



- 59 involve individual faculty members; however, the Committee, in session, may in matters of fact  
60 finding, elect to seek additional input from faculty and/or administrators not on the Committee.  
61
- 62 6. Before making a negative recommendation regarding a faculty member's tenure decision, the  
63 Personnel Committee shall invite the faculty member to meet with the Committee.  
64
- 65 7. The Personnel Committee's recommendations on all personnel matters shall be presented to the  
66 Dean with a clear and concrete explanation, and a copy of this recommendation shall be provided  
67 to the faculty member concerned and the faculty member's Department Chairperson.  
68
- 69 8. The Personnel Committee and its individual members shall communicate and consult with  
70 individual faculty members and with the faculty as a whole regarding personnel practices,  
71 procedures, and policies in the College.  
72
- 73 9. The Personnel Committee may invite any faculty member who is leaving the University to make a  
74 written statement (or to meet with the Committee) regarding the College's personnel practices,  
75 procedures, and policies.  
76
- 77 10. Personnel decisions in the College shall be based on evidence collected, organized, and  
78 presented by faculty members undergoing review, in cooperation with their Department  
79 Chairperson. It is the responsibility of each person being reviewed to provide useful information  
80 which will facilitate the decision-making process, and it is the responsibility of each person  
81 involved in the review process to protect the integrity of the review file (the triptych or other review  
82 portfolio).  
83
- 84 11. At all stages of the review process within the College, reviewers shall assess the same body of  
85 evidence.  
86
- 87 12. Once the review file has been compiled and while it is being reviewed within the department, it is  
88 in the custody of the Department Chairperson or the designated representative of the department  
89 personnel committee, who shall manage access to the file.  
90
- 91 13. Once the review file has been forwarded to the Dean, it shall remain in the custody of the Dean,  
92 who shall manage access to the file while it remains in the College.  
93
- 94 14. Once the review file has been compiled, no additional evidence (as distinct from the  
95 recommendation of reviewers or rebuttals by the faculty member undergoing review as provided  
96 for in this document) shall be added to the review file unless it is made available to all reviewers  
97 or reviewing bodies.  
98
- 99 15. Recommendations of reviewers and any other material added to a candidate's review file shall  
100 become part of the file. The candidate may examine any material in the file, but the person who  
101 has custody of the file shall ensure that the candidate is not informed of the identity of external  
102 evaluators.  
103
- 104 16. The faculty member undergoing review shall be informed in writing of any evidence or charge of  
105 misconduct that has been included in the review file and shall have the opportunity to respond in  
106 writing to such evidence or charge. The faculty member's written response or a written statement  
107 that the faculty member has declined to respond shall be included in the review file and shall be  
108 made available to all reviewers.  
109
- 110 17. Faculty members undergoing review may appeal decisions of the Dean in accordance with  
111 procedures established in *The Redbook*.  
112  
113  
114  
115

116 **Article 1.0 Faculty Appointments and Tenure**

117  
118 **Sec. 1.1 Types of Appointments**

119  
120 The College follows the policies and procedures stated in *The Redbook* (See 4.1.1 and specifics under  
121 4.1.2, 4.1.3, and 4.1.4):

- 122  
123 A. Full-time faculty *appointment* shall be one of three kinds: 1) non-tenurable, 2) probationary, or 3)  
124 permanent tenure  
125  
126 B. Part-time faculty members shall be appointed by contract to engage in specified instruction,  
127 research or service/administration. Part-time faculty roles and titles are as follows: If a part-time  
128 faculty member is hired to teach, their title shall be 1) adjunct instructor; or 2) adjunct professor. If  
129 a part-time faculty member is hired to engage in research, their title shall be 1) a research  
130 scientist; or 2) a senior research scientist. If a part-time faculty member is hired to supervise or  
131 perform service/administratively duties, their title shall be 1) a coordinator; or 2) a senior  
132 coordinator. These classifications shall be based on the part-time faculty member's academic  
133 qualifications, experience, and seniority. Part-time faculty appointments shall not be eligible for  
134 tenure or count toward time for acquisition of tenure.

135 Part-time faculty members shall be included in the development of any specific performance  
136 criteria and the process for changing status from an adjunct instructor to an adjunct professor; a  
137 research scientist to a senior research scientist; and a coordinator to a senior coordinator. These  
138 criteria shall be noted in the part-time faculty member's contract.  
139

140 Part-time faculty members in the College shall only be judged on their specific work expectations.  
141 The criteria in each area shall be the same as those for tenure and promotion of tenure-track  
142 faculty members, adjusted for the specific work expectations to be evaluated. Part-time faculty  
143 members shall be reviewed annually in writing and these reviews shall be maintained in the office  
144 of the Dean.  
145

146 The criteria for appointment and promotion of part-time faculty shall focus on the specific work  
147 expectations of the faculty member (i.e., teaching, service/administration, research). The College  
148 shall provide electronic or other forms of notice of part-time teaching opportunities to hire the  
149 most qualified part-time faculty members and make the hiring process as open as possible,  
150 consistent with the requirements of law and University policies.  
151

- 152 C. Emeritus appointments should originate in the Department. A department personnel committee  
153 recommendation along with the recommendation of the Department Chair should be sent to the  
154 College Personnel Committee, who in turn will make their recommendation to the Dean  
155 consistent with the criteria in Section 3.4.2.c. The Department's recommendation should include a  
156 narrative summary citing the professional accomplishments and record of the university service of  
157 the retiring faculty member. The Dean will then forward a recommendation to the Provost.  
158 Consistent with the requirements of *The Redbook*, Section 4.1.3, this recommendation will then  
159 be forwarded to the President and the Board of Trustees for approval.  
160

161 **Sec. 1.2 Non-tenurable Full-Time Appointments**

- 162  
163 A. Temporary Appointments

164  
165 Temporary appointments to the various academic ranks may be made for time periods less than  
166 one year or for special purposes. In no case shall a temporary appointment or a renewal thereof  
167 result in the acquisition of tenure.  
168

- 169 B. Term Faculty Appointments

170  
171 Term faculty appointments pursuant to *The Redbook*, Section 4.1.1, are available in two types:  
172 Clinical faculty appointments and research faculty appointments. Clinical and research faculty

173 members may be appointed for a contract period not to exceed 3 years. Such appointments shall  
174 not be tenurable. No clinical or research contract, continuation, or renewal shall result in the  
175 acquisition of tenure or imply renewal for subsequent terms.

- 176  
177 1. Clinical faculty appointments are faculty appointments that may be funded through general  
178 funds, restricted funds, or clinical revenues. Research faculty appointments are faculty  
179 appointments funded through external grants, contracts, or other research allocations.  
180  
181 2. Clinical faculty appointments are available at the following ranks: Lecturer, Senior Lecturer,  
182 Distinguished Lecturer, Assistant Clinical Professor, Associate Clinical Professor, and Clinical  
183 Professor. Clinical faculty perform teaching, research, service, and/or administrative functions  
184 in academic, clinical, or field settings in connection with an established academic program of  
185 the College.  
186  
187 a. Clinical faculty appointees at the ranks of Lecturer, Senior Lecturer, and Distinguished  
188 Lecturer shall hold, as a minimum, a master's degree and successful experience in clinical or  
189 professional practice in a field of specialization in the academic program to which he/she is  
190 appointed.  
191  
192 b. Clinical faculty appointees at the ranks of Assistant Clinical Professor, Associate Clinical  
193 Professor, and Clinical Professor shall hold, as a minimum, an earned doctorate or other  
194 appropriate terminal degree, or be working towards completion of an earned doctorate with a  
195 reasonable expectation of completion within the initial appointment period, in a field of  
196 specialization in the academic program to which he/she is appointed.  
197 ..  
198 3. Research faculty appointees are available at the following ranks: Assistant Research  
199 Professor, Associate Research Professor, and Research Professor. Faculty appointed at the  
200 rank of Assistant Research Professor, Associate Research Professor, and Research  
201 Professor shall hold, as a minimum, an earned doctorate or other appropriate terminal degree  
202 in a field of specialization in the academic program to which he/she is appointed. Research  
203 faculty appointments shall have a minimum work plan assignment of 60 percent in Research.  
204  
205 4. The contract renewal review by the Dean shall serve as the periodic career review of Clinical  
206 and Research faculty members. The College's Bylaws specify requirements and processes  
207 regarding participation and franchise in unit governance by Clinical and Research faculty  
208 members.  
209  
210 5. A non-tenurable faculty member shall be eligible to apply for and be appointed to a tenurable  
211 position. The Provost's letter of appointment shall state whether and to what extent the new  
212 appointment shall consider time served in non-tenurable status as prior service (Section  
213 4.1.2.B.4 of *The Redbook*).  
214

### 215 **Sec. 1.3 Probationary Appointments**

- 216  
217 A. Definition  
218 No probationary appointment to the University shall extend beyond the period when tenure would  
219 normally be granted (Section 4.2.2).  
220  
221 B. Instructors  
222 Probationary appointments to the rank of Instructor shall be for stipulated terms of one year each.  
223  
224 C. Assistant and Associate Professors  
225 Probationary appointments to the rank of Assistant or Associate Professor shall be for stipulated  
226 terms not to exceed two years on the initial appointment, nor three years for appointments made  
227 thereafter.  
228  
229 D. Professors

230 Professors shall be awarded tenure if employed subsequent to the initial probationary  
231 appointment.  
232

### 233 **Sec. 1.4 Continuous Appointments (Tenure)**

#### 234 A. Definition

235 Tenure is the right of full-time faculty personnel who hold academic rank to continuous full-time  
236 employment without reduction in academic rank until retirement or termination.  
237

#### 238 B. Administrators

239 Administrative personnel who have acquired tenure are subject to the regulations herein on  
240 tenure and the provisions governing termination only in their capacities as faculty members.  
241

#### 242 C. Tenure Recommendations

243 Recommendations concerning the award or denial of tenure shall originate in the faculty of the  
244 academic unit in which tenure is to be granted.  
245

#### 246 D. Establishment of Tenure Date

247 For probationary appointments, the date of mandatory tenure and the number of years of  
248 previous full-time service to be counted toward acquisition of tenure shall be stipulated by the  
249 Provost and agreed to in writing by the nominee before the appointment is made by the Board of  
250 Trustees.  
251

