Academic Program Development Process

Please Note: The program approval process can be lengthy. Both internal and external (Kentucky Council on Postsecondary Education [CPE] and possibly Southern Association of Colleges & Schools Commission on Colleges [SACSCOC] and/or other professional or licensure boards) approvals are required for new programs. Proponents should allow ample time for these processes to be completed.

Contact the Office of Academic Planning and Accountability (OAPA) with any questions. For online help, visit the program approval website: http://louisville.edu/oapa/accreditation-academic-programs-1/academic-program-approval-review.

Letter of Intent (LOI)

1. ☐ The program development process begins with a Letter of Intent (LOI) developed by the unit proposing the new academic program. The LOI is to be approved by the department chair and dean before it is submitted to the provost office. The LOI instructions can be found online: http://louisville.edu/oapa/academic-program-approval-process-new-proposals.

2. ☐ The Provost Pre-Proposal Review Committee will review the LOI and make a recommendation on action to the provost. Certificate LOI proposals, if approved, skip steps #3 and 4 and go to step #5.

3. ☐ If the LOI is approved by the provost, the provost staff will post the necessary information for the CPE Notice of Intent (NOI) to their online system. There will be a statewide review of the NOI by the Chief Academic Officers (CAO). This review includes a first and second reading (Does not apply to Certificate Proposals).

4. ☐ CPE will notify the UofL Office of Academic Planning and Accountability if any other Kentucky public institution raises any issues with the NOI that need to be resolved before proceeding further with the program development process. If there is no CAO or CPE opposition after the second reading, the NOI is approved for the completion of the pre-proposal form.

Pre-Proposal

5. ☐ The provost office notifies the unit to complete the CPE pre-proposal form (located online: http://louisville.edu/oapa/academic-program-approval-process-new-proposals). UofL uses the same proposal form as CPE for the internal university review process.

6. ☐ The academic unit sends the completed pre-proposal form to the provost office. Provost staff review the completed pre-proposal form and work with the unit on any revisions prior to the start of the university (Faculty Senate & Board of Trustees) and CPE approval processes.

7. ☐ Faculty Senate and CPE Approval Process. Provost staff will submit the pre-proposal form to the UofL Faculty Senate – Academic Programs Committee (APC) and will post the form on the CPE’s Kentucky Postsecondary Program Proposal System (KPPPS) for the required statewide 30-day public review and comment period: http://cpe.ky.gov/policies/academicprograms.html (Certificate Proposals are excluded from the 30-day CPE review and comment period).
a. Faculty Senate Review: The UofL Faculty Senate APC will review the program proposal (pre-proposal form) and meet with the proponents to discuss it. If APC approves the program proposal it will forward this recommendation to the Faculty Senate for addition to an upcoming meeting agenda. The APC chair will forward the program proposal to the secretary for posting on the senate website. The proposal is to be posted at least 7-10 days in advance of the next Faculty Senate meeting to allow senators time to review the proposal [http://louisville.edu/facultysenate/documents-1](http://louisville.edu/facultysenate/documents-1). The Faculty Senate must vote to approve the program proposal before any other university action can be completed. The Faculty Senate APC chair notifies the provost office once final approval has been granted.

b. CPE Review: During the CPE 30-day public review and comment period, CPE staff will review the pre-proposal form and approve it or make any requests for additional information or revisions to the submission.

The proponent will make any revisions to the pre-proposal form requested by the Faculty Senate or CPE. When the pre-proposal form is approved by Faculty Senate and CPE, the university can complete its final approval process.

**Final Proposal**

8. ☐ When the CPE and Faculty Senate approvals are in place, the provost office uses the pre-proposal form to develop a Board of Trustees (BOT) action item, which is added to an upcoming BOT agenda. Upon approval of the action item by the Board, provost staff will enter the final proposal information into the program proposal portal in the CPE system (KPPPS). The provost office notifies the program when this action has been completed.

☐ SACS Substantive Change (if necessary): The accreditation liaison will work with the program representatives to develop a SACSCOC Substantive Change prospectus report or letter of notification. The required information will be submitted to SACSCOC for approval. UofL’s substantive change policy statement and links to SACSCOC substantive change reporting and policy statements can be found at [http://louisville.edu/oapa/substantive-change](http://louisville.edu/oapa/substantive-change). (Does not apply to Certificate Proposals.

9. ☐ Upon receipt of notification of institutional approval (#8, above), CPE will add the final proposal to an upcoming monthly board agenda for a vote. **Certificate proposals do not require CPE Board Approval.** The UofL Office of Academic Planning and Accountability (OAPA) will notify the program of the addition to the CPE agenda. The CPE Board vote is required in order to add the program to the official statewide inventory of approved academic programs. Institutions report Certificate additions to the Statewide Inventory separately. [http://dataportal.cpe.ky.gov/KYAcademicProgInventory.aspx](http://dataportal.cpe.ky.gov/KYAcademicProgInventory.aspx).

10. ☐ Upon receipt of the SACSCOC approval (if required) and CPE’s addition of the program to the statewide inventory, OAPA creates a new academic plan in the PeopleSoft system. OAPA notifies all key university stakeholders when the academic plan is in place and the admission and enrollment processes may begin.
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