Additional Protections for Prisoners Involved in Research
45 CFR part 46, Subpart C

Date: May 23, 2003  Scope: This document describes the requirements of Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) regulations at 45 CFR part 46, subpart C, which provides additional protections to prisoners involved as subjects in HHS-conducted or supported research. For further information: OHRP Prisoner Research Contact Person at (301) 496-7005 (phone); (301) 402-0527(fax)

A. General Regulatory Background.
HHS regulations at 45 CFR part 46, subpart C provide additional protections pertaining to biomedical and behavioral research involving prisoners as subjects. The regulations are applicable to all biomedical and behavioral research conducted or supported by HHS. See 45 CFR 46.301. It is important to note that the regulations provide that "biomedical or behavioral research conducted or supported by HHS shall not involve prisoners as subjects" unless the research is specifically authorized within the subpart. See 45 CFR 46.306(b). In the preamble to the final subpart C rule, the drafters noted: "In fact, most testimony before the Commission opposed the use of prisoners in any form of medical research not intended to benefit the individual prisoner," (November 16, 1978). HHS did determine that some limited research would be permissible but not "until additional and more stringent review procedures are conducted."

B. Subpart C applies where any subject is or becomes a prisoner.
The provisions of subpart C apply to any research conducted or supported by HHS in which prisoners are subjects. This includes situations where a human subject becomes a prisoner after the research has commenced. As the Purpose section of the regulation notes: "Inasmuch as prisoners may be under constraints because of their incarceration which could affect their ability to make a truly voluntary and uncoerced decision whether or not to participate as subjects in research, it is the purpose of this subpart to provide additional safeguards for the protection of prisoners involved in activities to which this subpart is applicable." 45 CFR 46.302. These concerns apply whether the research involves individuals who are prisoners at the time of enrollment in the research or who become prisoners after they become enrolled in the research. In the latter situation, it is unlikely that review of the research and the consent document contemplated the constraints imposed by incarceration.

C. What does the definition of prisoner encompass?
"Prisoner" is defined by HHS regulations at 45 CFR part 46.303(c) as "any individual involuntarily confined or detained in a penal institution. The term is intended to encompass individuals sentenced to such an institution under a criminal or civil statute, individuals detained in other facilities by virtue of statutes or commitment procedures which provide alternatives to criminal prosecution or incarceration in a penal institution, and individuals detained pending arraignment, trial, or sentencing."

D. Special Composition of IRB.
At least one member of the IRB must be a prisoner, or a prisoner representative with appropriate background and experience to serve in that capacity, except that where a particular research project is reviewed by more than one IRB, only one IRB need satisfy this requirement. In the absence of choosing someone who is a prisoner or has been a prisoner, the IRB should choose a prisoner representative who has a close working knowledge, understanding and appreciation of prison conditions from the perspective of the prisoner. For research involving prisoners as subjects, the IRB must meet the special composition requirements of 45 CFR 46.304 for all types of review of the protocol, including initial review, continuing review, review of protocol amendments, and review of reports of unanticipated problems involving risks to subjects.

E. Additional duties of the IRB where prisoners are involved.
When an IRB is reviewing a protocol in which a prisoner is a subject, the IRB must make, in addition to other requirements under 45 CFR 46, subpart A, seven additional findings under 45 CFR 46.305(a), as follows:
(1) the research under review represents one of the categories of research permissible under 45 CFR 46.306(a)(2);
(2) any possible advantages accruing to the prisoner through his or her participation in the research, when compared to the general living conditions, medical care, quality of food, amenities and opportunity for earnings in the prison, are not of such a magnitude that his or her ability to weigh the risks of the research against the value of such advantages in the limited choice environment of the prison is impaired;
(3) the risks involved in the research are commensurate with risks that would be accepted by nonprisoner volunteers;
(4) procedures for the selection of subjects within the prison are fair to all prisoners and immune from arbitrary intervention by prison authorities or prisoners. Unless the principal investigator provides to the IRB justification in writing for following some other procedures, control subjects must be selected randomly from the group of available prisoners who meet the characteristics needed for that particular research project;
(5) the information is presented in language which is understandable to the subject population;
(6) adequate assurance exists that parole boards will not take into account a prisoner's participation in the research in making decisions regarding parole, and each prisoner is clearly informed in advance that participation in the research will have no effect on his or her parole; and
(7) where the IRB finds there may be a need for follow-up examination or care of participants after the end of their participation, adequate provision has been made for such examination or care, taking into account the varying lengths of individual prisoners' sentences, and for informing participants of this fact.
F. Permitted research involving prisoners.
For research conducted or supported by HHS to involve prisoners, two actions must occur:
(1) the institution engaged in the research must certify to the Secretary (through OHRP) that the IRB designated under its assurance of compliance has reviewed and approved the research under 45 CFR 46.305; and (2) the Secretary (through OHRP) must determine that the proposed research falls within the categories of research permissible under 45 CFR 46.306(a)(2). The categories of permissible research are the following:
(i) study of the possible causes, effects, and processes of incarceration, and of criminal behavior, provided that the study presents no more than minimal risk and no more than inconvenience to the subjects; "Minimal risk" is the probability and magnitude of physical or psychological harm that is normally encountered in the daily lives, or in the routine medical, dental, or psychological examination of healthy persons.
(ii) study of prisons as institutional structures or of prisoners as incarcerated persons, provided that the study presents no more than minimal risk and no more than inconvenience to the subjects;
(iii) research on conditions particularly affecting prisoners as a class (for example, vaccine trials and other research on hepatitis which is much more prevalent in prisons than elsewhere; and research on social and psychological problems such as alcoholism, drug addiction, and sexual assaults) provided that the study may proceed only after the Secretary (through OHRP) has consulted with appropriate experts including experts in penology, medicine, and ethics, and published notice, in the Federal Register, of his intent to approve such research; or
(iv) research on practices, both innovative and accepted, which have the intent and reasonable probability of improving the health or well-being of the subject. In cases in which those studies require the assignment of prisoners in a manner consistent with protocols approved by the IRB to control groups which may not benefit from the research, the study may proceed only after the Secretary (through OHRP) has consulted with appropriate experts including experts in penology, medicine, and ethics, and published notice, in the Federal Register, of his intent to approve such research.