### 252 **Article 2.0 Faculty Performance: Standards and Definitions**

253 The standards articulated in this section are fundamental to all faculty personnel decisions and  
254 particularly to decisions involving promotion and tenure, which are the basis of the most comprehensive  
255 evaluation of faculty performance.  
256

#### 257 **Sec 2.1 Teaching**

258 Teaching is the guiding of the University's students in the acquisition of knowledge, attitudes, skills, and  
259 dispositions. Teaching is broadly understood to include all the activities in which a faculty member is  
260 engaged in efforts to instill knowledge, improve skills, or foster attitudes and dispositions in students.  
261 Therefore, the multiple criteria to be used to evaluate teaching include, not only classroom instruction, but  
262 also field supervision and visitations, the various forms of individualized instruction, student advising and  
263 counseling, as well as developing and refining courses, programs, and curricula. Teaching also includes  
264 the directing of master's theses, specialist professional papers, doctoral dissertations, and mentoring of  
265 students in interdisciplinary programs.  
266

#### 267 **Sec 2.2 Service**

268 Faculty engage in service activities when they make their academic or professional expertise available to  
269 others in the University, the profession, the local community, or beyond the local community. Service is  
270 the application of knowledge in one's particular discipline or field of study. Service also includes the  
271 application of general academic expertise resulting from experience as a university educator, as when  
272 one participates in faculty governance within the University or when service activities outside of the  
273 University are linked to one's general academic expertise. Service may also include the development of  
274 knowledge, insight, or new intellectual understanding that results from applying one's particular academic  
275 expertise in service activities.  
276

#### 277 **Sec 2.3 Research or Creative Activity**

278 Research or Creative Activity may include empirical, theoretical, or applied research. Research requires  
279 no other justification than the intrinsic good of knowing and understanding. Research may also establish  
280 connections across the disciplines (multidisciplinary), reveal to specialists and non-specialists alike the  
281 larger context of knowledge, and interpret the original research in one's discipline or field. Research or  
282

288 Creative Activity also undergirds effective teaching and facilitates communication of the knowledge of  
289 one's discipline or field to students. There are multiple ways in which research and scholarship are part of  
290 the University's commitment to engage the community. A scholarly agenda may incorporate community-  
291 based participatory research, practice-based research, engaged scholarship, and scholarship of  
292 engagement. Engaged research is scholarly work done in full partnership with the community. It consists  
293 of research and application of scholarship for the mutual benefits of the institution, community partners,  
294 and larger communities (local, regional/state, national, global).

295  
296 **Sec 2.4 Overall Expectations**

297  
298 Unless otherwise specified in this document, all personnel reviews will seek to determine proficient  
299 performance in the three standards articulated in *The Redbook* as well as above. All faculty will be  
300 expected to perform at a proficient level with respect to each of these three standards.

301  
302  
303 **Article 3.0 Faculty Personnel Reviews**

304  
305 In addition to initial reviews at the time of appointment, all faculty members of the College are reviewed  
306 for various purposes and at various times during their careers. Career reviews of tenurable faculty  
307 include annual review, pre-tenure review, review for tenure and promotion to Associate Professor (which  
308 normally occur concurrently), review for promotion to Professor, and periodic career review. Career  
309 reviews of faculty members with non-tenurable appointments include annual review, and reviews for  
310 promotion as provided in Sections 3.2 and 3.4.

311  
312 **Section 3.1 Reviews of Faculty Prior to Appointment**

313  
314 A. Appointment Reviews (see also criteria in 1.1)

- 315  
316 1. The Department Chairperson, after receiving a recommendation by the department faculty or  
317 faculty committee, recommends to the Dean the person to be appointed as well as title and  
318 rank.  
319  
320 2. The Dean submits the recommendation to the College Personnel Committee for its  
321 advisement.  
322  
323 3. If approved by the Dean, the Dean makes a recommendation to the Provost informing the  
324 Department Chairperson and the College Personnel Committee of its contents.

325  
326 **Sec. 3.2 Annual Reviews**

327  
328 Reviews of all faculty in the College are to be completed annually to assure continued proficient  
329 performance, identify deficiencies, and support salary decisions.

330  
331 A. Purpose

- 332  
333 1. The primary purpose for establishing a set of Annual Review procedures is to formalize and  
334 actively encourage faculty development and productivity in teaching, service, and research and  
335 creative activity.  
336  
337 2. An additional purpose is to recognize and reward those who meet or exceed Department and  
338 College performance expectations. A faculty member who demonstrates optimal performance on  
339 the work assignment should be eligible for the maximum salary increase as specified by the unit's  
340 merit policies such that if a faculty member's work assignment is heavily weighted toward  
341 teaching or service and optimal performance is demonstrated in those areas, he or she should be  
342 considered for the maximum salary increase.

343 B. Criteria and Eligibility for Annual Review

344

Each Department Chairperson will, in collaboration with the faculty of the Department and with the approval of the Dean, develop the performance objectives and criteria upon which the Annual Review salary increases will be determined and these performance objectives and criteria shall be reflected in the work plan as required in *The Redbook*. Performance objectives and criteria in each Department shall be based upon the Department's mission statement and objectives, the College's mission statement and objectives, and the standards of faculty performance in sections 2.0, 3.3 and 3.4 of the College's "Personnel Policies and Procedures." These standards refer to specific forms of scholarly endeavor to be considered and provide parameters for consideration of the quality of the work which shall be a core element of reviews.

Evaluations should be weighed according to the percentage of work effort in each area of the Annual Work Plan. Faculty who anticipate assignments that are so different from College norms that they require different criteria should propose in writing such criteria as a part of their Annual Work Plan. When this occurs the Plan must be reviewed by the Department and College Personnel Committees to check for alignment and adherence to University-wide minimum guidelines and unit specific criteria and approved by the Chairperson and Dean during the Annual Review process. It is the responsibility of the faculty member to provide evidence of the quality and impact of his or her teaching, research and creative activity, and service. The Annual Work Plan will be included in the materials submitted for the review.

### C. Procedures

1. Chairpersons will meet annually with each full-time faculty member to conduct an Annual Review that is based on the allocation of effort reflected in the Annual Work Plan and criteria in sections 2.0, 3.3., and 3.4 of the College's "Personnel Policies and Procedures" document as called for above. A full-time faculty member is defined as anyone holding a full-time faculty appointment in a department. Faculty performance will be evaluated as: not satisfactory, needs improvement, satisfactory, meritorious, or highly meritorious. Part-time instructors whose principal employment is in another unit or is outside the University will not be subject to this review.

2. Each full-time faculty member will prepare and submit to his or her Chairperson a written Annual Review Report no later than January 15. Faculty who fail to turn in an Annual Review Report by the deadline without prior written approval from their Chairperson, the Dean, or without providing extenuating circumstances may not be eligible for a salary increase.

a. The Annual Review Report will include evidence of accomplishments of the preceding calendar year in the areas of Teaching, Service, and Research and Creative Activity. Chairpersons will base their reviews upon the materials and self-assessment provided by the faculty member and other documentation placed formally in the faculty member's personnel file consistent with the Preamble and College Personnel Committee process and will judge faculty accomplishments by the specific allocation of effort agreed to as part of the prior year's Annual Work Plan, including both the attainment of the goals and quality of the goals as called for in that Plan as well as the execution and quality of role assignments that appear in the Plan (See Article 4.0). It is the responsibility of the faculty member to provide evidence of the quality and impact of his or her teaching, research and creative activity, and service. The Annual Work Plan will be included in the materials submitted for the review.

The Annual Review meetings between faculty members and Chairpersons will commence by January 15 and be completed by March 1.

b. Each faculty member and his or her Chairperson will discuss the Annual Review materials and will examine evidence related to the specific performance indicators agreed upon as part of the prior year's Annual Work Plan.

The Chairperson will prepare a written recommendation to be forwarded to the Dean. The recommendation shall state whether, in the Chairperson's judgment, the faculty member's performance has been: not satisfactory, needs improvement, satisfactory, meritorious, or highly meritorious and the recommendation shall be specific regarding weaknesses and

403 deficiencies (if any), as well as suggestions (if any) for improvement and possible  
404 adjustments to future Annual Work Plans. The faculty member being reviewed shall receive a  
405 copy of the Chairperson's recommendation which shall include the reminder that "the  
406 performance evaluation recommended is not final until they meet with the other  
407 Chairpersons, the Dean, and her/his designated senior staff to merge the Annual Reviews of  
408 all faculty members into the performance categories" as is called for in part 3.2.B.2.d below.  
409

410 Written recommendations shall be placed in the faculty member's College mailbox. The  
411 Chairperson shall also notify the faculty member in writing of the availability of the  
412 recommendation in their mailbox at the College of Education and Human Development. The  
413 Chairperson should also offer to send the Annual Review recommendation electronically to  
414 the faculty member.  
415

416 If the faculty member disagrees with the Chairperson's written recommendation and wishes  
417 to appeal, the faculty member shall meet with the Chairperson to discuss the  
418 recommendation letter within 10 calendar days after receiving the Chair's recommendation. If  
419 the disagreement remains unresolved following this meeting, the faculty member has the  
420 right to insert into the record a written rebuttal of the Chairperson's recommendation before it  
421 is presented to the Dean. The faculty member must submit any written rebuttal not more than  
422 10 calendar days after the Chairperson's recommendation is available in the faculty  
423 member's mailbox or 5 calendar days after the meeting with the Chair if the faculty member  
424 requested such a meeting. The rebuttal must set forth the reason for the appeal but may not  
425 include additional evidence. Corrections of errors of fact may be included. Any appeal will go  
426 forward on the basis of the written Annual Review record as originally presented.  
427

