.

How It Was Implemented...

- Tutor Training 'Critical Thinking' Session
- Gave Master Tutors blue book
- Rubric Completion
- End of semester survey



*"The teacher said I should learn to think for myself.
I think I'll stay home tomorrow."*

What We Found:

Variable	β	p
(Constant)	6.938	.000
Total Hours Tutored	.345	.036

DV=Final Course Grade, $R^2 = .119$, $n = 37$

Table 1 suggests that there is a statistically significant correlation between the total hours tutored and the final course grade.

- Each hour tutored leads to a higher course grade.

What We Found:

Variable	β	p
(Constant)	6.081	.000
Total Rubric Score	.129	.446

DV=Final Course Grade, $R^2 = .017$, $n=37$

- **Table 2** suggests that there is no relationship between the total rubric score and the final course grade.

What We Found:

Variable	β	p
(Constant)	6.081	.000
Total Rubric Score	.401	.014

DV=Total Hours Tutored, $R^2 = .161$, $n=37$

Table 3 suggests that there is a statistically significant correlation between the total rubric score and the total hours tutored.

In the Future...

- Preliminary findings-more data will help us get better results.
- Plan to use structural equation modeling (SEM) to identify the connections between these variables:



Tutors in Action





Resources

- www.reach.louisville.edu
- <http://louisville.edu/ideastoaction/aboutlc/clc/lrc>
- <http://louisville.edu/ideastoaction/what>
- www.criticalthinking.org
- www.stephenbrookfield.com
- Paul, R. & Elder, L. (2008). *The Guide to Critical Thinking: Concepts and Tools*. Foundation for Critical Thinking Press.

Contact Information

Julie W. Hohmann

jmwebb02@louisville.edu

502-852-7516