G. Documentation of IRB Findings.
Pursuant to HHS regulations at 45 CFR 46.115(a), an institution or, when appropriate, an IRB, shall prepare and maintain adequate documentation of IRB activities. For the purposes of subpart C, the IRB activities include making the specific findings required under HHS regulations at 45 CFR 46.305(a). OHRP would consider documentation of protocol-specific information justifying each IRB finding required under 45 CFR 46.305(a) to be one way of adequately documenting the IRB activities required under subpart C.

H. Responsibilities of Institutions.
Maintain a record of the determination of the IRB regarding the seven additional findings required under HHS regulations at 45 CFR 46.305(a). Under 45 CFR 46.305(c), the institution responsible for conducting research involving prisoners that is supported by HHS shall certify to the Secretary (through OHRP) that the IRB has made the seven findings required under 45 CFR 46.305(a). The institution must send to OHRP a certification letter to this effect, which should also include the name and address of the institution and specifically identify the research protocol in question and any relevant HHS grant application or protocol. HHS conducted or supported research involving prisoners as subjects may not proceed until OHRP issues its approval in writing to the institution on behalf of the Secretary under 45 CFR 46.306(a)(2). Under its authority at 45 CFR 46.115(b), OHRP requires that the institution responsible for the conduct of the proposed research also submit to OHRP a copy of the research proposal so that OHRP can determine whether the proposed research involves one of the categories of research permissible under 45 CFR 46.306(a)(2), and if so, which one. The term "research proposal" includes the IRB-approved protocol, any relevant HHS grant application or proposal, any IRB application forms required by the IRB, and any other information requested or required by the IRB to be considered during initial IRB review.

I. Responsibilities of OHRP.
Following receipt of the research proposal, OHRP will determine which, if any, of the four categories of research permissible under HHS regulations at 45 CFR 306(a)(2) the proposed research meets. OHRP will consult with appropriate experts with respect to certain research that falls under paragraphs (iii) and (iv) of 45 CFR 46.306(a)(2).
**Definition of a Prisoner:** any individual involuntarily confined or detained in a penal institution encompassing:

- individuals sentenced to such an institution under a criminal or civil statute
- individuals detained in other facilities by virtue of statutes or commitment procedures which provide alternatives to criminal prosecution or incarceration in a penal institution
- individuals detained pending arraignment, trial, or sentencing

**Definition of Minimal Risk for Prisoners:**
The probability and magnitude of physical or psychological harm that is normally encountered in the daily lives, or in the routine medical, dental, or psychological examination of healthy persons. **Note:** the prisoner/prisoner representative board member must concur that it is minimal risk.

**Expedited Review:**
OHRP recommends that if possible, all research involving prisoners receive full-board review. If reviewing via expedited review, the prisoner or prisoner representative must be one of the reviewers (unless it is a retrospective chart review or research that does not involve interactions with prisoners).

**IRB Submission Request for Prisoner Enrollment:**

**Step 1.** The PI should contact the IRB about the intention to consent a prisoner. The IRB will advise the PI if it has a Board member representing this particular vulnerable population.

**Step 2.** If the IRB does have this member, then the PI must receive IRB Full Board Approval/Certification that the trial falls into a "permissible category" discussed below (in accordance with federal regulations). To proceed with the request of this IRB approval, the PI must provide:
- written rationale for wanting to enroll the prisoner to the study;
- written approval from the study sponsor to enroll a prisoner;
- written approval from the correction facility to allow the subject to make the required visits. Please note, the approval source for the correction facility will differ depending on whether it’s a local, state, or federal prison. Additionally, if the study is funded by the DHHS, then the IRB must seek approval from the federal OHRP.

