UNIVERSITY OF LOUISVILLE.

Partner Survey - Spring 2019 Individual 2

Project Title: **UofL Community Partner Survey - Spring 2019**

Survey Audience: **715**
Responses Received: **130**
Response Ratio: **18.2%**

Prepared by: **Patrick Smith**
Creation Date: **Thursday, April 25, 2019**

Powered by
blue

How long have you been collaborating with the University of Louisville?

1) How long have you been collabora… 2.8

| | 0.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 |

How long have you been collaborating with the University of Louisville?

How long have you been collaborating with the University of Louisville?

- 1 Less than one year (1) 0.8%
- 2 1-3 Years (26) 20.3%
- 3 More than 3 years (101) 78.9%
- [Total (128)]

| 0 | 50% | 100% |

Statistics	Value
Response Count	128
Mean	2.8
Median	3.0
Mode	3
Standard Deviation	0.4
Population Standard Deviation	0.4
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.0
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.0

How long have you been collaborating with the University of Louisville?

There is no data to show.

What are the benchmark areas addressed by your organization?

- Education (75) 26.7%
- Health (49) 17.4%
- Housing (12) 4.3%
- Environment (14) 5.0%
- Safety (12) 4.3%
- Public Service (24) 8.5%
- Social Services (61) 21.7%
- Economic Development (17) 6.0%
- Other (17) 6.0%
- [Respondent(s) (127)]

| 0 | 50% | 100% |

What are the benchmark areas addressed by your organization?

There is no data to show.

## What is your organizational status?

1) What is your organizational status? 3.9

| 0.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 7.0 |

What is your organizational status?

What is your organizational status?

1 Educational Institution (24)		18.9%
2 For-Profit Business/Corporati…		2.4%
3 Government Agency (18)		14.2%
4 Health Care (11)		8.7%
5 Non-profit Organization (68)		53.5%
6 Professional Association (0)		0.0%
7 Other (3)		2.4%
[Total (127)]		

Statistics	Value
Response Count	127
Mean	3.9
Median	5.0
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	1.6
Population Standard Deviation	1.6
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.1
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.1

What is your organizational status?

There is no data to show.

INDICATE YOUR LEVEL OF AGREEMENT WITH FOLLOWING STATEMENTS ABOUT YOUR PARTNERSHIP "Our partnership with UofL led to..."

|	
1) Increased funding opportunities	2.6
2) Increased resources	3.9
3) Positive behavior change (Staff, em…	4.0
4) Completion of project	3.2
5) Positive project outcome	3.8
6) Increased value of services	4.1
7) Access to technology	2.4
8) Access to expertise	4.0
9) Production of new services, produc…	2.9
10) Identification of new staff	2.8
11) Identification of additional volunte…	3.1

INDICATE YOUR LEVEL OF AGREEMENT WITH FOLLOWING STATEMENTS ABOUT YOUR PARTNERSHIP "Our partnership with UofL led to..."

| Competency Statistics	Value
Mean	3.3
Median	4.0
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	1.6
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.0
Population Standard Deviation	1.6
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.0

1. Increased funding opportunities

1 Strongly Disagree (5) 4.8%
2 Disagree (18) 17.3%
3 Neutral (27) 26.0%
4 Agree (23) 22.1%
5 Strongly Agree (12) 11.5%
0 Not Applicable (19) 18.3%
[Total (104)]

Statistics	Value
Response Count	104
Mean	2.6
Median	3.0
Mode	3
Standard Deviation	1.6
Population Standard Deviation	1.6
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.2
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.2

2. Increased resources

1 Strongly Disagree (1) 1.0%
2 Disagree (6) 5.7%
3 Neutral (13) 12.4%
4 Agree (45) 42.9%
5 Strongly Agree (36) 34.3%
0 Not Applicable (4) 3.8%
[Total (105)]

Statistics	Value
Response Count	105
Mean	3.9
Median	4.0
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	1.2
Population Standard Deviation	1.2
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.1
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.1

3. Positive behavior change (Staff, employees, organization, community, people served)

1 Strongly Disagree (1) 1.0%
2 Disagree (1) 1.0%
3 Neutral (14) 13.5%
4 Agree (46) 44.2%
5 Strongly Agree (37) 35.6%
0 Not Applicable (5) 4.8%
[Total (104)]