- 428 c. All recommendations for Annual Review salary increases and written rebuttals will be  
429 forwarded to the Dean by March 15 of each year.
- 430
- 431 d. After all Annual Reviews recommendations are forwarded to the Dean, Chairpersons will  
432 group all faculty within their Department in categories (not satisfactory, needs improvement,  
433 satisfactory, meritorious, and highly meritorious). Then they will meet with the other Chairs,  
434 the Dean and the Dean's designated senior staff to merge the Annual Review  
435 recommendations of all faculty members into final recommendation categories. The grouping  
436 process will be college-wide in order to strive for fairness in judgment across the College.  
437
- 438 e. Once the Chairpersons and Dean have completed the grouping recommendations for all  
439 faculty members pursuant to Section "d" above, the Dean shall assign a final faculty rating.  
440
- 441 f. The Dean will discuss any rating changes to the faculty member recommendations with the  
442 appropriate Chairperson. The Dean will then notify the faculty member and the Chairperson  
443 in writing of the Dean's final recommendation no later than June 1, The Dean will also  
444 independently place the Chairpersons, Associate and Assistant Deans in the appropriate  
445 groups thereby completing the full annual salary recommendations for the College.  
446

#### 447 D. Appeals Process

448

- 449 1. If the faculty member disagrees with the Dean's recommendation and wishes to appeal, the  
450 faculty member must first discuss the area(s) of disagreement with the Dean in a meeting  
451 scheduled within 10 calendar days after receiving the Dean's recommendation. If this meeting  
452 does not resolve the faculty member's appeal, the faculty member must submit a written rebuttal  
453 to the Dean not more than 10 calendar days after the meeting with the Dean. The Dean shall  
454 provide written notification of the Dean's final recommendation within 10 calendar days of  
455 receiving the written rebuttal. If the Dean chooses not to alter the recommendation after the  
456 meeting and the review of the faculty member's written rebuttal, the faculty member may present  
457 a written appeal to the College Personnel Committee within 10 calendar days of the Dean's final  
458 recommendation. The appeal to the College Personnel Committee must set forth the reason for  
459 the appeal but may not include additional evidence. Any appeal will go forward on the basis of  
460 the written Annual Review record as originally presented.

461  
462 Following a review of appropriate materials by the College Personnel Committee, the Committee  
463 will make an independent written recommendation to the Dean of support or nonsupport for each  
464 appeal. Once the Dean, with regard to all appeals makes a final decision, he or she will meet with  
465 the College Personnel Committee to discuss any decision that differs from the Personnel  
466 Committee's recommendation.

467  
468 At the conclusion of the appeals process, faculty members who have successfully appealed will  
469 have their ranking recalibrated thereby finalizing the full College Annual Review faculty salary  
470 rankings.

471  
472 E. Chairpersons and Associate/Assistant Deans

- 473  
474 1. The Dean will serve as the Chairperson's or Associate and/or Assistant Dean's supervisor in  
475 evaluating them during the Annual Review process. The Dean will seek the advice of Department  
476 faculty members and relevant others as appropriate.  
477  
478 2. The Annual Review of Chairpersons, Associate and Assistant Deans will commence on  
479 February 1 of each year.  
480  
481 3. The Chairperson's, Associate Dean, or Assistant Dean's Annual Review will recognize  
482 administrative as well as any faculty responsibilities.  
483  
484 4. The Chairperson, Associate or Assistant Dean and the Dean will meet to review the Annual Work  
485 Plan and examine the indicators of success for the administrative position.  
486  
487 5. The Dean will inform the Chairperson, Associate Dean, or Assistant Dean by letter whether, in the  
488 Dean's judgment, the Chairperson's or Associate/Assistant Dean's performance has been: not  
489 satisfactory, needs improvement, satisfactory, meritorious, or highly meritorious with regard to the  
490 criteria in Article 2.0, above, and with regard to the Chairperson's, Associate or Assistant Dean's  
491 administrative responsibilities. The recommendation shall be specific regarding weaknesses and  
492 deficiencies (if any), as well as suggestions (if any) for improvement and possible adjustments in  
493 future Annual Work Plans.  
494  
495 6. A copy of the Dean's Annual Review letter will be retained by the Chairperson, Associate, or  
496 Assistant Dean and one copy will be placed in the Dean's Office personnel files.  
497  
498 7. If the Chairperson, Associate or Assistant Dean disagrees with the Dean's recommendation and  
499 wishes to appeal, the Chairperson, Associate or Assistant Dean must first discuss the area(s) of  
500 disagreement with the Dean in a meeting scheduled within 5 calendar days after receiving the  
501 Dean's recommendation. If the disagreement is not resolved during that meeting, the  
502 Chairperson, Associate, or Assistant Dean may present a written appeal to the College Personnel  
503 Committee within 10 calendar days of the meeting with the Dean. The rebuttal must set forth the  
504 reason for the appeal but may not include additional evidence. Any appeal will go forward on the  
505 basis of the written Annual Review record as originally presented. Once the Dean, with regard to  
506 all appeals makes a final decision, he or she will meet with the College Personnel Committee to  
507 discuss any decision that differs from the Personnel Committee's recommendation.

508  
509 At the conclusion of the appeals process, Chairpersons, Associate or Assistant Deans who have  
510 successfully appealed will have their ranking recalibrated thereby finalizing the full College  
511 Annual Review salary rankings.

512  
513 F. Salary Calculations

- 514  
515 1. The final calculation of the salary increases will be based on the total pool of monies received for  
516 the year from the University and the total number of Annual Review points, calculated as set for  
517 below, that each faculty member, Chairperson or Associate/Assistant Dean accrues.  
518

- 519 2. Administrative officers and appropriate faculty bodies shall protect faculty members from  
520 inequities in salary.

521  
522 Those faculty members who are rated not satisfactory will receive a zero salary increase for that  
523 year.

524  
525 The total annual merit raise pool will then be distributed to all faculty members who are rated  
526 "needs improvement", "satisfactory", "meritorious", or "highly meritorious" as a percent of their  
527 current base salary (supplements excluded) through the process described below. The total  
528 annual merit raise pool shall be distributed as follows: (a) When the merit pool is less than or  
529 equal to 3% or to the federal cost of living adjustment, whichever is greater, 70% of the pool  
530 would be distributed to all of those receiving a rating of "needs improvement" or higher and 20%  
531 would be used as a meritorious pool. The distribution of the 70% to go to all faculty members  
532 receiving raises would be as a percentage of their base salary (i.e., if there is a 3% raise pool and  
533 all faculty are receiving a raise, each faculty member receiving a satisfactory rating would receive  
534 .7 x 3% or a raise of 2.1%). Faculty receiving the rating of "needs improvement" shall receive .50  
535 (50%) of the percentage of the raise provided to those receiving ratings of "satisfactory."

536  
537 Those rated as meritorious or highly meritorious shall also receive one or two annual review  
538 points, respectively. For Assistant Professors the final number of annual review points earned  
539 (i.e., 1 point for a rating of meritorious or 2 points for a rating of highly meritorious) shall be  
540 multiplied by 1.0 to gain a weighted total of Annual Review points to be awarded. For Associate  
541 Professors, the total number of annual review points earned shall be multiplied by 1.2 to gain a  
542 weighted total of Annual Review points to be awarded. For Full Professors, the total number of  
543 annual review points earned will be multiplied by 1.5 to gain a weighted total of Annual Review  
544 points to be awarded to the individual. The 20% of the pool designated as the meritorious portion  
545 , as noted above, would then be divided by the total number of weighted Annual Review points  
546 awarded to arrive at the value of each annual review point. The remaining 10% of the total pool  
547 would then be used by the Dean to provide for additional merit adjustments based on annual and  
548 longer-term performance reviews (see, for example, 3.a below).

549  
550 In the event that the merit pool provided by the University exceeds 3% or the amount of the  
551 federal cost of living adjustment, whichever is greater (base level), that amount that exceeds the  
552 base level shall be distributed in keeping with the above patterns and conditions but with ratios  
553 now of 60%, 30%, and 10%. Faculty members receiving the rating of "needs improvement" shall  
554 still receive .50 (50%) of the percentage of the raise provided to those receiving ratings of  
555 "satisfactory."

- 556  
557 3. Appeals will be finalized and recalibrated in the total rankings. Then, the remaining highly  
558 meritorious salary pool will be divided by the total number of meritorious points (the sum of all  
559 weighted points awarded) to determine the monetary value of one point for that year. Finally, this  
560 monetary point value will be multiplied by each faculty members, Chairperson's, or  
561 Associate/Assistant Dean's total Annual Review points to calculate each individual's final Annual  
562 Review salary increase.
- 563  
564 4 The Dean will inform each faculty member, Chairperson, Associate and Assistant Dean in writing  
565 of the final Annual Review salary increase decisions.
- 566  
567 a. The Dean's letter will include the total number of Annual Review points, the amount of any  
568 salary increase and/or one-time salary adjustment for performance. Because of timing issues  
569 related to University decisions concerning raises and Annual Review appeals, the Dean may  
570 send two letters, the first letter indicating the award of Annual Review points and the second  
571 letter once all appeals have been resolved and monies have been calculated, thus finalizing  
572 the amount of every salary increase.
- 573  
574 b. The Dean's letter will include the reasons for the Dean's decision.
- 575  
576 c. If the performance of a faculty member, Chairperson, Associate or Assistant Dean is rated not

- 577 satisfactory, the Dean's letter will include the reasons for the rating and specific suggestions  
578 for improving performance. The Provost must approve Annual Review salary decisions  
579 involving not satisfactory performance ratings as these are ratings where the faculty member  
580 is to receive no increase.  
581  
582 d. At the completion of the Annual Review process, a file shall be updated in the Department for  
583 each faculty member and in the Dean's Office for each Chairperson, Associate and Assistant  
584 Dean. The file shall contain the following items:  
585  
586 1) The Chairperson's recommendation regarding Annual Review salary increases include  
587 evaluations of not satisfactory, needs improvement, satisfactory, meritorious, or highly  
588 meritorious;  
589 2) The Dean's recommendation regarding Annual Review points and Annual Review salary  
590 increases;  
591 3) A copy of the Annual Review Report.  
592  
593 e. The annual file described above (in 3.2.E.3.d), shall become part of the record to be used  
594 in subsequent reviews of faculty members, Chairpersons, Associate, and Assistant  
595 Deans.  
596  
597 5. By July 1 of each year, the Dean will provide an annual written report to the College's faculty and  
598 the Provost. The report will contain:  
599  
600 a. The number of not satisfactory, needs improvement, satisfactory, meritorious, or highly  
601 meritorious performance ratings;  
602  
603 b. A summary of the process used to arrive at such salary increases, including the results of  
604 any appeals reviewed by the Dean and the Personnel Committee;  
605  
606 c. A short review of the impact of activities, the levels of quality and the indicators of  
607 effectiveness deemed by Chairpersons and the Dean to warrant meritorious and highly  
608 meritorious consideration; and  
609  
610 d. A frequency distribution of the percentage salary increases received by all faculty  
611 members.  
612

