## **RTOP: Reformed Teaching Observation Protocol**

Teacher Candidate:				
Observer:			_	
Grade Level: Date of Ob	servation:			
Lesson Plan & Implementation				
	Never Occurred		/ Descriptive	
1.) Instructional strategies and activities respected students' prior knowledge and the preconceptions inherent therein.	0 1 2	3	4	
2.) The lesson was designed to engage students as members of a learning community.	0 1 2	3	4	
3.) In this lesson, student exploration preceded formal presentation.	0 1 2	3	4	
4.) This lesson encouraged students to seek and value alternative modes of investigation or of problem solving	0 1 2	3	4	

## Content

5.) The focus and direction of the lesson was often determined by ideas originating with students.

		Never Occurred			Very Descriptive	
	6.) The lesson involved fundamental concepts of					
	the subject.	0	1	2	3	4
	7.) The lesson promoted strongly coherent					
nal	conceptual understanding.	0	1	2	3	4
tio						
ropositiona	8.) The teacher had a solid grasp of the subject					
ορ	matter content inherent in the lesson.	0	1	2	3	4
P						

	9.) Elements of abstraction (i.e., symbolic						
	representations, theory building) were	0	1	2	3	4	
	encouraged where it was important to do so.						
	10.) Connections with other content disciplines						
	and/ or real world phenomena were explored	0	1	2	3	4	
	and valued.						
	11.) Students used a variety of means (models,						
	drawings, graphs, concrete materials,	0	1	2	3	4	
	manipulatives, etc.) to represent phenomena.						
	12.) Students made predictions, estimations						
ge	and/or hypotheses and devised means for	0	1	2	3	4	
Knowledge	testing them.						
<u> </u>	13.) Students were actively engaged in thought-						
Kno	provoking activity that often involved the critical	0	1	2	3	4	
	assessment of procedures.						
<u>ra</u>	14.) Students were reflective about their						
Procedural	learning.	0	1	2	3	4	
930	15.) Intellectual rigor, constructive criticism, and						
P	the challenging of ideas were valued.	0	1	2	3	4	
	and and Coults are						

Classroom Culture

		Never Occurred			Very Descriptive	
Communicative Indicators	16.) Students were involved in the communication of their ideas to others using a variety of means and media.	0	1	2	3	4
	17.) The teacher's questions triggered divergent modes of thinking.	0	1	2	3	4
	18.) There was a high proportion of student talk and a significant amount of it occurred between and among students.	0	1	2	3	4
ပိ	19.) Student questions and comments often					

	determined the focus and direction of classroom discourse.		0	1	2	3	4
	20.) There was a climate of respect for what others had to say.		0	1	2	3	4
	21.) Active participation of students was encouraged and valued.		0	1	2	3	4
ships	22.) Students were encouraged to generate conjectures, alternative solution strategies, and ways of interpreting evidence.		0	1	2	3	4
Student/ Teacher Relationships	23.) In general the teacher was patient with students.		0	1	2	3	4
	24. The teacher acted as a resource person, working to support and enhance student investigations.		0	1	2	3	4
	25.) The metaphor "teacher as listener" was very characteristic of this classroom.	(	)	1	2	3	4

Feedback		