Statistics	Value
Response Count	104
Mean	4.0
Median	4.0
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	1.2
Population Standard Deviation	1.2
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.1
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.1

4. Completion of project

1 Strongly Disagree (1) 1.0%
2 Disagree (2) 2.0%
3 Neutral (19) 18.6%
4 Agree (32) 31.4%
5 Strongly Agree (28) 27.5%
0 Not Applicable (20) 19.6%
[Total (102)]

Statistics	Value
Response Count	102
Mean	3.2
Median	4.0
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	1.8
Population Standard Deviation	1.8
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.2
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.2

5. Positive project outcome

```
1 Strongly Disagree (1)    1.0%
2 Disagree (2)             1.9%
3 Neutral (13)            12.6%
4 Agree (35)              34.0%
5 Strongly Agree (42)     40.8%
0 Not Applicable (10)      9.7%
[ Total (103) ]
        0         50%      100%
```

| Statistics	Value
Response Count	103
Mean	3.8
Median	4.0
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	1.5
Population Standard Deviation	1.5
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.1
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.1

6. Increased value of services

```
1 Strongly Disagree (0)    0.0%
2 Disagree (3)             3.0%
3 Neutral (11)            10.9%
4 Agree (41)              40.6%
5 Strongly Agree (42)     41.6%
0 Not Applicable (4)       4.0%
[ Total (101) ]
        0         50%      100%
```

Statistics	Value
Response Count	101
Mean	4.1
Median	4.0
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	1.1
Population Standard Deviation	1.1
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.1
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.1

7. Access to technology

```
1 Strongly Disagree (3)    3.0%
2 Disagree (16)           16.0%
3 Neutral (26)            26.0%
4 Agree (16)              16.0%
5 Strongly Agree (12)     12.0%
0 Not Applicable (27)     27.0%
[ Total (100) ]
        0         50%      100%
```

Statistics	Value
Response Count	100
Mean	2.4
Median	3.0
Mode	0
Standard Deviation	1.7
Population Standard Deviation	1.7
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.2
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.2

8. Access to expertise

```
1 Strongly Disagree (0)    0.0%
2 Disagree (4)             4.0%
3 Neutral (17)            16.8%
4 Agree (33)              32.7%
5 Strongly Agree (42)     41.6%
0 Not Applicable (5)       5.0%
[ Total (101) ]
        0         50%      100%
```

Statistics	Value
Response Count	101
Mean	4.0
Median	4.0
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	1.2
Population Standard Deviation	1.2
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.1
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.1

INDICATE YOUR LEVEL OF AGREEMENT WITH FOLLOWING STATEMENTS ABOUT YOUR PARTNERSHIP "Our partnership with UofL led to..." (continued)

9. Production of new services, products, or materials

1 Strongly Disagree (1) | 1.0%
2 Disagree (11) | 10.9%
3 Neutral (24) | 23.8%
4 Agree (28) | 27.7%
5 Strongly Agree (18) | 17.8%
0 Not Applicable (19) | 18.8%
[Total (101)]

Statistics	Value
Response Count	101
Mean	2.9
Median	3.0
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	1.7
Population Standard Deviation	1.7
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.2
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.2

10. Identification of new staff

1 Strongly Disagree (4) | 3.9%
2 Disagree (11) | 10.7%
3 Neutral (22) | 21.4%
4 Agree (23) | 22.3%
5 Strongly Agree (20) | 19.4%
0 Not Applicable (23) | 22.3%
[Total (103)]

Statistics	Value
Response Count	103
Mean	2.8
Median	3.0
Mode	4, 0
Standard Deviation	1.8
Population Standard Deviation	1.8
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.2
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.2

11. Identification of additional volunteers

1 Strongly Disagree (3) | 2.9%
2 Disagree (8) | 7.8%
3 Neutral (18) | 17.5%
4 Agree (30) | 29.1%
5 Strongly Agree (25) | 24.3%
0 Not Applicable (19) | 18.4%
[Total (103)]

Statistics	Value
Response Count	103
Mean	3.1
Median	4.0
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	1.8
Population Standard Deviation	1.8
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.2
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.2

INDICATE YOUR LEVEL OF AGREEMENT WITH FOLLOWING STATEMENTS ABOUT YOUR PARTNERSHIP "Our partnership with UofL led to..."

