Srivastava, D. K., George, E. O., Lu, Z., & Rai, S. N. (2021). Impact of unequal censoring and insufficient follow-up on comparing survival outcomes: Applications to clinical studies. *Statistical Methods in Medical Research*, *30*(9), 2057-2074. https://doi.org/10.1177/09622802211017592

Definitions

- **Censoring**: Incomplete information about a patient's survival time, occurring when the study ends before the event (like death) happens, or if the patient is lost to follow-up.

- **Follow-up**: The period during which patients in a study are monitored for outcomes.

- **Logrank Test**: A statistical test used to compare survival distributions of two groups.

- **Proportional Hazards**: The assumption that the ratio of hazard rates (risk of event happening) between two groups is constant over time.

Key Findings

- Unequal censoring and insufficient follow-up can lead to inaccurate conclusions about treatment effects in clinical studies.

- Simulation studies show that different censoring proportions can affect the performance of the logrank test.

- Insufficient follow-up may result in random censoring, which can bias the results.

Introduction

The study examines how unequal censoring and insufficient follow-up in clinical trials affect the comparison of survival outcomes between treatment groups. Clinical trials often have a specified follow-up period, and patients who do not experience the event by the end of the study are censored. This can lead to unequal censoring, which impacts the validity of survival analysis.

Main Content

Background

Clinical trials are designed to compare treatment effects on survival. Patients are followed for a set time, and those who don't experience the event are censored. Unequal censoring occurs when different numbers of patients are censored in each treatment group, which can skew results.

Methods

- **Simulation Studies**:

  - Created scenarios with different censoring proportions and follow-up times.

  - Used statistical methods to evaluate the impact on survival analysis.

Results

- **Unequal Censoring**:

    o   When censoring proportions were unequal, the logrank test's type I error rate (false positive) increased.

    o   Greater differences in censoring proportions led to higher bias.

- **Insufficient Follow-up**:

    o   Random censoring due to insufficient follow-up can misclassify failure times, leading to biased estimates.

Conclusion

The study highlights the importance of considering censoring mechanisms in the design and analysis of clinical trials. Unequal censoring and insufficient follow-up can lead to invalid conclusions about treatment effects. Proper planning and analysis strategies are needed to mitigate these issues and ensure accurate survival comparisons.

Word Count: 353