Therapies in Endocrine Resistant ER+ Breast Cancer Conner Slone¹, Stephanie Metcalf², and Brian Clem^{1,2} **Brown Cancer Center**

Investigation of Small Molecule Inhibitors of PHGDH and Endocrine ¹NCI R25 Cancer Education Program, ²Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Genetics & James Graham

Abstract

Breast Cancer is currently the most commonly diagnosed form of cancer among women in the United States (NCI). In these cases, about two-thirds express the Estrogen Receptor (ER) and are classified as Estrogen Receptor positive (ER+) breast cancers. Binding of Estrogen to these receptors causes the activation of mitotic and growth genes, and ultimately leads to cell proliferation. Hormone therapy is the first line treatment for this form of breast cancer. Using selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMS) such as Tamoxifen or pure antiestrogens such as ICI, breast cancer growth can be inhibited. However, many ER+ breast cancers possess either de novo or acquired resistance to these drugs, leading to lower efficacy of Tamoxifen and ICI. One mechanism that has been implicated in resistance to endocrine therapy is changes in cellular metabolism, including the serine synthetic pathway (SSP) (Martens et al 2005), De Marchi et al 2017). Serine contributes to massive changes in the genome of the cancer cell via its role in one-carbon metabolism and producing the DNA methylation donor S-adenosylmethionine. Based on these previous findings, and data generated in the laboratory, we wanted to determine if inhibition of the serine synthetic pathway would alter the sensitivity of endocrine resistant cells (LCC9) to various endocrine therapies. To inhibit this pathway, small molecule inhibitors, NCT-503 and CBR 5884, of phosphoglycerate dehydrogenase (PHGDH), were used in combination with common ER+ breast cancer therapies, Tamoxifen and ICI. After determining the dose-response of both CBR and NCT, the effective range of both small molecule inhibitors were tested in combination with the other endocrine therapies. The data demonstrates that these concentrations of the PHGDH inhibitors, NCT-503 and CBR 5884, were insufficient to produce an anti-proliferative effect. In addition, results are inconclusive regarding the effect of combinatorial effect of endocrine therapies and inhibitors of PHGDH. This work intended to highlight the role of the serine synthetic pathway in resistance to endocrine therapy and requires further investigation into combination therapies of SSP inhibitors and endocrine therapies.

Methods

Cell Lines

LCC9 cells were cultured in IMEM medium supplemented with 5%FBS and 0.1% gentamicin. Cells were incubated at 37° C and 5% CO₂.

Plating

- LCC9 cells were seeded at a concentration of 2,500 cell per well for each experiment.
- Cell counts were performed via trypan-blue exclusion to ensure accurate cell seeding

Chemicals

- (Z)-4-Hydroxytamoxifen was obtained from Sigma (H7904) and was dissolved in 100% pure Ethyl Alcohol (Sigma – E7023).
- ICI (1047) and CBR 5884 (5836) were obtained from TocrisBioscience and were dissolved in dimethyl-sulfoxine (Fisher BioReagents – BP231).
- NCT-503 was obtained from Selleckchem.com (S8619) and was dissolved in dimethyl-sulfoxine (Fisher BioReagents – BP231).
- Concentrated stock solutions were prepared, aliquoted and stored at -20°C (CBR, ICI, 4-OHT) or -80°C. Diluted working stocks were prepared fresh for each treatment.

Freatment

Cells were treated with either the PHGDH inhibitors alone, 40HT alone, ICI alone, or in combination of the PHGDH inhibitors with the endocrine therapies at the indicated concentrations. All treatments were applied at various doses for a period of four days.

Analysis

Cell proliferation was analyzed via the FluoReporter Blue Fluorometiric dsDNA Quantification Kit (F-2962) In brief, all the liquid from the plates were removed and the cells were lysed by a series of freeze-thaw cycles. Hoechest stain was added and fluorometric analysis was performed. Fluorescence was measured and responses are reported as percent decrease from vehicle control.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the NCI R25 University of Louisville Cancer Education Program (R25-CA134283)





University of Louisville, Louisville, KY