There is no data to show.

## Do you plan to continue partnering with the university?

1) Do you plan to continue partnering... 1.1

0.0   1.0   2.0   3.0

Do you plan to continue partnering with the university?

Do you plan to continue partnering with the university?

Response	Percent
1 Yes (100)	95.2%
2 No (1)	1.0%
3 Not Sure (Please explain wh…	3.8%
[Total (105)]	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	105
Mean	1.1
Median	1.0
Mode	1
Standard Deviation	0.4
Population Standard Deviation	0.4
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.0
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.0

Do you plan to continue partnering with the university?

There is no data to show.

In what ways do you believe that you are able to influence the university as a result of this partnership(s)?

Response	Percent
Influence on course content (25)	13.6%
Influence on university policies…	6.5%
Influence on faculty awareness…	26.1%
Influence on student learning e…	41.8%
Our organization had no influe…	7.6%
Other (specify) (8)	4.3%
[Respondent(s) (104)]	

In what ways do you believe that you are able to influence the university as a result of this partnership(s)?

There is no data to show.

What was the best aspect of this partnership for your organization?

Comments

Not Answered

What could the university do differently to enhance collaboration with your organization?

Comments

Not Answered

How did your collaboration with the university influence your capacity to fulfill the mission of your organization?

Category	%
New insights about the organiz…	9.7%
Increase the number of clients…	18.7%
Enhanced offerings of services…	23.0%
Increased leverage of financial…	7.6%
New connections/ networks wit…	20.5%
Changes in organizational dire…	2.2%
Increases in number of service…	12.2%
Our interaction with UofL had n…	2.5%
Other influences (Specify) (10)	3.6%
[Respondent(s) (105)]	

How did your collaboration with the university influence your capacity to fulfill the mission of your organization?

There is no data to show.

INDICATE YOUR LEVEL OF AGREEMENT WITH THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT

1) <b>The partnership resulted in mu… 4.5

INDICATE YOUR LEVEL OF AGREEMENT WITH THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT

Competency Statistics	Value
Mean	4.5
Median	5.0
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	0.7
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.1
Population Standard Deviation	0.7
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.1

The partnership resulted in mutual benefit between our organization and UofL

Response	%
1 Strongly Disagree (0)	0.0%
2 Disagree (2)	2.4%
3 Neutral (5)	6.0%
4 Agree (28)	33.3%
5 Strongly Agree (49)	58.3%
0 Not Applicable (0)	0.0%
[Total (84)]	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	84
Mean	4.5
Median	5.0
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	0.7
Population Standard Deviation	0.7
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.1
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.1

INDICATE YOUR LEVEL OF AGREEMENT WITH THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT

There is no data to show.

Please rate your level of satisfaction with your connection to the University of Louisville in the following areas.

| Area	Rating
1) Overall communication with UofL p...	4.3
2) Level of interactions with students....	3.8
3) Level of interactions with faculty or...	4.3
4) Quality of student work or performa...	3.8
5) Quality of faculty or UofL staff work...	4.1
6) Ability to provide feedback and inp...	3.7
7) Level of trust with faculty. </b...	4.3
8) Level of trust with students. <...	3.7
9) Scope of the project. </br>	3.7
10) Project time period.	3.7

Please rate your level of satisfaction with your connection to the University of Louisville in the following areas.

Competency Statistics	Value
Mean	3.9
Median	4.0
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	1.5
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.0
Population Standard Deviation	1.5
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.0

1. Overall communication with UofL partnership contact.

2. Level of interactions with students.

1 Very Dissatisfied (1) 1.0%
2 Dissatisfied (4) 4.0%
3 Neither Satisfied or Unsatisfi... 4.0%
4 Satisfied (39) 39.4%
5 Very Satisfied (50) 50.5%
0 Not Applicable (1) 1.0%
[Total (99)]

1 Very Dissatisfied (0) 0.0%
2 Dissatisfied (1) 1.0%
3 Neither Satisfied or Unsatisfi... 5.0%
4 Satisfied (33) 33.0%
5 Very Satisfied (47) 47.0%
0 Not Applicable (14) 14.0%
[Total (100)]

