University of Louisville College of Arts & Sciences ANTH 336: War and Society Jennie Burnet, Ph.D.

Original

Author's Name/Student ID:______ Reviewer's Name/Student ID:_____

2nd Peer Review

Thesis: A good thesis not only states the argument of the paper, but also explains how the argument will be made. Mark the thesis statement, or what you think the thesis statement is, in the paper. Does the paper have a clear, well-developed [Sufficiency] thesis? If not, what's missing? Does this thesis have the four characteristics of a strong thesis statement? If not, what's lacking?

Evidence [*Information*]: Is there sufficient evidence to support the thesis? If so, what is the strength of the evidence? If not, where does it seem to be lacking?

Text in Red

Indicates the infusion of the **Elements of Reasoning**

Text in Blue

Indicates the infusion of the **Intellectual Standards**

Text in Green

Indicates the infusion of the **Intellectual Traits**

[Bracketed Text]

Indicates the indirect use of critical thinking [Elements], [Standards], or [Traits]

View more about the Paul-Elder Framework of Critical Thinking

Analysis: Analysis can be understood as the interpretive step between evidence [Information] and argument [Inferences]. Does this paper have strong analysis, or does it tend to simply posit evidence, hoping that the reader will interpret its significance? Are there places where the author needs to elaborate [Sufficiency] on the connections between his/her evidence and argument? If so, where?

