Official University Administrative Procedure

1 PROCEDURE NAME (R*)

2 Resolution of Authorship and Publication Disputes in Research and Creative Activity

3 4

PROCEDURE NUMBER (O*)

5 TBD

6 7

INITIAL ADOPTION AND EFFECTIVE DATE (R*)

8 TBD

9 10

PROCEDURE APPLICABILITY (R*)

- 11 This procedure applies to faculty, students, other trainees, staff, employees, visiting
- scholars, key personnel, project team members, and all other members of UofL's
- research community, as well as an individual who is responsible for the design,
- 14 proposal, conduct, and/or reporting of research, irrespective of discipline,
- regardless of pay or leave status (collectively "Researcher(s)"). This procedure
- applies to UofL research and related activities, regardless of funding source.

17 18

REASON FOR PROCEDURE (0*)

- 19 Standards for Authorship and Publication of Research and Unacceptable Authorship
- 20 Practices are governed by the University's Responsible Conduct of Research Policy
- 21 (RES-5.01)
- 22 In cases of authorship disputes related to composition and/or order of authors, in
- 23 which only University of Louisville ("University") authors are part of the dispute, the
- 24 Executive Vice President for Research and Innovation (EVPRI) encourages parties to
- 25 engage in direct dialogue to resolve matters. In instances where an agreement was
- 26 not reached or has not been honored, additional steps may be warranted to resolve
- 27 the dispute. All processes related to this administrative procedure should be
- 28 handled fairly and expeditiously.

29 PROCEDURE STATEMENT (R*)

Resolution of Authorship Disputes

31

30

- 32 **Direct Communication** (Preferred Process). This involves the parties to the
- dispute discussing their perspectives and working to reach an agreeable resolution.
- Once consensus has been reached, it should be formally documented, signed, and
- dated by all parties involved and copies of the signed agreement should be
- distributed to the parties. In cases where the parties span more than one

Official University Administrative Procedure

department/unit, copies should also be sent to the cognizant department heads/directors/VPs/Deans.

Mediation. Parties to a conflict may choose to work with the Office of Research Integrity to participate in a confidential mediation process to assist in finding a resolution to authorship disputes. The Office of Research Integrity does not advocate for any particular outcome and remains a neutral third party assisting with the exploration of perspectives, rationales, and options. The parties themselves decide on the terms of any agreement.

 Peer Panel. Parties to an authorship dispute can agree to present their perspectives to a panel of three University Researchers with expertise in the respective discipline, no conflict of interest, and, when possible, no affiliation with the department(s) of the involved parties. By entering the voluntary Peer Panel process, the parties involved will agree in writing to accept and abide by the decision of the panel. The parties will further agree that in abiding by the decision, they will not file a dispute at the level of the journal's editors or other public forum. Further description of this proposed process can be found below.

Appointment of Panel: One or more disputants will notify the Director, Office of Research Integrity of the authorship dispute. The Director will propose a panel of three (3) Researchers with sufficient expertise in the relevant discipline and no conflict of interest with any of the disputants. Whenever possible, the proposed panelists will have no affiliation with the department(s) involved. The Director may consult with additional University officials when proposing panel members. Each disputant will have the opportunity to review the list to confirm their acceptance of the panel members, or to challenge proposed panelists based upon perceived conflict of interest. The Director will make the final determination on panel composition.

Presentation to the Panel: The Panel decision is limited in scope to the composition and order of authors. No other authorship matters will be considered by the Panel, unless otherwise requested by the Executive Vice President for Research and Innovation. The panelists will select one person to serve as the Panel Facilitator. The Panel Facilitator will convene the Panel to allow the disputants to share information regarding the dispute. The panel will meet with each disputant separately and, at the discretion of the panel, will interview other parties relevant to resolving the authorship dispute. Disputants will submit the manuscript and may provide other relevant materials regarding the authorship dispute to the panelists in advance. Panelists will review the materials at their discretion. The Panel may contact disputants to gather additional information, if necessary.

Official University Administrative Procedure

Decision of the Panel: Panelists will agree not to disclose the deliberations of the panel or how any of the panelists voted on the matter, except as required by law. Following deliberations, the Panel will take a vote to reach a final, binding decision and prepare a written report detailing the decision and rationale. If the vote is not unanimous, the report will reflect both sides, but the majority vote will be decisive. The Panel Facilitator will inform the Director, Office of Research Integrity, of its decision and provide the written report. The Director will subsequently provide the disputants with the final report. The Director, Office of Research Integrity, will also inform all other authors of the paper regarding the binding decision and rationale.

Binding EVPRI Decision. If the parties do not resolve the dispute through direct communication or mediation, and choose not to work with a Peer Panel, then the EVPRI will render a binding decision, and may consult with expert(s) prior to making the decision. If the manuscript is already submitted for publication, the EVPRI will notify the journal that the University has approved the publication of the manuscript using the approved authorship composition and order. If the manuscript in question is already published, the EVPRI will notify the journal to communicate recommendations for modifications to author composition or order.

Correction or Retraction of Publication

- In instances where the Conflict Review Board, Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee, Institutional Biosafety Committee, or Institutional Review Board has made a finding of non-compliance and determined that the data upon which a publication is based was not collected with proper University or regulatory approval, the manuscript in question will be subject to correction or retraction (as determined by the governing journal). The Office of Research Integrity will coordinate with the corresponding author of the publication to ensure any required corrective action is addressed.
 - **RELATED INFORMATION (O*)**
- 111 NIH NOT-OD-18-011
- 112 Authorship and Publication Resources
- Scholars should review the reputation of the journals/publishers to which they submit and are encouraged to avoid publishing in predatory journals¹. These

¹ Please refer to https://scientific-publishing.webshop.elsevier.com/research-process/what-predatory-journal-checklist/ for information on predatory journals.

Official University Administrative **Procedure**

115 116	journals employ unethical business practices including plagiarism and publication of fabricated results.
117 118	RESPONSIBLE AUTHORITY (R*)
119 120	Executive Vice President for Research and Innovation
121	RESPONSIBLE UNIVERSITY DEPARTMENT/DIVISION (R*)
122 123 124 125 126	Office of Research Integrity 300 E Market, Suite 300, Louisville, KY 40292 Phone: 1-502-852-2454 Email: ori@louisville.edu
127	HISTORY (R*)
128 129 130	Revision Date(s): TBD Reviewed Date(s): TBD
131 132 133	The University Policy and Procedure Library is updated regularly. In order to ensure a printed copy of this document is current, please access it online at http://louisville.edu/policies
134	
135	R* = Required O* = Optional