Statistics	Value		Statistics	Value
Response Count	99		Response Count	100
Mean	4.3		Mean	3.8
Median	5.0		Median	4.0
Mode	5		Mode	5
Standard Deviation	0.9		Standard Deviation	1.7
Population Standard Deviation	0.9		Population Standard Deviation	1.7
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.1		Standard Error (base on SD)	0.2
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.1		Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.2

3. Level of interactions with faculty or UofL staff.

4. Quality of student work or performance.

1 Very Dissatisfied (0) 0.0%
2 Dissatisfied (2) 2.0%
3 Neither Satisfied or Unsatisfi... 8.0%
4 Satisfied (42) 42.0%
5 Very Satisfied (47) 47.0%
0 Not Applicable (1) 1.0%
[Total (100)]

1 Very Dissatisfied (0) 0.0%
2 Dissatisfied (0) 0.0%
3 Neither Satisfied or Unsatisfi... 6.9%
4 Satisfied (31) 30.7%
5 Very Satisfied (47) 46.5%
0 Not Applicable (16) 15.8%
[Total (101)]

Statistics	Value		Statistics	Value
Response Count	100		Response Count	101
Mean	4.3		Mean	3.8
Median	4.0		Median	4.0
Mode	5		Mode	5
Standard Deviation	0.8		Standard Deviation	1.7
Population Standard Deviation	0.8		Population Standard Deviation	1.7
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.1		Standard Error (base on SD)	0.2
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.1		Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.2

5. Quality of faculty or UofL staff work or performance.

```
1 Very Dissatisfied (0)   0.0%
2 Dissatisfied (0)        0.0%
3 Neither Satisfied or Unsatisfi...  7.1%
4 Satisfied (35)          35.4%
5 Very Satisfied (49)     49.5%
0 Not Applicable (8)      8.1%
[ Total (99) ]
                          0    50%   100%
```

Statistics	Value
Response Count	99
Mean	4.1
Median	4.0
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	1.4
Population Standard Deviation	1.4
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.1
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.1

6. Ability to provide feedback and input into planning experiences.

```
1 Very Dissatisfied (1)   1.0%
2 Dissatisfied (5)        5.1%
3 Neither Satisfied or Unsatisfi...  12.2%
4 Satisfied (33)          33.7%
5 Very Satisfied (36)     36.7%
0 Not Applicable (11)     11.2%
[ Total (98) ]
                          0    50%   100%
```

Statistics	Value
Response Count	98
Mean	3.7
Median	4.0
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	1.6
Population Standard Deviation	1.6
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.2
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.2

7. Level of trust with faculty.

```
1 Very Dissatisfied (0)   0.0%
2 Dissatisfied (2)        2.0%
3 Neither Satisfied or Unsatisfi...  5.0%
4 Satisfied (36)          36.0%
5 Very Satisfied (53)     53.0%
0 Not Applicable (4)      4.0%
[ Total (100) ]
                          0    50%   100%
```

Statistics	Value
Response Count	100
Mean	4.3
Median	5.0
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	1.1
Population Standard Deviation	1.1
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.1
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.1

8. Level of trust with students.

```
1 Very Dissatisfied (0)   0.0%
2 Dissatisfied (0)        0.0%
3 Neither Satisfied or Unsatisfi...  10.0%
4 Satisfied (36)          36.0%
5 Very Satisfied (40)     40.0%
0 Not Applicable (14)     14.0%
[ Total (100) ]
                          0    50%   100%
```

| Statistics	Value
Response Count	100
Mean	3.7
Median	4.0
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	1.6
Population Standard Deviation	1.6
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.2
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.2

Please rate your level of satisfaction with your connection to the University of Louisville in the following areas. (continued)

| 9. Scope of the project.	10. Project time period.

1 Very Dissatisfied (0) — 0.0%	1 Very Dissatisfied (0) — 0.0%
2 Dissatisfied (1) — 1.0%	2 Dissatisfied (0) — 0.0%
3 Neither Satisfied or Unsatisfi… — 8.2%	3 Neither Satisfied or Unsatisfi… — 9.4%
4 Satisfied (39) — 40.2%	4 Satisfied (38) — 39.6%
5 Very Satisfied (36) — 37.1%	5 Very Satisfied (35) — 36.5%
0 Not Applicable (13) — 13.4%	0 Not Applicable (14) — 14.6%
[Total (97)]	[Total (96)]