### 613 **Sec. 3.3 Tenure**

#### 614 A. Time Required

615 The College follows the policies and procedures stated in *The Redbook* (See 4.2.2): "All  
616 probationary faculty who have had seven years of service counted as in a tenurable faculty  
617 position, if reemployed full-time, shall be granted tenure."  
618  
619

#### 620 B. Leaves of Absence

621 The College follows the policies and procedures stated in *The Redbook* (See 4.2.2 B): "One year  
622 spent on an officially approved leave of absence may be counted toward the seven years of  
623 full-time service necessary for tenure. Any leave granted during the probationary period must  
624 carry with it a stipulation in writing as to whether the leave counts toward tenure."  
625

#### 626 C. Extension of Probationary Period

627 The College follows the policies and procedures stated in *The Redbook* (See 4.2.2 C.): "A faculty  
628 member who faces extenuating circumstances that do not require a leave of absence but result in  
629 a significant reduction in ability to perform normal duties (such as personal illness, the birth or  
630 adoption of a child, or care of an ill family member) may request an extension of the probationary  
631 period for no less than six months and no more than one year. A second extension may be  
632 granted for a second extenuating circumstance. An extension shall not be granted more than two  
633 (2) times within the probationary period of a faculty member. Such extensions must be requested

634 and approved before the end of the fifth year of the probationary period and must have  
635 documentation satisfactory to the Provost.”

636  
637 D. Prior Service  
638 The College follows the policies and procedures stated in *The Redbook* (See 4.2.2 D.): “Previous  
639 full-time service with the rank of Instructor or higher or comparable status in institutions of higher  
640 learning may be counted toward the acquisition of tenure.”

641  
642 E. Early Tenure  
643 The College follows the policies and procedures stated in *The Redbook* (See 4.2.2 E.): “1. Tenure  
644 may be granted at the time of initial appointment or in less than seven years when such action is  
645 warranted. 2. A faculty member may request only one evaluation for early tenure. 3. Evaluation  
646 for early tenure, once originated, shall proceed as indicated in Section 4.2.2.H. unless the faculty  
647 member under review requests its withdrawal.”

648  
649 F. Evidence to be Submitted for Tenure  
650  
651 1. General Statement of Criteria for Tenure  
652 The award of tenure is the appointment of a faculty member with the right to continuous full-  
653 time appointment without reduction in academic rank until retirement or termination as  
654 provided in Redbook Section 4.5.3. Therefore, the tenure decision is the most important of all  
655 personnel decisions and will be made with particular care. A candidate for tenure bears  
656 primary responsibility for collecting, organizing, and presenting evidence to support the  
657 petition for advancement. Annual Work Plans and Annual Reviews will be included in the  
658 materials submitted for the review. Evaluation of the candidate’s materials will be conducted  
659 by reference to the duties specified in the candidate’s Annual Work Plans.

660  
661 a. Candidates should work closely with Department Chairpersons to address the following  
662 criteria for the award of tenure:  
663  
664 (1) Rank at Appointment: Only faculty members at the rank of Assistant Professor,  
665 Associate Professor, or Professor are eligible to receive tenure;  
666 (2) Time Required: Normally, tenure will be awarded after seven years of service;  
667 (3) Tenure may be awarded before seven years of service if merited.

668  
669 b. Criteria for tenure are:  
670  
671 (1) Teaching;  
672 (2) Service to the profession, the Department, the College, the University, or the  
673 community;  
674 (3) Research or creative activity.

675  
676 c. Performance Standards for tenure are:  
677  
678 (1) Evidence of proficient performance in each of the above three areas;  
679 (2) Evidence indicating the promise of continuing proficient performance on all of the  
680 criteria above.

681  
682 2. Faculty Performance: Standards, Definitions, and Evidence  
683  
684 The standards articulated in this section are fundamental to all faculty personnel decisions,  
685 including career reviews, and, particularly, to decisions involving promotion and/or tenure, the  
686 most comprehensive evaluation of faculty performance. Candidates should work closely with  
687 Department Chairpersons or their designee to address the following standards and  
688 requirements of evidence in the preparation of triptychs.

689  
690  
691

692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749

a. Teaching

(1) Faculty are expected to work collaboratively with students, colleagues, practitioners, and other persons within and outside the University in their teaching activities. In evaluating teaching effectiveness, assessment should take into account desired learning outcomes. Proficiency is based on what is typically expected at our benchmark institutions and is further defined by the College's and University's mission statements.

(2) Evidence of Teaching Effectiveness

The evaluation of teaching effectiveness should not be based on any one evaluation technique but, instead, should be based on multiple criteria including, but not limited to, such data to be submitted by the candidate as:

- (a) Student Evaluations: All courses in the College are evaluated by students using the standard evaluation form approved by the faculty. Candidates for promotion and tenure should submit a list, by semester, for courses taught in at least the three years preceding consideration. Candidates must include the standard evaluation form summary for courses taught in at least the three years preceding consideration. Candidates should include a note of explanation for courses taught but for which no student evaluations are included.
- (b) Testimony of Former Students: Former students are in a position to assess the value and effectiveness of instruction from a standpoint that allows more mature reflection and appreciation of its relevance to their lives and careers. Therefore, letters from former students add an important dimension to the evaluation of teaching effectiveness.
- (c) Testimony of Colleagues: The effectiveness of teaching can be competently assessed by colleagues who have had the opportunity to observe the classroom performance of a candidate or to observe the candidate engaged in the many additional sorts of teaching that occur outside the classroom. Therefore, letters solicited from such colleagues provide significant information regarding the evaluation of teaching effectiveness. If a candidate has no disciplinary peers at the University, peer review should be solicited from outside the University.
- (d) Teaching Materials: Candidates should submit course syllabi and other teaching materials they have prepared to organize and communicate the knowledge in their field for the purposes of teaching. These materials should demonstrate the candidate's use of appropriate and effective pedagogies, which may include such things as cooperative learning, instructional technologies, recognition of individual differences, pedagogical papers, and so on.
- (e) Student Research Projects: Candidates may submit student research projects (articles, presentations, etc.) for which the candidate served as a mentor. If more than one faculty member served as a mentor, the candidate should clearly indicate each mentor's role in the mentoring process. Where appropriate, information relating to the publication rate and outlets of student dissertations, theses, and other research efforts of students may also be included and considered. In addition, successfully engaging students at all levels in research, service projects, and other teaching related activities can be considered as additional evidence of proficiency in teaching.
- (f) Teaching Related Grants: Candidates may submit information about funding obtained that supports the enhancement of instruction and program

- 750 development. The candidate should clearly indicate what role they played in  
751 the submission and/or administration/delivery of the grant.  
752  
753 (g) Additional Evidence: Candidates may submit any additional evidence of  
754 teaching effectiveness, such as analyses of student performance  
755 assessments, licensing exam outcomes, board scores, nationally-normed  
756 progress assessments, peer reviews, teaching portfolios, curriculum  
757 development, participation in teaching circles, and mentoring (students, part-  
758 time faculty, and junior faculty). Teaching load, class size, and level (e.g.,  
759 bachelor's, master's, doctoral) may be a factor in the evaluation of teaching  
760 effectiveness, but it may not be the primary factor.  
761  
762 (h) Self-assessment: Candidates must submit a written self-assessment of their  
763 teaching.  
764  
765 (3) Judgments of proficiency of teaching performance will be based upon review of all  
766 the evidence presented as well as other evidence provided for in this document.  
767  
768 b. Service  
769  
770 (1) Faculty members are expected to work collaboratively with students, colleagues,  
771 practitioners, and other persons within and outside the University in their service  
772 activities. Proficiency is based on what is typically expected at our benchmark  
773 institutions and is further defined by the College's and University's mission  
774 statements. Because service contributions and impact are often difficult to  
775 measure, units should encourage faculty members to describe and document their  
776 contributions in service for merit and promotion considerations.  
777  
778 (2) Evidence of Effectiveness in Service  
779  
780 (a) Testimony of People or Organizations Benefiting from Service: Letters from  
781 the beneficiaries of the candidate's service activities provide strong evidence  
782 of effectiveness.  
783  
784 (b) Testimony of Colleagues: Letters should be solicited from colleagues, within  
785 the University and/or the candidate's profession, who collaborated in service  
786 projects or who were otherwise in a position to judge the effectiveness of a  
787 candidate's service activities. Examples of such colleagues are committee  
788 chairs, project directors, and other significant participants. Such testimony  
789 should assess the extent of the candidate's participation and the leadership  
790 exhibited in service activities.  
791  
792 (c) Additional Evidence: Candidates may submit any additional evidence that  
793 speaks to the effectiveness of their service activities (e.g., any products  
794 resulting from service activities along with evidence regarding the nature of  
795 the candidate's contribution, or awards or other kinds of recognition related to  
796 effectiveness). Candidates should submit any written materials relating to  
797 service that provide evidence of its scholarly basis.  
798  
799 (d) Service Related Grants: Candidates may submit information about funding  
800 that has been received to support scholarly service. The candidate should  
801 clearly indicate what role they played in the submission and/or administration  
802 of the grant.  
803  
804 (e) Self-assessment: Candidates must submit a written self-assessment of the  
805 effectiveness of their service activities.  
806  
807 (f) Judgments of proficiency of service will be based upon review of all the

808 evidence presented as well as other evidence as provided for in this  
809 document.