**Step 3.** If approved, the IRB will inform the PI that the consent of the prisoner may proceed.

**IRB Review of a Request to Enroll a Prisoner in Research:**
1) IRB must include a prisoner/prisoner representative IRB member in the review process as a reviewer.
2) IRB must find that the research falls into one of the 4 categories and make 6 additional findings
3) IRB submits Certification Letter to DHHS Secretary (see OHRP website for what to include). (NOTE: If the research is not funded by the DHHS, the IRB need not notify the OHRP but should document in the record that the research has met all requirements of Subpart C. This may include, as necessary, appointment of a local review panel of appropriate experts to advise the IRB when the study is classified as category (iii) or (iv)).
4) If OHRP reporting is required, OHRP will review and send back an Authorization Letter.
5) The PI can begin research.
6) Amendments & continuation reviews must be reviewed by the prisoner/prisoner rep IRB member.
7 Findings That Must Be Met: (see steps 4-8 for unexpected)

1) Pick a category (see categories 1-5 in the next section): the research under review represents one of the categories of research permissible under 45 CFR 46.306(a)(2). (#1 or #4 likely chosen for participant who unexpectedly becomes a prisoner).

2) Any possible advantages accruing to the prisoner through his or her participation in the research, when compared to the general living conditions, medical care, quality of food, amenities and opportunity for earnings in the prison, are not of such a magnitude that his or her ability to weigh the risks of the research against the value of such advantages in the limited choice environment of the prison is impaired.

3) The risks involved in the research are commensurate with risks that would be accepted by non-prisoner volunteers.

4) Procedures for the selection of participants within the prison are fair to all prisoners and immune from arbitrary intervention by prison authorities or prisoners. Unless the principal investigator provides to the IRB justification in writing for following some other procedures, control participants must be selected randomly from the group of available prisoners who meet the characteristics needed for that particular research project.

5) The information is presented in language which is understandable to the participant population.

6) Adequate assurance exists that parole boards will not take into account a prisoner’s participation in the research in making decisions regarding parole, and each prisoner is clearly informed in advance that participation in the research will have no effect on his or her parole.

7) Where the IRB finds there may be a need for follow-up examination or care of participants after the end of their participation, adequate provision has been made for such examination or care, taking into account the varying lengths of individual prisoners’ sentences, and for informing participants of this fact.

Note: If participant unexpectedly becomes a prisoner, the IRB might note N/A for some of the findings

Categories: (Pick One)

(1) Study of the possible causes, effects, & processes of incarceration or criminal behavior, provided that the study is no more than minimal risk and no more than inconvenience to the participants;

(2) Study of prisons as institutional structures or of prisoners as incapacitated persons, provided that the study presents no more than minimal risk and no more than inconvenience to the participants;

(3) Research on conditions particularly affecting prisoners as a class (e.g., hepatitis, alcoholism, drug addiction, sexual assaults) provided that the study may proceed only after the Secretary has consulted with appropriate experts (penology, medicine, and ethics) and published notice in the Federal Register of his/her intent to approve such research;

(4) Research on practices, both innovative and accepted, which have the intent and reasonable probability of improving the health or well-being of the participant. In cases in which those studies require the assignment of prisoners in a manner consistent with protocols approved by the IRB to control groups which may not benefit from the research, the study may proceed only after the Secretary has consulted with appropriate experts, including experts in penology, medicine, and ethics, and published notice, in the Federal Register, of the intent to approve such research; or

(5) The HHS Secretarial Waiver for certain epidemiological research conducted or supported by HHS: The research must have sole purpose (i) to describe the prevalence or incidence of a disease by identifying all cases, or (ii) to study potential risk factor associations for a disease. All requirements of subpart C apply. The institution still must review the research under subpart C and certify to OHRP and receive OHRP authorization prior to initiating any research involving prisoners.
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What to Do When a Participant Unexpectedly Becomes a Prisoner

1) Withdraw participant from the study.
2) Stop all research activities with the participant and then resume activities after the participant has concluded his/her prisoner status.
3) Retain participant and have IRB review the research for subpart C (If it is DHHS funded/supported or if you are applying the FWA & subpart C to all research).

Steps:
1) PI must notify the IRB immediately.
2) PI must immediately stop all research activities with the participant who became a prisoner and stop obtaining identifiable info. Exception: If it is in the participant’s best interest and safety to continue in research. If IRB Chair concurs, then participant continues while Subpart C is reviewed.
3) PI gets prison’s permission and submits an amendment to the IRB.
4) IRB must include a voting prisoner/prisoner rep. IRB member in review process as a reviewer.
5) IRB must find that the research falls into one of the 4 categories and make 6 additional findings (some may need to be recorded as N/A to OHRP for part. who unexpected became a prisoner)
6) IRB submits Certification Letter to DHHS Secretary if required (see OHRP website for what to include).
7) If required, OHRP will review and send back an Authorization Letter. The PI can, at this point, resume research with the participant who became a prisoner.
8) Amendments and continuations need to be reviewed by the prisoner/prisoner rep IRB member.
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