Statistics	Value	Statistics	Value
Response Count	97	Response Count	96
Mean	3.7	Mean	3.7
Median	4.0	Median	4.0
Mode	4	Mode	4
Standard Deviation	1.6	Standard Deviation	1.6
Population Standard Deviation	1.6	Population Standard Deviation	1.6
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.2	Standard Error (base on SD)	0.2
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.2	Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.2

Please rate your level of satisfaction with your connection to the University of Louisville in the following areas.

| |
|---|
| There is no data to show. |

INDICATE YOUR LEVEL OF AGREEMENT WITH THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT

1) <b>"The reputation of the Universi…	4.1
2) <b>"The reputation of the Universi…	2.7

INDICATE YOUR LEVEL OF AGREEMENT WITH THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT

Competency Statistics	Value
Mean	3.4
Median	4.0
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	1.4
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.1
Population Standard Deviation	1.4
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.1

### 1. "The reputation of the University of Louisville promotes creating relationships with the community"

```
1 Strongly Disagree (0)    0.0%
2 Disagree (3)             3.1%
3 Neutral (10)             10.2%
4 Agree (45)               45.9%
5 Strongly Agree (38)      38.8%
0 Not Applicable (2)       2.0%
[ Total (98) ]
                      0      50%     100%
```

Statistics	Value
Response Count	98
Mean	4.1
Median	4.0
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	1.0
Population Standard Deviation	1.0
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.1
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.1

2. "The reputation of the University of Louisville serves as an impediment to creating relationships with the community"

```
1 Strongly Disagree (21)   22.3%
2 Disagree (27)            28.7%
3 Neutral (15)             16.0%
4 Agree (17)               18.1%
5 Strongly Agree (13)      13.8%
0 Not Applicable (1)       1.1%
[ Total (94) ]
                      0      50%     100%
```

Statistics	Value
Response Count	94
Mean	2.7
Median	2.0
Mode	2
Standard Deviation	1.4
Population Standard Deviation	1.4
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.1
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.1

INDICATE YOUR LEVEL OF AGREEMENT WITH THE FOLLOWING STATEMENT

There is no data to show.

As a result of your connection to the University of Louisville, how has your awareness of the university changed?

```
learned more about the universi… (56)      23.5%
know whom to call upon for infor… (46)      19.3%
am more involved with activities o… (9)     3.8%
have an increased knowledge o… (42)         17.6%
have more interactions with facu… (47)      19.7%
members of your organization h… (14)        5.9%
no change in awareness (21)                 8.8%
developed negative impression o… (1)        0.4%
Other (specify) (2)                         0.8%
[ Respondent(s) (98) ]
                                      0      50%     100%
```

As a result of your connection to the University of Louisville, how has your awareness of the university changed?

There is no data to show.

What is the name of your partnership project with UofL? (if your organization is involved in multiple projects with UofL please choose one as you respond to the items in this section)

Comments
Not Answered

### Was this project operational prior to your partnership with UofL?

1) Was this project operational prior t... 1.7

(bar chart: axis 0.0, 1.0, 2.0, 3.0; bar extends to ~1.7)

Was this project operational prior to your partnership with UofL?

Was this project operational prior to your partnership with UofL?

1 Yes (36) — 43.4%
2 No (34) — 41.0%
3 Not Sure (13) — 15.7%
[Total (83)]

(axis 0, 50%, 100%)

Statistics	Value
Response Count	83
Mean	1.7
Median	2.0
Mode	1
Standard Deviation	0.7
Population Standard Deviation	0.7
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.1
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.1

Was this project operational prior to your partnership with UofL?

There is no data to show.

### Please indicate your agreement with the following statements:

1) Our partnership project began with... 4.0
2) Our partnership project achieved (... 4.3
3) Our partnership project has measu... 4.0
4) Our partnership project had (is hav... 4.0
5) We have evidence that our partner... 3.9

(bar chart: axis 0.0, 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, 6.0)

Please indicate your agreement with the following statements:

Competency Statistics	Value
Mean	4.0
Median	4.0
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	1.1
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.1
Population Standard Deviation	1.1
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.1

### 1. Our partnership project began with clearly defined goals

```
1 Strongly Disagree (0)   0.0%
2 Disagree (3)            3.5%
3 Neutral (9)             10.5%
4 Agree (40)              46.5%
5 Strongly Agree (31)     36.0%
0 Not Applicable (3)      3.5%
[ Total (86) ]
        0        50%      100%
```