810  
811 c. Research or Creative Activity

812  
813 (1) Faculty members are expected to work collaboratively with students, colleagues,  
814 practitioners, and other persons within and outside the University in their research or  
815 creative activities. Proficiency is based on what is typically expected at our  
816 benchmark institutions and is further defined by the University's mission statement to  
817 be a premier metropolitan research university. In order to be evaluated as proficient  
818 in research or creative activity, faculty members must have developed one or more  
819 lines of coherent and focused scholarship consistent with the mission of the College  
820 and University and appropriate for their rank and stage of career. It is expected, for  
821 example, that the research or creative activity will have an impact on the faculty  
822 member's field of study that is recognized at a national or international level and that  
823 is also consistent with their rank and state of career (e.g., those seeking promotion to  
824 Full Professor shall be expected to have a higher level of national and/or international  
825 recognition and impact than would be expected at the Associate Professor level).  
826 Although quantity of scholarly products alone is not a sole or sufficient criterion,  
827 faculty members must demonstrate a consistent level of effort that includes  
828 publication of their work in outlets consistent with the mission and goals of the  
829 University and where at least a portion of that work has been submitted for peer  
830 review, with a demonstrated record of favorable review. It is also expected that those  
831 faculty members whose scholarship is in areas where extramural funding is  
832 appropriate will demonstrate a consistent record of seeking and obtaining such  
833 extramural funding to support their scholarship and related professional work. Finally,  
834 the demonstration of proficiency as a mentor to students in their development as  
835 scholars and researchers (e.g., through students' successful publication of work  
836 carried out under the candidate's supervision), although not required for tenure  
837 and/promotion can provide additional evidence of proficiency of the candidate in  
838 research and creative activity.

839  
840 (2) Evidence of Research or Creative Activity

841  
842 (a) Publications: Candidates should submit for review books or monographs  
843 published on merit and not totally subsidized by the author, articles published  
844 in professional journals of quality that utilize editorial review boards, and any  
845 other published works that have resulted from the candidate's scholarship  
846 and that provide evidence of quality.

847  
848 (b) Other Forms of Evidence: Candidates should submit any of the following:

- 849  
850 1) A complete list of unpublished papers, posters, and workshop  
851 materials presented at meetings of national associations that employ  
852 a review process and a complete list of grant proposals, especially if  
853 funded, that employ a review process;  
854 2) Funded grant proposals that represent the results of research and  
855 creativity; the candidate should clearly indicate what role they played  
856 in the submission and/or administration of the grant. For  
857 multidisciplinary grants the designation of Co-Principal Investigator  
858 should carry the same weight as Principal Investigator.  
859 3) Products such as instructional aides, videos, research instruments,  
860 or computer software that have resulted from research and creativity;  
861 4) Materials and other evidence attesting to public speeches, television  
862 presentations, participation in forums, and other activities involving  
863 scholarship.  
864 5) Products related to entrepreneurial efforts including but not limited to  
865 University approved licenses, patents, industry partnerships, and

University affiliated consulting/training programs.

- (c) External Peer Evaluations: External evaluation of research is required for tenure of probationary faculty and will follow the procedures set forth in Section 3.5. The quality of publications and other forms of scholarly dissemination is judged best by colleagues in the candidate's field or discipline. Reviews solicited from disciplinary peers are an important part of the evaluation of research and creativity.
- (d) Additional Evidence of Research: Candidates may submit any additional evidence that speaks to the quality of their research and creativity, such as citations, reviews, or other evaluative commentary regarding their work.
- (e) Self-assessment: Candidates must submit a written self-assessment of the quality of their contributions.
- (f) Judgments of proficiency of the candidates' contributions will be based upon review of all the evidence presented.

#### G. Pre-Tenure Review

##### 1. Purpose

At the mid-point of their probationary periods at the University, consistent with Section 4.2.2.G of *The Redbook*, probationary faculty members are reviewed within the College to inform them of the College's assessment of their progress toward meeting the standards for the award of tenure. Recommendations will be made to assist the faculty member in preparing for tenure and promotion.

##### 2. Procedure

- a. Each year, the College Personnel Committee identifies to department chairpersons the faculty who are scheduled for pre-tenure review. The probationary faculty member bears primary responsibility for collecting, organizing, and presenting evidence relevant to the faculty member's performance in the standard areas in 2.0, above. The faculty member should work closely with the Department Chairperson to address the criteria for the award of tenure listed above in 3.3.F.2. A portfolio resembling a triptych should be prepared, although it need not be as extensive as the triptych required for promotion or tenure. Annual Work Plans and Annual Reviews will be included in the materials submitted for the review. Evaluation of the candidate's materials will be guided by the work specified in the candidate's Annual Work Plans.
- b. The Department Chairperson prepares a written assessment of the probationary faculty member's progress toward meeting tenure standards and forwards the assessment to the College Personnel Committee (copy to the faculty member).
- c. Independently of the Chairperson's review, the Department Personnel Committee prepares a written assessment of the probationary faculty member's progress toward meeting tenure standards and forwards the assessment to the College Personnel Committee (copy to the faculty member).
- d. The College Personnel Committee prepares a written assessment of the probationary faculty member's progress toward meeting tenure standards and sends its assessment together with the previous recommendations of the Department Chairperson and Department Personnel Committee to the Dean for review. A copy of the College Personnel Committee's assessment is provided to the faculty member and the faculty member's Department Chairperson.

924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979

- e. The review shall not be final until it is approved by the Dean.
- f. The written assessment as prepared by the College Personnel Committee and as approved by the Dean will be submitted as part of the evidence for the tenure review.

H. Evaluation for Tenure

1. Each year, Department Chairpersons identify to the Dean those faculty members who will be reviewed for tenure. Faculty members who are to be reviewed bear primary responsibility for collecting, organizing, and presenting evidence to support the recommendation; they should work closely with their Department Chairperson to address the standards in 2.0, above, and the criteria delineated in 3.3.F.2. Annual Work Plans and Annual Reviews will be included in the materials submitted for the review, as well as materials assembled and reports produced under Section 3.3.G. Evaluation of the candidate's materials will be guided by the work specified in the candidate's Annual Work Plans.
2. The Department Chairperson prepares a written assessment of the faculty member's performance and forwards the assessment to the Dean and the College Personnel Committee (copy to the faculty member).
3. Independently of the Chairperson's review, the Department Personnel Committee prepares a written assessment of the faculty member's performance and forwards the assessment to the Dean and the College Personnel Committee (copy to the faculty member and the faculty member's Department Chairperson).
4. The College Personnel Committee prepares a written assessment of the faculty member's performance and forwards the assessment to the Dean (copy to the faculty member and the faculty member's Department Chairperson).
5. After considering the recommendations and assessments of the Department Chairperson, the Department Personnel Committee, and the College Personnel Committee, the Dean makes a recommendation to the Provost regarding promotion and/or tenure, informing the faculty member, the Department Chairperson, and the College Personnel Committee of the recommendation.
6. The College follows the policies and procedures stated in *The Redbook* (see 4.2.2.H.4 and specifics below). A file of all information and documents pertinent to the tenure evaluation shall be compiled with the cooperation of the faculty member. Recommendations and any other material added shall become part of the file. The faculty member may examine any substantive material in the tenure file but shall not be informed of the identity of external peer evaluators. The faculty member may add newly available material evidence for reconsideration by the previous internal evaluators or rebuttals before the file is forwarded to the Executive Vice President and University Provost. The evidence in this file shall be reviewed according to the procedures specified herein and in the University's Minimum Guidelines.
7. Except in cases of early tenure, evaluations for tenure, once originated, shall proceed as indicated above unless the faculty member under review resigns from the University. Evaluations for early tenure shall proceed as indicated unless the faculty member requests withdrawal.
8. As called for in *The Redbook* in cases involving tenure, if the recommendation of the Executive Vice President and University Provost, Dean, or Department Chairperson is negative, the candidate may request a hearing before the University's Faculty Grievance Committee. This request must be delivered on or before the tenth day following the action challenged.

980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000  
1001  
1002  
1003  
1004  
1005  
1006  
1007  
1008  
1009  
1010  
1011  
1012  
1013  
1014  
1015  
1016  
1017  
1018  
1019  
1020  
1021  
1022  
1023  
1024  
1025  
1026  
1027  
1028  
1029  
1030  
1031  
1032  
1033

**Sec. 3.4 Promotion in Rank**

A. Criteria for Promotion of Full-Time Faculty Members

1. Criteria for evaluation for promotion are:
  - a. Teaching;
  - b. Research and creative activity; and
  - c. Service to the profession, the College, the University, or the community.
  
2. A candidate for promotion bears primary responsibility for collecting, organizing, and presenting evidence to support the request for promotion. Annual Work Plans and Annual Reviews will be included in the materials submitted for the review. Evaluation of the candidate's materials will be guided by the work specified in the candidate's Annual Work Plans. In the review process, attention is paid both to productivity since date of last hire or promotion (whichever is more recent) and accomplishments over one's entire career. In all cases, faculty members must supply dates for all listed activities and accomplishments, making it possible for reviewers to identify clearly those that took place since the date of hire or last promotion (whichever is more recent). Candidates should work closely with their Department Chairperson to address the following criteria for promotion in rank:

a. Promotion of Non-tenurable Faculty Members

(1) Promotion of Clinical Faculty Members

a) Promotion of Lecturer to Senior Lecturer and Promotion of Assistant Clinical Professor to Associate Clinical Professor.

1. Normally, at least four years in rank as a Lecturer or Assistant Clinical Professor, two of which must be at the University;
2. Evidence of proficient performance in teaching, research and creative activity, or service as governed by the percentages established in the candidate's Annual Work Plans, and the description of responsibilities and duties as outlined in the candidate's letters of appointment;
3. In demonstrating proficient performance, candidates are encouraged to provide evidence of substantial related leadership contributions to the Department, College, University, and/or education community that have positively and significantly impacted specific programs or initiatives; and
4. Evidence of continuing promise of proficient performance in teaching, research or creative activity, or service as governed by the percentages established in the candidate's Annual Work Plans, and the description of responsibilities and duties as outlined in the candidate's letters of appointment.

b) Promotion of Senior Lecturer to Distinguished Lecturer and Promotion of Associate Clinical Professor to Clinical Professor

1. Normally, at least four years in rank as a Senior Lecturer or Associate Clinical Professor, two of which must be at the University;
2. At least eight years of college or university experience at the rank of Lecturer or Assistant Clinical Professor or above since earning a terminal degree or other appropriate degree.
3. Evidence of proficient performance in teaching, research and creative activity, or service as governed by the percentages established in the

1034 candidate's Annual Work Plans, and the description of responsibilities and  
1035 duties as outlined in the candidate's letters of appointment;  
1036 4. In demonstrating proficient performance, candidates are encouraged to  
1037 provide evidence of substantial related leadership contributions to the  
1038 Department, College, University, and/or education community that have  
1039 positively and significantly impacted specific programs or initiatives; and  
1040 5. Evidence of continuing promise of proficient performance in teaching,  
1041 research or creative activity, or service as governed by the percentages  
1042 established in the candidate's Annual Work Plans, and the description of  
1043 responsibilities and duties as outlined in the candidate's letters of  
1044 appointment.

