

Writing Essay Module Spring 2011 - Upperclassmen

Collegiate Assessment of Academic Proficiency

This report shares results of the Collegiate Assessment of Academic Proficiency (CAAP) writing essay test module. Thank you for participating in this assessment. This project is being completed to fill the requirement of SACS Comprehensive Standard 3.3.1 and the College Portrait's Voluntary System of Accountability (VSA) program. For additional information about the writing assessment, please visit: act.org/caap/test/essay-holistic.html.

Students scoring at or above the national mean^a (3.3) received a "Certificate of Achievement" from ACT.

CAAP Writing Scores by Unit

Course	# of Students	Minimum Composite Score ^b	Maximum Composite Score ^b	Mean Composite Score	Essay #1 Mean Score	Essay #2 Mean Score	# of Students at or above the national average	% of students at or above the national average
Arts & Sciences	85	2.00	5.25	3.57	3.67	3.46	62	73%
Business	121	1.50	5.00	3.42	3.61	3.24	80	66%
Dental Hygiene	27	2.00	4.00	3.16	3.43	2.89	14	52%
Education	38	2.00	4.50	3.29	3.66	2.92	23	61%
Engineering	53	1.00	4.00	2.98	3.18	2.78	25	40%
Nursing	55	1.50	4.25	3.06	3.22	2.90	26	47%
UofL Overall Spring 2011	389	1.00	5.25	3.30	3.49	3.11	230	59%

a CAAP National Mean Score reflects the mean of all CAAP-tested sophomores attending a four-year institution who have taken the writing module for the past three years.

2011 CAAP Writing Composite Mean Scores by Academic Unit 6 5 $(\mu = 3.30)$ 4 3.57 3 3.29 3.42 3.16 3.06 2.98 2 1 0 A&S **CEHD** COB DHED **ENGR NURS**

b Composite scores on the CAAP vary from 1 to 6. The scores reported above reflect the minimum and maximum scores that UofL students earned.

Upper-range papers Mid-range papers Lower-range papers These papers clearly engage the issue identified in Papers in the middle range demonstrate Papers in the lower range fail in some way to the prompt and demonstrate superior skill in engagement with the issue identified in the demonstrate proficiency in language use, clarity of organizing, developing, and conveying in standard prompt but do not demonstrate the evidence of organization, or engagement of the issue identified in written English the writer's ideas about the topic. writing skill that would mark them as outstanding. Competent **Exceptional** Weak These papers take a position on the These papers take a position on the While these papers take a position on the issue defined in the prompt, they may show issue defined in the prompt and support issue defined in the prompt and significant problems in one or more of several that position with extensive elaboration. support that position with some areas, making the writer's ideas often difficult Organization is unified and coherent. elaboration or explanation. to follow: support may be extremely minimal; While there may be a few errors in Organization is generally clear. A organization may lack clear movement or mechanics, usage, or sentence structure, competency with language is connectedness; or there may be a pattern of outstanding command of the language is apparent, even though there may be errors in mechanics, usage, or sentence structure that significantly interferes with apparent. some errors in mechanics, usage, or understanding the writer's ideas. sentence structure. **Superior** Adequate Inadequate These papers take a position on the These papers take a position on the These papers show a failed attempt to issue defined in the prompt and support engage the issue defined in the prompt, issue defined in the prompt and that position with moderate elaboration. support that position but with only a lack support, or have problems with little elaboration or explanation. Organization is unified and coherent. organization or language so severe as to While there may be a few errors in Organization is clear enough to follow make the writer's ideas very difficult to mechanics, usage, or sentence structure, without difficulty. A control of the follow. command of the language is apparent. language is apparent, even though there may be numerous errors in mechanics, usage, or sentence structure.

About the CAAP Writing Essay Test

(excerpt taken from http://www.act.org/caap/test_essay.html)

The CAAP Writing Essay Test is predicated on the assumption that the skills most commonly taught in college-level writing courses and required in upper-division college courses across the curriculum include:

- Formulating an assertion about a given issue
- Supporting that assertion with evidence appropriate to the issue, position taken, and a given audience
- Organizing and connecting major ideas
- Expressing those ideas in clear, effective language

The model developed by ACT for the Writing Essay Test is designed to elicit responses that demonstrate a student's ability to perform these skills. Two 20-minute writing tasks are defined by a short prompt that identifies a specific hypothetical situation and audience. The hypothetical situation involves an issue on which the examinee must take a stand. An examinee is instructed to take a position on the issue and to explain to the audience why the position taken is the better (or best) alternative.

Each score point reflects a student's ability to perform the skills identified above. Essays are evaluated according to how well a student formulates a clear assertion on the issue defined in the prompt, supports that assertion with reasons and evidence appropriate to the position taken and the specified concerns of the audience, and develops the argument in a coherent and logical manner. A student obtains lower scores for not taking a position on the specified issue, for not developing the argument, or for not expressing those ideas in clear, effective language. A student who does not respond to the prompt is assigned a "not rateable" indicator rather than a score on the 1 to 6 scale.