

Faculty Assembly Meeting

2:00PM – 2:45PM

Notes from 11/17:

1. Three documents previously sent with agenda for the assembly's consideration from Regina Roebuck, Associate Dean for Undergraduate Education:
 - A&S Standing Rules with edits, showing change to the existing Academic Discipline and Student Grievance Committee and the creation of an Academic Integrity Committee.
 - Roebuck: following up on work by Fuselier and Gainous regarding academic discipline and grievance procedures
 - Standing rules changes needed to accommodate these needs via two separate committees
 - One: student grievance only – take away academic discipline
 - Two: student academic integrity (dishonesty)
 - Proposed Academic Integrity Procedures (reviewed by legal)
 - Proposed Student Grievance Procedures (also revised by legal)
 - Begins in Dean of Students – Student Grievance Officer
 - Follows the Redbook

 - Vote one: separating the two committees
 - Motion/second
 - No discussion
 - Change passes

 - Vote two: creation of Student Academic Integrity Committee
 - Discussion question:
 - Will the College will call for elections for new standing committees? Dr. Roebuck said yes
 - When will these changes take effect: Dr. Ryan said these changes have to go through the process (including BOT)
 - Discussion of an ad hoc committee in the meantime?
 - Motion/second
 - Changes passes

 - Vote three: revision of standing rules that makeup both new committees (composition of members will remain the same across both committees; taking discipline out of grievance and adding jurisdiction to new committee)
 - Discussion: confirming previous discussion on committees' composition and timing for action in the interim
 - Motion/second
 - M passes

 - Vote four: establishing new student grievance policies that look like other units and previously reviewed by Legal Counsel
 - Discussion: summarize what is changing? Roebuck says procedure is same as before but under new committee's purview

- Motion/second
- Motion passes
- Vote five: academic discipline/integrity policies in assignments, syllabi, final grades, and reporting Discussion
 - Roebuck explains the current process: professor gave grade, inform student of accusation, report goes to OnBase, professor explains what allegedly happened with details, Roebuck reviews, goes to committee if second violation, if penalty does not meet standards, or if student appeals, goes to committee. Under new system, goes to Academic Integrity Committee, appeals to Student Grievance, if outcome is expulsion, student can appeal process to Provost. All reports from academic units also go to Provost
 - Discussion:
 - What happens if the student is not enrolled in A&S? Roebuck: same process – we are looking at students in our classes, regardless of unit
 - Is this the system used previously? Roebuck: yes, under Gainous and before him, Fuselier but when a student grieved this procedural issue came up and Gainous was called to write a policy to separate the two committees
 - Motion/second
 - Motion passes

2. Announcements

- Cunningham: Salary study report completed since last meeting and will be available by the next meeting
- Ferre: final Assembly meeting on December 1 (December graduates and report about salary survey)
- Ferre: final meeting as Chair of Faculty Assembly
- No new business

3. Adjournment: passes