1045  
1046 (2) Promotion of Research Faculty Members

1047  
1048 a) Promotion of Assistant Research Professor to Associate Research Professor.

1049  
1050 1. Normally, at least four years in rank as Assistant Professor and/or Assistant  
1051 Research Professor, two of which must be at the University;  
1052 2. Evidence of proficient performance in teaching, research and creative  
1053 activity, or service as governed by the percentages established in the  
1054 candidate's Annual Work Plans, and the description of responsibilities and  
1055 duties as outlined in the candidate's letters of appointment;  
1056 3. In demonstrating proficient performance, candidates are encouraged to  
1057 provide evidence of substantial related leadership contributions to the  
1058 Department, College, and/or University, that have positively and significantly  
1059 impacted specific programs or initiatives as well as substantial leadership  
1060 contributions to the academic field of study; and  
1061 4. Evidence of continuing promise of proficient performance in teaching,  
1062 research or creative activity, or service as governed by the percentages  
1063 established in the candidate's Annual Work Plans, and the description of  
1064 responsibilities and duties as outlined in the candidate's letters of  
1065 appointment.

1066 b) Promotion of Associate Research Professor to Research Professor

1067  
1068 1. Normally, at least four years in rank as an Associate Research Professor  
1069 and/or Associate Professor, two of which must be at the University;  
1070 2. At least eight years of college or university experience at the rank of  
1071 Assistant Professor and/or Assistant Research Professor or above since  
1072 earning a terminal degree or other appropriate degree;  
1073 3. Evidence of proficient performance in teaching, research and creative  
1074 activity, or service as governed by the percentages established in the  
1075 candidate's Annual Work Plans, and the description of responsibilities and  
1076 duties as outlined in the candidate's letters of appointment;  
1077 4. In demonstrating proficient performance, candidates are encouraged to  
1078 provide evidence of substantial related leadership contributions to the  
1079 Department, College, and/or University, that have positively and significantly  
1080 impacted specific programs or initiatives as well as substantial leadership  
1081 contributions to the academic field of study; and  
1082 5. Evidence of continuing promise of proficient performance in teaching,  
1083 research or creative activity, or service as governed by the percentages

1084 established in the candidate's Annual Work Plans, and the description of  
1085 responsibilities and duties as outlined in the candidate's letters of  
1086 appointment.

1087 b. Promotion of Tenurable and Tenured Faculty Members

1088  
1089 (1) Promotion of Tenurable Faculty Members from Assistant Professor to Associate  
1090 Professor

- 1091 a) Normally, at least four years in rank as an Assistant Professor, two of which must  
1092 be at the University;  
1093 b) Evidence of proficient performance in each of the three standard areas (teaching,  
1094 service, and research and creativity); and  
1095 c) Evidence of continuing promise of proficient performance in the three areas.

1096  
1097 (2) Promotion of Tenured Faculty Members from Associate Professor to Professor

- 1098 a) Normally, at least four years in rank as an Associate Professor, two of which  
1099 must be at the University;  
1100 b) At least eight years of college or university experience at the rank of Assistant  
1101 Professor or above since earning a terminal degree;  
1102 c) Evidence of proficient performance in each of the three standard areas (teaching,  
1103 service, research and creativity); and  
1104 d) Evidence of continuing promise of proficient performance in the three areas.

1105  
1106 c. Emeritus

1107  
1108 Emeritus is an honorary title that may be conferred on retired faculty who have had  
1109 distinguished professional careers and who have made significant contributions to the  
1110 College. Emeritus faculty may retain certain privileges including continuing to participate  
1111 in the following faculty activities: (a) attend (but not vote at) faculty meetings, (b)  
1112 participate in public ceremonies such as graduation and doctoral hoodings, (c) serve on  
1113 doctoral program and dissertation committees and master's thesis committees, (d) teach  
1114 courses, and (e) engage in other research or educational activities. Emeritus faculty may  
1115 be listed in Department and College directories. Emeritus appointments may be revoked  
1116 by the Dean after consultation with the College Personnel Committee.

1117  
1118 (1) Criteria for Candidacy: Successful candidates for emeritus faculty status will have:

- 1119 a) At least 10 years of continuous full-time employment at the University  
1120 prior to retirement; and  
1121  
1122 b) An outstanding record of one or more of the following:  
1123  
1124 1. Scholarly achievement commensurate with national and international  
1125 standards within the candidate's specific discipline;  
1126  
1127 2. Teaching and educational contributions; or  
1128  
1129 3. Service to the Department, College, or University well beyond normal  
1130 expectations.  
1131  
1132

1133 B. Evaluation for Promotion: Process

1134  
1135 Each year, Department Chairpersons recommend to the Dean those faculty members who will be  
1136 reviewed for promotion. Faculty members who are to be reviewed bear primary responsibility for  
1137 collecting, organizing, and presenting evidence to support the recommendation; they should work

1138 closely with Department Chairpersons to address the standards in 2.0, above, and the criteria in  
1139 3.3.F.2, above.

1140  
1141 1. The Department Chairperson prepares a written assessment of the faculty member's  
1142 performance and forwards the assessment to the Dean and the College Personnel Committee  
1143 (copy to the faculty member).

1144  
1145 2. Independently of the Chairperson's review, the Department Personnel Committee prepares a  
1146 written assessment of the faculty member's performance and forwards the assessment to the  
1147 Dean and the College Personnel Committee (copy to the faculty member and the faculty  
1148 member's Department Chairperson).

1149  
1150 3. The College Personnel Committee prepares a written assessment of the faculty member's  
1151 performance and forwards the assessment to the Dean (copy to the faculty member and the  
1152 faculty member's Department Chairperson).

1153  
1154 4. After considering the recommendations and assessments of the Department Chairperson, the  
1155 Department Personnel Committee, and the College Personnel Committee, the Dean makes a  
1156 recommendation to the Executive Vice President and Provost regarding promotion and/or tenure,  
1157 informing the faculty member, the Department Chairperson, and the College Personnel  
1158 Committee of the nature of the recommendation.

1159  
1160 5. The faculty member may add newly available material evidence for reconsideration by the  
1161 previous evaluators or rebuttals before the file is forwarded to the Provost (see *Redbook*  
1162 4.2.2.H.4).

1163  
1164 6. Except in cases of early tenure, evaluations for promotion, once originated, shall proceed as  
1165 indicated above unless the faculty member under review resigns from the University.

1166  
1167 7. In cases involving promotion, if the recommendation of the Provost, Dean, or Department Chair  
1168 is negative, the candidate may request a hearing to grieve the decision consistent with the  
1169 standards in *The Redbook* in front of the University's Faculty Grievance Committee. This request  
1170 must be delivered on or before the tenth day following the action being challenged.

1171  
1172 C. Evaluation for Promotion: External Peer Evaluations

1173  
1174 External evaluation of research is required for promotion of Probationary and Tenured faculty as  
1175 provided in Section 3.5.A.1 and for Research Faculty as provided in Section 3.5.A.2. Similarly,  
1176 external evaluation of teaching is required for promotion of Clinical Faculty as provided in Section  
1177 3.5.A.2.

1178  
1179 D. Evaluation for Promotion: Standards, Definitions, and Evidence

1180  
1181 See Section 3.3.F.2 of this document, Faculty Performance: Standards, Definitions, and Evidence

1182  
1183 **Sec. 3.5 External Evaluation for Tenure and Promotion**

1184  
1185 The quality of publications and other forms of scholarly dissemination, or teaching proficiency is judged  
1186 best by colleagues in the candidate's field or discipline. Reviews solicited from disciplinary peers are an  
1187 important part of the evaluation of research and creativity, or teaching proficiency. External evaluation for  
1188 all tenure and promotion decisions will follow the procedures set forth below.

- 1189  
1190 A. The Department Personnel Committee will oversee the solicitation of external evaluations of the  
1191 candidate's scholarship or teaching as required above in all cases involving tenure or promotion.  
1192 The Department Personnel Committee will, in consultation with the Dean and Chairperson, begin  
1193 the process of selecting evaluators and soliciting evaluations in a timely manner.

1194  
1195  
1196  
1197  
1198  
1199  
1200  
1201  
1202  
1203  
1204  
1205  
1206  
1207  
1208  
1209  
1210  
1211  
1212  
1213  
1214  
1215  
1216  
1217  
1218  
1219  
1220  
1221  
1222  
1223  
1224  
1225  
1226  
1227  
1228  
1229  
1230  
1231  
1232  
1233  
1234  
1235  
1236  
1237  
1238  
1239  
1240  
1241  
1242  
1243  
1244  
1245  
1246  
1247  
1248  
1249  
1250

1. Selection Procedures for Probationary and Tenured Faculty: Ordinarily evaluators will be at or above the rank to which the candidate seeks promotion. Evaluators should be recognized experts in the field, be from programs and/or institutions similar to the University, and be individuals who are likely to provide a fair and unbiased assessment of the candidate. The candidate will submit five names, along with addresses and emails, of people qualified to evaluate the candidate's materials. The Department Personnel Committee, in consultation with the Dean, will submit five names, along with addresses and emails, with input from Department faculty members and the Chairperson. If the candidate fails to submit a list, the evaluators will be chosen from the Department Personnel Committee's list. The Departmental Personnel Committee shall provide a short synopsis of the significant accomplishments and/or other basis for the selection of each proposed external reviewer that demonstrates why the reviewer is prominent in the reviewer's field and why the evaluation would be appropriate given the mission and goals of the College and University. The candidate has the right to challenge any external evaluator on the combined list of names with cause and must provide in writing the nature of any prior association or relationship with any evaluator on the list. From this mutually agreeable list, the Department Personnel Committee will select four to six external evaluators, at least half of whom must be from the candidate's list, if she/he has submitted a list.

