

Source of Evidence: Lesson Plan

(Summer 2015)

Based on **Kentucky Teacher Internship Program (KTIP)** for use in the U of L Developmental Teacher Preparation (DTP) Model. This rubric will be used to assess Kentucky Teaching Standard #2: Designs and Plans Instruction. Each indicator is also mapped to the Teacher Professional Effectiveness System (PGES) Components and the CCSSO InTASC Standards.

| | Insufficient Evidence | Developing | Target | Exemplary | Comments/Questions /Suggestions |
|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | 2.1Develops significant objectives aligned with standards; InTASC 1,4; PGES Domains 1A, 1C | | | | |
| | Fails to develop measureable objectives that are aligned with standards and/or stated as student learning outcomes | Develops objectives that are not consistently measureable, aligned to standards or stated as student learning outcomes. | Develops relevant and measureable objectives for different groups of students that are aligned with standards and stated as student learning outcomes | Develops relevant and measureable objectives for individual and groups of students that are aligned with standards and stated as student learning outcomes | |
| | 2.2 Uses contextual data to design instruction relevant to students; InTASC 1, 2, 4, 5, 7; PGES Domains 1A 1B, 3E | | | | |
| uction | Fails to incorporate contextual data into instructional design and planning | Identifies relevant contextual data; however, data are not consistently reflected in the instructional design and planning | Incorporates relevant contextual and pre-assessment data into instructional design and planning. | Incorporates relevant contextual and pre-assessment data into instructional design and planning to meet school and district instructional goals | |
| stı | 2.3 Plans assessments to guide instruction and measure learning objectives; InTASC 1; PGES Domains 1C, 1F, 3D | | | | |
| Plans Instruction | Fails to develop assessment procedures that measure student outcomes. | Develops assessment procedures that measure student outcomes, but are not used consistently to guide instruction. | Develops assessment procedures to guide instruction and measure learning objectives. | Develops differentiated assessment procedures to guide instruction and measure learning objectives. | |
| s and | 2.4 Plans instructional strategies and activities that address learning objectives for all students; [UofL Standard 11.2] InTASC 1,4,5,7 PGES Domains 1C, 1E, 3C, 3E | | | | |
| 2: Designs and | Fails to plan instructional strategies and/or activities aligned with learning objectives. | Plans instructional strategies and activities aligned with learning objectives. | Plans instructional strategies and activities aligned with learning objectives for groups of students | Plans instructional strategies and activities aligned with learning objectives for individuals and groups of students. | |
| | 2.5 Plans instructional strategies and activities that facilitate multiple levels of learning; InTASC 1, 4, 7; PGES Domains 1C, 1E, 3A, 3E | | | | |
| Standard | Fails to plan instructional strategies and activities that require multiple levels of learning. | Plans instructional strategies and activities that require multiple levels of learning, but do not address higher order thinking. | Plans instructional strategies and activities that require multiple levels of learning, including higher order thinking. | Plans an instructional sequence that requires multiple levels of learning, including higher order thinking. | |
| | Holistic Assessment of KTS Standard 2 | | | | |
| | Fails to plan instructional strategies and assessment procedures aligned with standards that facilitate multiple levels of learning. | Plans instructional strategies and assessment procedures aligned with standards that facilitate multiple levels of learning. | Plans instructional strategies and assessment procedures aligned with standards that facilitate multiple levels of learning for different groups of students | Plans instructional strategies and assessment procedures aligned with standards that facilitate multiple levels of learning for individuals and different groups of students | |