Statistics	Value
Response Count	86
Mean	4.0
Median	4.0
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	1.1
Population Standard Deviation	1.1
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.1
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.1

2. Our partnership project achieved (is achieving) its goals

```
1 Strongly Disagree (0)   0.0%
2 Disagree (1)            1.1%
3 Neutral (8)             9.2%
4 Agree (38)              43.7%
5 Strongly Agree (39)     44.8%
0 Not Applicable (1)      1.1%
[ Total (87) ]
        0        50%      100%
```

Statistics	Value
Response Count	87
Mean	4.3
Median	4.0
Mode	5
Standard Deviation	0.8
Population Standard Deviation	0.8
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.1
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.1

3. Our partnership project has measurable outcomes

```
1 Strongly Disagree (0)   0.0%
2 Disagree (3)            3.5%
3 Neutral (10)            11.6%
4 Agree (38)              44.2%
5 Strongly Agree (31)     36.0%
0 Not Applicable (4)      4.7%
[ Total (86) ]
        0        50%      100%
```

Statistics	Value
Response Count	86
Mean	4.0
Median	4.0
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	1.2
Population Standard Deviation	1.2
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.1
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.1

4. Our partnership project had (is having) the intended level of impact in the community

```
1 Strongly Disagree (0)   0.0%
2 Disagree (2)            2.3%
3 Neutral (11)            12.8%
4 Agree (40)              46.5%
5 Strongly Agree (30)     34.9%
0 Not Applicable (3)      3.5%
[ Total (86) ]
        0        50%      100%
```

Statistics	Value
Response Count	86
Mean	4.0
Median	4.0
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	1.1
Population Standard Deviation	1.1
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.1
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.1

5. We have evidence that our partnership program had (is having) impact in the community

```
1 Strongly Disagree (0)    0.0%
       2 Disagree (4)      4.8%
      3 Neutral (10)       11.9%
        4 Agree (41)       48.8%
5 Strongly Agree (25)      29.8%
0 Not Applicable (4)       4.8%
       [ Total (84) ]
                    0      50%      100%
```

Statistics	Value
Response Count	84
Mean	3.9
Median	4.0
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	1.2
Population Standard Deviation	1.2
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.1
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.1

Please indicate your agreement with the following statements:

There is no data to show.

What is this partnership project's most important outcome in the community?

Comments
Not Answered

How do you define success with regard to your project impacting who you serve? (for example: people served, jobs created)

Comments
Not Answered

How were the logistics of your project handled?

```
1) How were the logistics of your proj... 3.4
                    0.0   1.0   2.0   3.0   4.0   5.0   6.0
```

How were the logistics of your project handled?

How were the logistics of your project handled?

| Statistics	Value
Response Count	86
Mean	3.4
Median	4.0
Mode	4
Standard Deviation	1.3
Population Standard Deviation	1.3
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.1
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.1

How were the logistics of your project handled?

There is no data to show.

Is a formal assessment or evaluation being conducted for this project?

1) Is a formal assessment or evaluati… 1.9

Is a formal assessment or evaluation being conducted for this project?

Is a formal assessment or evaluation being conducted for this project?

| Statistics	Value
Response Count	87
Mean	1.9
Median	2.0
Mode	2
Standard Deviation	0.8
Population Standard Deviation	0.8
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.1
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.1

Is a formal assessment or evaluation being conducted for this project?

There is no data to show.

## Please tell us about your project's assessment or evaluation.

Comments
Not Answered

If no, would you like the University's assistance with assessment?

1) If no, would you like the University… 1.8

| 0.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 |

If no, would you like the University's assistance with assessment?

If no, would you like the University's assistance with assessment?

1 yes (10)   18.9%
2 no (43)   81.1%
[Total (53)]

| 0 | 50% | 100% |

Statistics	Value
Response Count	53
Mean	1.8
Median	2.0
Mode	2
Standard Deviation	0.4
Population Standard Deviation	0.4
Standard Error (base on SD)	0.1
Standard Error (base on PSD)	0.1

If no, would you like the University's assistance with assessment?

There is no data to show.

Please add any additional comments here.

Comments
Not Answered