2. Selection Procedures for Clinical and Research Faculty: For clinical faculty, external evaluators may be principals, superintendents, mentor teachers, or other local, state, national, or international educators familiar with the candidate's work or with the type of clinical activity the candidate is engaged in. For research faculty, external evaluators should be recognized experts in the field at or above the rank to which the candidate seeks promotion, and be from programs and/or institutions similar to the University. The candidate will present a list of five individuals outside their Department who can evaluate the teaching or research contributions of the candidate. The Department Personnel Committee will also develop a list of five potential external reviewers. The candidate has the right to challenge any evaluator on the combined list of names with cause and must provide in writing the nature of any prior association or relationship with any evaluator on the list. If the candidate fails to submit a list, the evaluators will be chosen from the Department Personnel Committee's list. The Department Personnel Committee will select four to six individuals from the pool of potential reviewers (at least half of which must be from the candidate's list, if she/he has submitted a list) to provide an evaluation of the candidate's contribution.

3. Timing and Process for Obtaining External Evaluations: Once external evaluators are identified, the Department Chairperson will solicit external reviews in writing. The Chairperson's solicitation letter should include a description of appropriate criteria for evaluation from the College's Personnel Policies and Procedures. The letter should also request a description of the reviewer's relationship to the candidate, request a detailed evaluation of the candidate's work based on materials provided, ask whether the evaluation may or may not be read by the candidate, and request a copy of the reviewer's vita. Each reviewer will be provided with the candidate's vita and pertinent scholarly materials, including but not limited to publications. The candidate will determine what materials will be provided to external reviewers, although the Department Personnel Committee or Chairperson may provide advice about the selection of materials. External evaluators will be given a reasonable time period in which to complete evaluations and should they be unable to do so will be replaced by another evaluator from the mutually agreeable list of potential external evaluators. Where less than four external reviews are received within a reasonable amount of time the Chairperson shall make reasonable efforts, in collaboration with the Department Personnel Committee, to obtain at least four reviews with at least half coming from reviewers recommended by the candidate.

B. Faculty Review and Rebuttal to External Evaluations: The faculty member has the right to review and rebut comments made by the external reviewers; however, the identity of the external reviewers will not be revealed to the faculty member unless required by law. Responses are to be made in writing and added to the triptych before being sent for further review by the Department Chair or Department Personnel Committee. The candidate will be notified when the

1251 external reviews are received and will have 10 days in which to review the evaluation and supply  
1252 any rebuttal. Modifications to the time frame can be considered if the candidate is out of town  
1253 when the reviews arrive. Request for modification are to be made to the Dean in writing.

1254 **Sec. 3.6 Periodic Career Reviews**

1255  
1256 A. Faculty Members with Tenure

1257  
1258 1. Purpose. Tenured faculty in the College shall undergo periodic career reviews to evaluate  
1259 their continued scholarly and professional growth.

1260  
1261 2. Procedures

1262 a. Unless otherwise specified below, all faculty members holding tenured appointments in the  
1263 College shall undergo periodic career review during March and April after every fifth year of  
1264 service as a tenured faculty member.

1265 1) When Deans, Associate or Assistant Deans, and other full-time administrators who  
1266 hold tenured faculty appointments vacate their administrative positions, their periodic  
1267 career review period shall begin when they assume full-time faculty positions.

1268 2) If the review period ends during a sabbatical year (or other leave year), the periodic  
1269 career review shall be deferred until the following academic year.

1270 3) If a tenured faculty member is promoted, the promotion review shall satisfy the  
1271 requirement of periodic career review, and the date of promotion shall mark the  
1272 beginning of the next five-year review period.

1273 4) If a tenured faculty member is being considered for promotion during a review year  
1274 but does not achieve it, the periodic career review shall take place.

1275 b. By May 1 of the year prior to the review year, the faculty member will be notified that he or  
1276 she will have a periodic review in the coming academic year.

1277 c. The Dean's Office will provide to the College Personnel Committee copies of the faculty  
1278 member's Annual Reviews and Annual Work Plans for the period since the last review no  
1279 later than the third Friday of the review semester. The faculty member may add any other  
1280 documents he or she deems appropriate. Only these documents will be reviewed. The  
1281 candidate may request extramural review of his or her research. Otherwise, extramural  
1282 review will not be part of the process.

1283 d. The College Personnel Committee shall examine the file and, prior to the end of the eighth  
1284 week of the semester, shall issue a report to the Dean with copies to faculty member and  
1285 his or her Chairperson.

1286 e. If the conclusion of the report is the faculty member's overall contribution has been  
1287 *satisfactory* (met the minimum requirements of the College consistent with the standards  
1288 and criteria of sections 2.0 and 3.3.F.2 delineated above) during the review period, the  
1289 faculty member begins the next review period in the following academic year.

1290 f. If the conclusion of the report is that the faculty member's contribution has been meritorious  
1291 or above over the entire review period, this will be noted in the faculty member's files and  
1292 will be considered if supplemental salary increase money is available. The Dean shall  
1293 determine the amount of any such salary increase.

1294 g. If the conclusion of the report is that the faculty member's contribution has been  
1295 *unsatisfactory* (did not meet the minimum standards of the College), the report shall state

1296 the deficiency (ies) that was (were) the basis for the conclusion. Within 30 calendar days  
1297 of receipt of the report, the faculty member, in consultation with his or her Department  
1298 Chairperson will prepare a career development plan acceptable to the Dean to remedy the  
1299 deficiency (ies) in one year, unless the Dean approves a longer period. If the faculty  
1300 member and Chairperson are unable to agree upon a Career Development Plan, the Dean  
1301 shall prepare a plan that is consistent with expectations of similarly situated faculty  
1302 members within the College.

1303 (1) If the faculty member completes the agreed-upon one-year Career Development  
1304 Plan, the faculty member shall then have one more year to demonstrate satisfactory  
1305 performance.

1306 (2) The faculty member will then undergo a Career Review in the academic year  
1307 following the one year given to demonstrate satisfactory performance.

1308 (3) The focus of this Career Review will be on the faculty member's Career Development  
1309 Plan.

1310 (4) If the faculty member is evaluated as satisfactory, the next five-year review period  
1311 begins on the following July 1.

1312 (5) If the faculty member is again evaluated as unsatisfactory, the record of the periodic  
1313 career review and the record of the Career Development Plan are submitted to the  
1314 Dean for appropriate action.

1315 h. If the faculty member undergoing review is a Department Chairperson, the Career  
1316 Development Plan is prepared with and agreed to by the Dean.

1317 i. All rights of due process and appeal found herein or in *The Redbook* shall obtain in all  
1318 reviews of faculty.

1319 B. Clinical and Research Faculty—Periodic Career Reviews  
1320

1321 Clinical and Research faculty are to be reviewed annually and at the end of each contract term.  
1322 Annual Work Plans and Annual Reviews will be included in the materials submitted for the review.  
1323 Evaluation of the candidate's materials will be guided by the work specified in the candidate's  
1324 Annual Work Plans. Periodic Career Review of Clinical and Research faculty shall be the  
1325 contract renewal review (section 4.2.4.B. of *The Redbook*).  
1326  
1327

1328 **Article 4.0 Conditions of Faculty Employment**

1329 **Sec. 4.1 Annual Work Plan and Presence at the University**

1330 A. Annual Work Plan  
1331

1332 1. Each faculty member will prepare and submit to his or her Chairperson a proposed Annual  
1333 Work Plan no later than November 1. The Chairperson, working with the faculty member, will  
1334 review and approve the Annual Work Plan and submit to the Dean for approval no later than  
1335 December 1. Chairpersons and Associate/Assistant Deans will prepare and submit to the  
1336 Dean a written Annual Work Plan no later than December 1.  
1337

1338 2. The Annual Work Plan should include prioritized professional goals and objectives for the  
1339 forthcoming year in the areas of Teaching, Service, Research and Creative Activity, and  
1340 Administration (if applicable).  
1341

1342 3. The Annual Work Plan for the forthcoming year will include intended contributions related to  
1343 the mission of the Department, College and University in terms of the performance objectives  
1344  
1345

- 1346 and criteria described in 2.0 above and further articulated in 3.0 above.  
1347  
1348  
1349 4. The goals and objectives for the forthcoming year (i.e., intended outcomes) will be agreed upon  
1350 and the Annual Work Plan will be dated and signed by the faculty member and Chairperson.  
1351 In the event that the faculty member and Chairperson cannot reach agreement the faculty  
1352 member may appeal the Chairperson's requirements of the faculty member, in writing and with  
1353 a detailed rationale, to the Dean no later than December 20. Any rationale provided by the  
1354 Chair shall also be in writing to the Dean and the faculty member. The Dean will review the  
1355 rationale(s), may meet with the faculty member and Chairperson, and will attempt to finalize an  
1356 Annual Work Plan that is agreeable to the faculty member and Chairperson. If no agreement  
1357 is reached prior to January 10, the Dean will determine the faculty member's final Annual Work  
1358 Plan prior to January 15.
- 1359 5. The Annual Work Plan for the forthcoming year will be agreed upon and dated and signed by  
1360 the Chairperson or Associate/Assistant Dean and the Dean no later than January 15.
- 1361 6. A copy of the next year's final Annual Work Plan will be retained by the Chairperson or  
1362 Associate/Assistant Dean and one copy will be placed in the Dean's Office personnel files.
- 1363 7. The Annual Work Plan may be amended with the agreement of the faculty member and  
1364 Chairperson or immediate supervisor. The amendment will be placed in the Dean's Office  
1365 personnel files.  
1366  
1367  
1368
- 1369 B. Basic Faculty Work Load Assignment  
1370
- 1371 1. For purposes of computing faculty workload assignments, the three-credit-hour course will be  
1372 the basic unit of measure: one three-hour course = 10% of academic year load.  
1373
- 1374 2. The basic faculty annual workload for probationary and tenured faculty members is 50 per  
1375 cent of effort devoted to teaching, 30 per cent of effort devoted to research and creative  
1376 activity, and 20 per cent of effort devoted to service.  
1377
- 1378 3. The basic faculty annual workload for clinical faculty members is 80 percent of effort devoted  
1379 to teaching, and 20 percent of effort devoted to service.  
1380
- 1381 4. The basic faculty annual workload for research faculty members is 80 percent of effort  
1382 devoted to research and 20 percent of effort devoted to service; however, research faculty  
1383 members' percent of effort devoted to research may not be less than 60 percent.
- 1384 C. Flexible Faculty Work Load Assignment  
1385
- 1386 1. Faculty members may negotiate with Department Chairpersons for assignments that differ  
1387 from the basic faculty workload provided above. Through such negotiations, Departments  
1388 may seek the flexibility that allows pursuit of missions and objectives while capitalizing on the  
1389 differing strengths of individual faculty members and with the understanding that probationary  
1390 faculty members must demonstrate broad proficiency in the three areas specified in 2.0 for  
1391 tenure and promotion.  
1392
- 1393 2. Each faculty member in collaboration with his or her Chairperson may also establish with a  
1394 written agreement equivalencies to be used within the Department to give load credit for  
1395 faculty responsibilities including supervising student teachers, serving as a professional  
1396 development school liaison, directing independent studies or course by conference, serving  
1397 as director or clinical training or other academic programs, directing field experiences,  
1398 chairing or serving on dissertation and masters committees, team teaching, other forms of  
1399 collaborative teaching, advising, working within schools, coordinating placement of teachers  
1400 and interns, research projects (both individual and multidisciplinary), edit journals, leadership  
1401 of national organizations, large service grants, and other activities consistent with and  
1402 necessary for the College to achieve its mission.

1403  
1404  
1405  
1406  
1407  
1408  
1409  
1410  
1411  
1412  
1413  
1414  
1415  
1416  
1417  
1418  
1419  
1420  
1421  
1422  
1423  
1424  
1425  
1426  
1427  
1428  
1429  
1430  
1431  
1432  
1433  
1434  
1435  
1436  
1437  
1438  
1439  
1440  
1441  
1442  
1443  
1444  
1445  
1446  
1447  
1448  
1449  
1450  
1451  
1452  
1453  
1454  
1455  
1456  
1457  
1458  
1459  
1460

3. An individual faculty member may negotiate course releases when he/she receives outside funding.
4. All faculty workload assignments must be approved by the Dean.

D. Faculty Work Load Assignments and Faculty Reviews

1. Load assignments of faculty members will be kept on file in the Department as documentation for reviews of promotion and tenure, as well as for all periodic reviews (see 6.0, below). Evaluations of faculty performance in the standard areas in 2.0, above, will be adjusted to suit the nature of a faculty member's workload assignments during the review period. That is, for example, if a faculty member negotiates an assignment that excludes responsibility for service during a calendar year, the Annual Review recommendation will also exclude consideration of service; or, if a faculty member negotiates a reduced assignment in any of the standard areas, the Annual Review recommendation will be adjusted accordingly.
2. Tenured faculty members may choose during their careers to emphasize one or another of the categories of faculty performance. Tenured faculty members may submit written proposals regarding such variable career emphasis to their Department Chairpersons. Proposals should specify the standard areas to be emphasized, the standard area in which responsibility is to be diminished or excluded, and the time period during which the variable career plan will be in effect. When the Chairperson agrees to the proposal, it shall be reviewed by the Department Personnel Committee, and forwarded to the Dean for approval. It is important to understand that agreements reached under this provision do not supersede the criteria required for successful review and promotion to full professor. It must also be understood, however, that there may be some instances where, with the prior agreement of the Dean and Executive Vice President and Provost, and in ways consistent with *The Redbook*, activities such as administrative duties or other appropriate accomplishments may be considered more heavily as part of a faculty member's case for promotion. Any agreements reached under this provision shall be made with the full understanding of the faculty member of the potential implications for future review and promotion and all understandings should be documented in writing.
3. Other Duties - When duties other than those usually classified as teaching, research or creative activity, or service, are assigned to a faculty member, such as academic administration, program coordination, or other administrative duties, the effective performance of these duties shall be evaluated as prescribed by the evaluation procedures of the University. Ideally such duties would be of a limited scope or duration so as not to impede the normal time for promotion for any probationary faculty member or tenured faculty member at the rank of Associate Professor. In assigning such duties to probationary faculty members and faculty members at the rank of Associate Professor, the impact of any such duties on the progress towards promotion and/or tenure should be given significant consideration. Evidence of effectiveness in performance of such duties may include, but is not limited to, materials and other evidence attesting to Department leadership: program coordinator, program review and/or accreditation documents, student learning outcome reports, student orientations, course sequence and schedules, part-time faculty recruitment, mentoring and supervision, student concern documentation, and other activities involving College/Department/program academic leadership.

**Sec. 4.2 Compensation**

The College follows the policies and procedures stated in *The Redbook* (See 4.3.2 and specifics below): "Each faculty member's base salary, exclusive of supplemental pay, once established for tenured faculty or during a contract period at the University of Louisville shall not be reduced except in a fiscal emergency or under the most extreme circumstances."

1461 **Sec. 4.3 Work Outside the University**

1462  
1463 The College follows the policies and procedures stated in *The Redbook* (See 4.3.3 and specifics below):  
1464 “Full-time faculty of the University may carry out professional work outside the University, with or without  
1465 pay, usually for not more than the equivalent of one work day a week, averaged throughout the number of  
1466 weeks of their employment in a given year, provided that such work is previously approved by the dean  
1467 as appropriate to the faculty member's expertise and the mission of the university and provided that such  
1468 work does not conflict or interfere with the faculty member's schedule of assignments and responsibilities  
1469 at the University. As part of the documentation for annual review, full-time faculty shall submit a report of  
1470 this professional work outside the University under the provisions of this section. If a unit has a  
1471 Professional Practice Plan that has been reviewed by the faculty of the Unit and approved by the Board of  
1472 Trustees, then the Professional Practice Plan supersedes this section.”

1473  
1474 **Sec. 4.4 Paid Tutoring**

1475  
1476 The College follows the policies and procedures stated in *The Redbook* (See 4.3.4 and specifics below):  
1477 “No one shall receive any compensation for tutoring students in a course in which that person is  
1478 empowered to grant the student credit or over which any direct authority may be exercised.”

1479  
1480 **Sec. 4.5 Sabbatical Leave**

1481  
1482 The College follows the policies and procedures stated in *The Redbook* (See 4.3.5 and specifics below):  
1483 “The sabbatical leave is recognized as a serious professional responsibility and shall be utilized for  
1484 activities that will improve the faculty member's contribution to the University's missions. A tenured faculty  
1485 member who has six contract years of full-time service at the University of Louisville may petition for a  
1486 sabbatical leave of absence for one-half contract year on full pay or for one contract year on one-half pay.  
1487 Faculty members on twelve-month appointments may receive six months of leave with full pay or twelve  
1488 months' leave with one-half pay. No more than one year of leave, as defined in Sections 4.3.6 and 4.3.7  
1489 (*The Redbook*), may be counted as years of service toward sabbatical leave. The University shall make  
1490 every effort to approve all appropriate applications. Sabbatical leave shall be granted only upon the  
1491 approval of the dean (and the Executive Vice President for Health Affairs, where appropriate), the  
1492 Executive Vice President and University Provost, and the President. No leave will be granted without the  
1493 guarantee of at least one year of continued full-time service after return from the sabbatical leave.”

1494  
1495 **Sec. 4.6 Leave of Absence Without Pay**

1496  
1497 The College follows the policies and procedures stated in *The Redbook* (See 4.3.6 and specifics below):  
1498 “A faculty member may request a leave of absence without pay at any time. The dean of the unit, the  
1499 Executive Vice President and University Provost, and the President must approve such leaves.  
1500 Disciplinary leaves of absence without pay may be imposed by deans with the approval of the Executive  
1501 Vice President and University Provost and the President.

1502  
1503 **Sec. 4.7 Leave of Absence With Pay**

1504  
1505 The College follows the policies and procedures stated in *The Redbook* (See 4.3.7 and specifics below):  
1506 “A faculty member may request a leave of absence with pay at any time. The dean of the unit, the  
1507 Executive Vice President and University Provost and the President must approve such leaves.  
1508 Disciplinary leaves of absence with pay may be imposed by deans with the approval of the Executive  
1509 Vice President and University Provost and the President.”

1510  
1511 **Sec. 4.8 Retirement**

1512  
1513 The College follows the policies and procedures stated in *The Redbook* (See 4.3.8 and specifics below):  
1514 “The Board of Trustees shall make available a retirement annuity plan for full-time faculty members, after  
1515 a stated minimum period of service in such capacity. Each retirement contract shall be vested in the  
1516 individual participant. Faculty members will retire under the provisions and conditions set out in the  
1517 retirement plan adopted by the Board of Trustees.”

1518

1519 **Article 5.0 Resolution of Disagreements**

1520  
1521 The College follows the policies and procedures stated in *The Redbook* (See Article 4.4. for details).  
1522

1523 **Article 6.0 Termination of Service**

1524  
1525 The College follows the policies and procedures stated in *The Redbook* (See Article 4.5 for details).  
1526

1527 **Article 7.0 Personnel Documents**

1528  
1529 The College follows the policies and procedures stated in *The Redbook* (See Article 4.6 for details).  
1530

1531 **Appendix 1: Grievance Procedures**

1532  
1533 The College follows the policies and procedures stated in *The Redbook* (See Article 4.4 for details).  
1534

1535 **Appendix 2: Termination Process**

1536  
1537 The College follows the policies and procedures stated in *The Redbook* (See Appendix "Termination  
1538 Process" for details).  
1539

1540 Approved by the Faculty: 03-03-95

1541 Amended by the Faculty: 08-24-95; 09-28-95; 02-26-02; 03-04-05; 04-29-05; 03-12-10; 09-17-10; 08-14-  
1542 15; 12-5-17

1543 Approved by Faculty Senate: 01-11-06; 10-06-10; 07-06-16

1544 Approved by Faculty Senate Executive Committee: 02-21-18

1545 Approved by Board of Trustees: 4-18-06; 10-14-10; 04-19-18